Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 13(11), pp 131-143, November, 2023 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2023.145048 ISSN 2231-3354



Molecular modeling of lupeol for antiviral activity and cellular effects

P. B. Lalthanpuii ^(D), C. Lalrinmawia ^(D), B. Lalruatfela ^(D), Lal Ramliana ^(D), K. Lalchhandama^{*} ^(D) Department of Life Sciences, Pachhunga University College, Aizawl, India.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received on: 01/05/2023 Accepted on: 11/10/2023 Available Online: 04/11/2023

Key words: Antiviral activity, lupeol, molecular docking, SARS-CoV-2, target proteins.

ABSTRACT

Lupeol is a naturally occurring pentacyclic triterpenoid present in several plants and is attributed to have anticancer, antiparasitic, and anti-inflammatory properties. Owing to its known antipathogenic and immunomodulatory activities, an *in silico* study was done on its potential interactions with various surface proteins of SARS-CoV-2, a coronavirus that causes COVID-19. Molecular docking indicated that it binds effectively with SARS-CoV-2 proteins that are vital to the life cycle, structural integrity, and virulence of the virus. It showed high binding affinities on the main protease, nucleocapsid phosphoprotein, papain-like protease, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and spike glycoprotein. It was also analyzed for its possible targets on various proteins critical to immune signaling pathways, as well as for its cellular absorption, distribution, excretion, metabolism, and toxicity. The findings suggest that lupeol is a potential drug candidate as an antiviral medication against coronavirus and for immune-related disorders.

INTRODUCTION

The zoonotic coronaviruses such as MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 have evolved into pathogens of global concerns causing episodic pandemics that resulted in alarming social, economic, and health issues only during the last two decades. Despite their overarching detrimental effects on human welfare, not a single pharmaceutical drug has been developed for their management. Repurposed medications, allegedly convenient and usable for the treatment of the symptoms, have not provided a solution or any practical clinical value (Martinez, 2022; Manóchio et al., 2023). These human viruses originated from animal coronaviruses with alarming successes in terms of virulence, infectivity, and mutational adaptations. As they rapidly diversify with new pathogenic adaptations in different hosts since they are first recorded (Lalchhandama, 2021), it is important to look for sources of therapeutic management. Attention has been drawn to natural

products to identify antiviral compounds, which possibly offer insights into potential medications (Giordano *et al.*, 2023; Saifulazmi *et al.*, 2022).

Reflecting on the pandemic events due to viruses, it becomes apparent that global catastrophic infections as such are bound to appear from time to time. However, the imminence of such outbreaks is unpredictable. Technology helped tremendously in the fight against COVID-19 with rapid responses from pharmaceutical industries to produce vaccines. However, this measure does not offer the ultimate prevention or treatment. Several plants have been reported concerning their activities against SARS-CoV-2 with promising results, but without practical outcomes (Ebenezer *et al.*, 2022). Among them, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Piper tuberculatum*, and *Artemisia annua* reportedly reduced the production of SARS-CoV-2 viral particles (Flórez-Álvarez *et al.*, 2022; Nie *et al.*, 2021). However, specific compounds are yet to be identified from these plants.

Lupeol (also fagarsterol) is a dietary triterpenoid found in edible fruits like fig, mango, strawberry; vegetables like cabbage, pepper, tomato; and in plants like aloe, Asiatic ginseng, black tea, ivy gourd, mulberry, pea, and soybean. The compound and its derivatives gained considerable attention as they show a wide range of pharmacological properties including anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and antiprotozoal activities (Osafo *et al.*, 2023; Narendar *et al.*, 2023;

