Study on chloroquine containing ability of minicells derived from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356
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**ABSTRACT**

Site-specific nanodrug delivery systems have been researched and have become a new strategy to change the use and reduce the toxicity of chemical drugs. One potential carrier is the minicell from lactic acid bacteria. It is one of the potential carriers since it can be modified with specific antibodies, packaged with a high drug concentration, and is a safe source for humans. In this study, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (ATCC 4356) minicells were generated by using sugar stress and tested the chloroquine (CQ) containing ability. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 formed a high concentration of 10<sup>7</sup> minicells/ml after 20–24 hours of culturing in modified broth containing 1% D-fructose. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 minicells were incubated with CQ at different periods (1, 2, 3, and 4 hours) at 37°C. The presence of CQ was detected by high-performance liquid chromatography. The result indicated that minicells could contain a huge amount of the drug (1.34 mg/ml) after 1 hour. Moreover, the releasing test was performed at two conditions (pH 3 and pH 7). After 0.5 hours, a modest concentration of the drug was observed to be leaking, which maximized after 2 hours. In addition, this study also proved the binding of CQ onto the minicells’ cell wall by using the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy technique and molecular docking for predicting interaction. This study contributed to new drug innovations in the pharmaceutical field.

**INTRODUCTION**

Although improvement in cancer treatment over decades has enhanced the survival rate in many countries, cancer is still one of the most common diseases responsible for millions of deaths globally. Chemotherapy is the most common method, which is used as first-line therapy to remove most of the cancer cells in the body. However, chemotherapy possesses many disadvantages. Since chemotherapy drugs are nonspecific, they directly affect both normal and abnormal cells. Therefore, they can cause damage and loss of function in normal cells. The observed serious side effects include hair loss, nausea, vomiting, and immune system destruction. Although chemotherapy has the potential to work systematically and kill even cancer stem cells, its toxicity needs special attention. Since cancer deaths continue to grow over the years, new discoveries about cancer treatments are still ongoing. Recently, the innovation of drug-targeted therapy has opened a new way of increasing specificity and reducing toxicity from chemotherapy.

Minicell is one of the potential drug carriers that has a size range between 100 and 400 nm. Minicells are nonliving cells that do not contain chromosomal DNA and do not have the ability to divide. Minicells have many advantages in drug delivery, such as having high packaging efficiency of molecular drugs (small interfering RNA) or chemotherapeutic drugs, being modified with bispecific antibodies to increase specificity [1], not being accumulated in organs since minicells are biodegradable, and having high safety concern with no drug leakage or serious side effects [2]. Minicells could be generated from both negative and positive bacteria, such as *Bacillus subtilis* [3], *Salmonella typhimurium* [4], *Listeria monocytogenes* [5], *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* [6], *Escherichia coli* [7], and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* PN04 [8].

Recently, the use of probiotics has been rising in the pharmaceutical field because of its benefits to humans.
was cultured in De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth at 37°C for 48 hours in 5% CO₂ condition. After that, the microorganism was transferred to an agar plate. Then, the plate was incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. One colony was inoculated into the broth and used as the stock for minicell formation.

Minicell formation and isolation

According to a study by Vinh et al. [12], 1% D-fructose gave the highest number of minicells formation. Therefore, L. acidophilus was cultured in the modified broth using 1% D-fructose at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Lactobacillus acidophilus was inoculated to the D-fructose broth and grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After 20–24 hours, it was centrifuged at 1,500, 2,000, and 2,500 rpm for 10 minutes to remove parent cells from minicells. Then, the supernatant was collected and checked for minicell purity using a light microscope with a magnification of 100×. Centrifugation of supernatant at 15,000 rpm for 20 minutes was used to collect minicells. The pellet and supernatant after centrifugation were separated. The remaining amount of CQ after incubation was measured based on the supernatant. Therefore, the concentration of CQ that was packaged into minicells was calculated by subtracting the initial concentration and the residue concentration of CQ. Minicells packaged CQ was then resuspended in PBS with the gastric intestinal tract pH (pH 3) and plasma pH (pH 7) at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours. Then, the solution was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. After that, the supernatant was taken out and the amount of CQ released in different conditions was measured by spectrophotometry. The experiment was duplicated.

CQ determination using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis

CQ in the supernatant after being incubated with minicells at 1 hour and after being released from minicells containing CQ at 2 hours was quantified and qualified by HPLC-UV/Vis Spectroscopy using the method of Miranda et al. [17].

Functional group determination using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

Three samples, including L. acidophilus minicells, CQ, and L. acidophilus minicells containing CQ, were analyzed by FTIR to check the alteration in the functional group on minicell wall before and after incubation minicells with CQ.

In silico experiment for CQ containing the ability of L. acidophilus ATCC 4356 minicells

Molecular docking for interaction prediction between CQ and minicell wall components was performed based on the tools used in the study of Abdelsattar [18]. The 3D structures of all the substrates were obtained from the Protein Data Bank RCSB and the PubChem website. All the docking was performed by AutoDock Tools 4.2 and visualized by Discovery Studio Visualizer (2D, 3D). CQ was chosen as the ligand. There were eight proteins used to predict the binding of CQ. Two Rib (resistance to protease, immunity, group B), RibS and RibL, were chosen from the domain architecture of Q5F1M8 protein from L. acidophilus [19]. Other proteins, such as mucus-binding proteins (Mubs), penicillin-binding proteins (PBP2b, PBP2x, and PBP1a), MraY, and MurG, were chosen from other bacteria. For those structures that do not come from L. acidophilus, protein alignment was performed to check for identities and positive scores before docking.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean ± SD from three replications. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the average value with 95% confidence levels and p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Minicell formation and isolation

After 24 hours in 1% D-fructose-containing broth, L. acidophilus was observed under the microscope to check for
minicell formation (Fig. 1). As can be seen in Figure 2, the minicells had a round shape and could still be stained as normal cells. The concentration of minicells was counted using the Neubauer hemocytometer and gave the number of 10^7 minicells/ml.

Changing the carbon sources from D-glucose to D-fructose created stress on sugar, which led to the formation of minicells. The results showed that *L. acidophilus* could grow in this medium and could form minicells within a 4-compound broth compared to the original *Lactobacilli* MRS broth [20] that had 10 compounds. By minimizing the ingredients used in the culture medium, preparation steps will be more convenient, and it will also help to lower the cost of purchasing chemicals. Therefore, this report proposed a more convenient way of preparing the medium for minicell formation.

In the study of Vinh et al. [12], *L. acidophilus* VTCC-B-871 strain was incubated in a modified broth for 30 hours. However, in this study, from about 20 to 24 hours, minicells were formed. An explanation for the difference in formation time could be based on the strain, the culture medium, and the technique. Therefore, the study recommended that formation time needed to be focused on to have a better understanding of minicell formation and how to isolate them at the right time.

**CQ containing ability of *L. acidophilus* minicells**

To test the encapsulation efficiency, *L. acidophilus* minicells in 1× PBS (pH 7) were incubated with CQ for 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours. The highest drug-containing efficiency was observed at 1 hour with 12.8785% ± 0.3442% (Table 1). Polar and nonpolar drugs are hypothesized to cross the membrane through a fast one-dimensional diffusion process [21] and outer membrane channels or nonspecific porin, such as the FadL family [22,23]. The mechanism of how CQ can diffuse and is trapped in the cells was explained by the previous study [24]. Unprotonated CQ can diffuse freely and quickly across cell and organelle membranes. It becomes protonated and becomes trapped in acidic organelles like the lysosome, where it cannot diffuse out. Thus, diffusion down a drug concentration in minicells proposed a simpler method than other methods in encapsulation drugs, such as liposomes.

**CQ releasing of *L. acidophilus* minicells containing CQ**

The pellet of minicells containing CQ at 1 hour was used to perform drug release at two different pHs 3 and 7, for 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours. Both pH 3 and pH 7 conditions illustrated the highest releasing rate after 2 hours, at 3.1112 ± 0.0348 and 3.5847 ± 0.0179, respectively (Table 2). Testing drug release at pH 3 and pH 7 was performed to examine the development of oral drugs or intravenous drugs since pH 3 and pH 7 are near the pH in the human intestinal tract and blood plasma, respectively.

**Table 1.** The CQ-containing efficiency of *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 minicells in 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Containing efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>12.8785 ± 0.3442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>7.0716 ± 1.0995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>10.3522 ± 0.6174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>7.5446 ± 0.7515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The CQ-containing efficiency of *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 minicells containing CQ at 1 hour in 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>pH 3</th>
<th>pH 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5 hour</td>
<td>2.7145 ± 0.0149</td>
<td>2.8008 ± 0.0185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>2.9045 ± 0.166</td>
<td>3.3852 ± 0.0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>3.1112 ± 0.0348</td>
<td>3.5847 ± 0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>2.7737 ± 0.0149</td>
<td>3.3759 ± 0.0688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>2.8553 ± 0.0169</td>
<td>3.2654 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 hours</td>
<td>2.8925 ± 0.0169</td>
<td>3.3663 ± 0.0391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>2.87744 ± 0.0263</td>
<td>3.3324 ± 0.0497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures 1 & 2.*
According to Flemming [2], the process of drug uptake in minicells through diffusion was proved to be unidirectional, meaning that no drug could be leaked. Moreover, unprotonated CQ, after entering the cells and becoming protonated, was proven not to leak out. However, a low percentage of CQ was detected in the release test at both pH 3 and pH 7, so that CQ release could prolong. Besides, it meant that minicells were not affected by pH levels. Normally, other drug delivery systems (DDSs) swell to let chemicals release when pH changes in a wide range. In the minicell case, although the cell did not swell, CQ was released from minicells in both pH levels, which did not affect minicells. CQ binding might be onto the minicells and then CQ was released reversibly. The protonated form of CQ might not diffuse freely across the membrane as the unprotonated form. Since minicells cell walls could comprise most of the components of parent cells, such as peptidoglycan, (lipo)teichoic acids, and protein [25], these characteristics provide different functional groups on the outside surface that can have different reactions or interactions with other groups [26] in the protonated CQ. However, the interaction or binding between CQ and the cell wall was not strong enough to keep CQ permanently bound to the cell surface. Thus, these bonds could be broken and release CQ into the different pH. The wild-type cannot pass the gastrointestinal membrane due to its big size (>1 µm) [27]. The study aimed to find out more evidence of minicell delivery. Therefore, the study did not mention wild-type ability. The study did not focus on pH 1 and pH 2 because the study aimed to develop minicells in a formulation that can deliver a drug in the stomach and cross the stomach wall at pH 3 and around gastric pH. For pH 7, the study was to know whether minicells could deliver drugs stably in plasma and human cells around pH 7. From that, the study pointed out the capacity of the CQ package and release. The reasons for the leak and release shortly might be the membrane structure [27] or vesicles in the membrane [28]. This study focused on minicell delivery and did not compare it to the in vivo dosage because the study aimed to know the effective ways. Moreover, DDS is important to reduce side effects and increase bioavailability. The in vivo dose will be a combination of DDS-CQ after studying well. Therefore, the study did not compare to the in vivo dosage.