^{*}Corresponding Author

K. Lalchhandama, Department of Life Sciences, Pachhunga University College, Aizawl, India.

E-mail: chhandama @ pucollege.edu.in

^{© 2023} P. B. Lalthanpuii *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Sohag et al., 2022). They are further identified as efficient modulators of immune signaling molecules such as interleukin-2, interleukin-4, interleukin-5, interleukin- β , α -glucosidase, proteases, Bcl-2, cFLIP, and NFkB (Siddique et al., 2011). The medical application is predicted to be much more diverse than the experimental cases (Sohag et al., 2022). It reportedly inhibits the replication of herpes simplex virus 1 (Ye et al., 2021), dengue virus (Monsalve-Escudero et al., 2021), and Zika virus (Santos Pereira et al., 2021). However, its mode of antiviral interaction is not known. The established chemical and biological properties suggest that it exerts antipathogenic activity by specifically interfering with the key viral proteins. Based on this premise, the binding affinity of lupeol on different proteins of SARS-CoV-2 was analyzed, complemented with simulations of the biological properties using absorption, distribution, excretion, metabolism, and toxicity (ADMET) analyses, molecular interactions, and target predictions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ligand retrieval and processing

The three-dimensional structure of lupeol ($C_{30}H_{50}O$, PubChem compound CID: 259846) was retrieved from the chemical database, PubChem (National Centre for Biotechnology Information, United States National Institutes of Health), in an SDF format as shown in Figure 1. Structure optimization and cumulative potential energy minimization were done in Chem Bio 3D Ultra using the force field MMFF94. The ligand was then saved in Protein Data Bank (PDB) format.

Protein retrieval and processing

SARS-CoV-2 proteins of well-established structures based on X-ray crystal structures were used for molecular binding predictions. The target proteins including main protease (Mpro) with PDB code 6Y2E (Zhang *et al.*, 2020), spike glycoprotein with PDB code 6VXX (Walls *et al.*, 2020), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) with PDB code 6M71 (Gao *et al.*, 2020), papain-like protease (PLpro) with PDB code 6W9C (Gao *et al.*, 2021), and nucleocapsid phosphoprotein with PDB code of 6VYO (El-Demerdash *et al.*, 2021) were retrieved from the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics PDB website (www. rcsb.org). Co-factors, water molecules, and unique ligands attached to each protein were removed with Molegro Molecular Viewer 2.5 software (Agrwal *et al.*, 2022) to produce a clear and uninterrupted molecular binding.

Molecular docking

Molecular docking of lupeol to each of the recovered proteins was carried out on the AutoDock Vina platform (Trott and Olson, 2010). AutoDock Vina is considered the most powerful docking tool in predicting and reconstructing drug-molecule interactions (Tang et al., 2022). Polar hydrogens and Kollman charges were added to all the proteins in AutoDockTool-1.5.6 before saving the data in PDB partial charge (Q) and atom type (T) (PDBQT) format. Additionally, lupeol was saved in PDBQT format. Flexible docking was performed on all the proteins. For Mpro, the grid box was created with a coordinate of X dimension = 40 Å, Y dimension = 68 Å, Z dimension = 66 Å, X coordinate of the center = -16.524 Å, Y coordinate of the center = -26.137 Å, Z coordinate of the center = 17.525 Å. For nucleoprotein, grid box with a coordinate of X dimension = 44 Å, Y dimension = 40 Å, Z dimension = 54 Å and X coordinate of the center = -13.723Å, *Y* coordinate of the center = -2.763 Å, *Z* coordinate of the center = -1.831 Å was created. For PLpro, RdRp, and spike proteins, the coordinates for grid boxes were created such as X dimension = 78 Å, Y dimension = 52 Å, Z dimension = 72 Å, X coordinate of the center = -32.692 Å, Y coordinate of the center = 34.009 Å, Z coordinate of the center = 25.535 Å, X dimension = 84 Å, Y dimension = 92 Å, Z dimension = 98 Å, X coordinate of the center = 119.712 Å, Y coordinate of the center = 123.621 Å, Z coordinate of the center = 120.3 Å, and X dimension = 80 Å, Y dimension = 100 Å, Z dimension = 126 Å, X coordinate of the center = 197.815 Å, Y coordinate of the center = 223.402 Å, Z coordinate of the center = 207.349 Å, respectively, to cover all the possible protein binding sites. With an exhaustiveness of 8, lupeol was docked to all the proteins and the outputs were saved for later visual analyses.