### CQ determination using HPLC

To determine whether minicells could package CQ or not, HPLC was used to qualify and quantify CQ in the supernatant after incubation. Similarly, CQ released to the supernatant in the drug release test was also examined by HPLC (Table 3). The standard CQ used for incubation with minicells was also analyzed to ensure precise concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
<th>CQ concentration (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CQ standard stock</td>
<td>7.307</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hour incubation</td>
<td>7.291</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours of release at pH 7</td>
<td>7.368</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Functional group determination

FTIR analysis was applied to three samples to determine whether or not CQ could bind to the minicell, minicell incubated with CQ and pure CQ (Fig. 3). There were changes in peaks of minicells before and after CQ incubation in the IR spectrum. This proved that CQ was outside of minicell, which

![Figure 3. FTIR spectrum of minicell, minicell containing CQ, and CQ](image)

### Table 3. The HPLC result of the supernatant obtained from 1-hour incubation and 2 hours of release at the pH 7 test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
<th>CQ concentration (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CQ standard stock</td>
<td>7.307</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hour incubation</td>
<td>7.291</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours of release at pH 7</td>
<td>7.368</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Number of interactions in the prediction between CQ and cell surface protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RibS</th>
<th>RibL</th>
<th>Mubs</th>
<th>PBP2b</th>
<th>PBP2x</th>
<th>PBPla</th>
<th>MraY</th>
<th>MurG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon hydrogen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional hydrogen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Waals</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π-donor hydrogen bond</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkyl &amp; π-alkyl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π-lone pair</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π-π stacked</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π-anion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π-π T-shaped</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amide-π stacked</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfavorable donor-donor bonds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bonds</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with supernatant after 1-hour incubation, there was a 1.34 mg/ml decrease. This indicated Minicell could package CQ. After 2 hours of incubating minicells-CQ in 1 × PBS (pH 7), a sample of supernatant was determined to have a CQ concentration of 0.517 mg/ml. The result showed that CQ from minicells that have already packaged CQ could be leaked. Moreover, in this test, a small amount of drug was released compared to the amount of drug that was packaged.
meant that CQ could be bound to the minicell cell wall. There were two noticeable changes in the IR spectrum between the three samples. First, the appearance of amine groups in sample minicells-CQ at the peak of around 3,438 cm$^{-1}$ ($\%T = 53.42$). Meanwhile, minicell alone exhibited a spectrum at 3,674.33 cm$^{-1}$ ($\%T = 81.91$) compared to the peak at around 3,712.45 cm$^{-1}$ ($\%T = 59.85$) of CQ.

Moreover, after incubation, the aromatic ring (peak at around 1,600 cm$^{-1}$) appeared in CQ and minicells containing CQ, while minicells did not exhibit it.

**In silico experiment for CQ containing ability of L. acidophilus ATCC 4356 minicells**

About 11 types of noncovalent bonds were displayed among eight proteins. Although noncovalent bonds were supposed to have weaker binding than covalent bonds, more than 10 amino acids involved in the interaction with more than 10 bonds could reinforce the point that CQ can bind to the minicell surface (Table 4).

Along with the FTIR analysis, molecular docking could be used as a reference to explain which interaction and bond might occur during the change in the functional group before and after drug incubation.

**CONCLUSION**

In this report, minicells from *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 have been successfully generated and contained CQ. Based on our in silico screening, minicells containing CQ can be modified to be used as a new targeted drug for cancer treatment. This research contributes to innovation in pharmaceutical science. More studies should be carried out to better understand drug delivery by *L. acidophilus* minicells.
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