Visualization and analyses of interaction

BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2016 v16.1.0.15350 was used for visualization and analytics. The software is a comprehensive tool suitable for micro- to macro-molecules



Figure 1. Molecular structure of lupeol.

for all types of molecular interactions related to biological and antipathogenic activities (Jejurikar and Rohane, 2021). The ligand output and protein PDBQT formats were opened and defined. Nonbond interactions and ligand interactions were selected and labels were added to each of the residues. For each protein, only the interaction with the lowest binding energy and root-mean-square deviation value was saved and converted to image files.

Prediction of activity spectra for substances (PASS)

PASS is an online tool designed to evaluate and predict the biological potential of molecules based on their structural resemblance to other known molecules (Rudik *et al.*, 2019). For this prediction, analysis was done in Way2Drug (http://www. way2drug.com/passonline/predict.php). The SMILES data of lupeol retrieved from PubChem was uploaded for evaluation.

Target prediction

Analysis of molecular targets is critical to determining the probable side effects and bioactivities of drug candidates (Gfeller *et al.*, 2014). For this, Swiss Target Prediction (http:// www.swisstargetprediction.ch/) website was used where the SMILE data of lupeol retrieved from PubChem was uploaded for analysis.

ADMET prediction

Analyzing a compound for its cellular activities based on ADMET is an important step for screening compounds with pharmaceutical potential. For lupeol, an online database, pkCSM (https://biosig.lab.uq.edu.au/pkcsm/), was used where pharmacodynamics of the compound was predicted using SMILES data from PubChem. ADMET analysis was performed according to standard protocol (Pires et al., 2015). The SMILES data retrieved from PubChem were submitted and analyzed in terms of absorption (including Caco-2 cell permeability, intestinal absorption in human, skin permeability, P-glycoprotein substrate, P-glycoprotein I inhibitor, P-glycoprotein II inhibitor, water solubility), distribution (steady-state volume of distribution of human, fraction unbound of human, blood-brain barrier permeability, and central nervous system permeability), metabolism (cytochrome P450 substrates and inhibitors such as CYP1A2 inhibitor, CYP2C9 inhibitor, CYP2C19 inhibitor, CYP2D6 inhibitor, CYP3A3 inhibitor, CYP2D6 substrate, CYP3A4 substrate,), excretion (total clearance and renal organic cation transporter 2 substrate), and toxicity (Ames toxicity, maximum tolerated dose in human, human ether-à-go-gorelated gene I inhibitor, hERG II inhibitor, oral rat acute toxicity (LD₅₀), oral rat chronic toxicity with lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL), hepatotoxicity, skin sensitization, Tetrahymena pyriformis toxicity).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular docking

The molecular docking models showed that lupeol can interact with the vital proteins of SARS-CoV-2 with high binding affinities. The highest binding affinities were seen on lupeol-Mpro, lupeol-spike protein, and lupeol-PLpro, all of which showed binding affinities of -8.2 kcal/mol. Lupeol-RdRp and lupeol-nucleoprotein showed slightly less affinities of -7.5 and -7.0 kcal/mol, respectively

(Table 1). In all the interactions analyzed, all complexes of lupeol with the different proteins showed negative binding energy. Lupeol interacts with Mpro through five amino acid residues namely valine (A:202), histidine (A:246), isoleucine (A:249), proline (A:293 and phenylalanine (A:294) (Figs. 2 and 3). It interacts with the spike protein at tryptophan (A:104), isoleucine (A:119), valine (A:126), phenylalanine (A:192), isoleucine (A:203), histidine (A:207), leucine (A:226), and valine (A:227) (Figs. 4 and 5). With RdRp, it binds at leucine (A:371), alanine (A:375), tryptophan (A:509), leucine (A:514), and tyrosine (A:515) (Figs. 6 and 7). With PLpro, it interacts with isoleucine (A:14), asparagine (A:15), tyrosine (A:71), and proline (A:70), valine (A:72), isoleucine (A:74), and proline (A:162) (Figs. 10 and 11).

Molecular modelings have indicated some natural products as having potential antiviral activities. Andrographolite from *Andrographis paniculate* inhibited Mpro with a high binding affinity (Enmozhi *et al.*, 2021). Peonidin 3-O-glucoside,

 Table 1. Predicted interactions of lupeol to different proteins of SARS-CoV-2.

Protein	Residues on receptor	Interactions	Docking score (kcal/mol)	
	VAL (A:202)			
	HIS (A:246)	Allaul interaction		
Lupeol-Mpro	ILE (A:249)	Di allui interaction	-8.2	
	PRO (A:293)	FI-alkyl Interaction		
	PHE (A:294)			
	TRP (A:104)			
	ILE (A:119)			
	VAL (A:126)			
Luncol Spiles	PHE (A:192)	Alkyl interaction	0 2	
Lupeoi-Spike	ILE (A:203)	Pi-alkyl interaction	-8.2	
	HIS (A:207)			
	LEU (A:226)			
	VAL (A:227)			
	LEU (A:371)			
	ALA (A:375)	Ally interaction		
Lupeol-RdRp	TRP (A:509)	Aikyi interaction	-7.5	
	LEU (A:514)	Pi-alkyl interaction		
	TYR (A:515)			
Lupeol-PLpro	ILE (A:14)	Conventional hydrogen		
	ASN (A:15)	bond	0.0	
	TYR (A:71)	Alkyl interaction	-8.2	
	PRO (A:130)	Pi-alkyl interaction		
Lupeol-	GLN (A:70)	Van der Waals		
	VAL (A:72)	Pi-alkyl interaction	_7.0	
Nucleoprotein	ILE (A:74)	Conventional hydrogen	-/.0	
	PRO (A:162)	bond		



Figure 2. 2D diagram of the interaction of lupeol and SARS-CoV-2 showing amino acid residues of Mpro involved and distance of hydrogen bonds (Å).



Figure 3. 3D diagram of the site of lupeol binding with SARS-CoV-2 Mpro with interacting amino acid residues and hydrogen bonds.

kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside, and 4-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-7methoxy-5-[(6-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl) oxy]-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one, quercetin-3-D-xyloside, and quercetin 3-O-L-arabinopyranoside also showed good binding affinity (Majumder and Mandal, 2022). Kadsurenin L and methysticin from *Piper nigrum* were shown to have Mpro binding energies of -8.43 and -8.20 kcal/mol, respectively (Davella *et al.*, 2022). Calendulaglycoside A showed a high binding affinity with a binding energy of -9.90 kcal/mol (Zaki *et al.*, 2022).

Betulinic acid, azadirachtin A, ginsenoside Rg2, somniferine, soyasapogenol C, and saikosaponin A are also reported to have high binding affinities (Rudrapal *et al.*, 2022). Anolignans, chebulic acid, minusopic acid, mulberrosides, and punigluconin were shown to have similar binding affinity on Mpro as the



Figure 4. 2D diagram of the interaction of lupeol and spike protein showing amino acid residues involved and distance of hydrogen bonds (Å).



Figure 5. 3D diagram of the site of lupeol binding with spike protein with interacting amino acid residues and hydrogen bonds.

standard drugs such as Remdesivir (RdRp inhibitor) and Darunavir (Mpro inhibitor) (Sharma *et al.*, 2022). Prescription antibiotics, lefamulin, and bedaquiline showed dock scores of -9.153 and -8.989 kcal/mole, respectively on Mpro (Nandi *et al.*, 2022). It has been reported that lupeol exhibited antiviral activity against Herpes simplex virus type 1 by inhibiting the viral genes (Ye *et al.*, 2021),

and the present study extends the potential antiviral activity against the coronavirus. Lupeol showed binding affinities with Mpro, RdRp, and Plpro of SARS-CoV-2 with affinities of -8.2, -7.5, and -8.2 kcal/ml, respectively, which indicate that the compound has a potential to be investigated as a lead molecule for the development of an antiviral drug against coronaviruses.



Figure 6. 2D diagram of the interaction of lupeol and RdRp showing amino acid residues involved and distance of hydrogen bonds (Å).



Figure 7. 3D diagram of the site of lupeol binding with RdRp with interacting amino acid residues and hydrogen bonds.



Figure 8. 2D diagram of the interaction of lupeol and PLpro showing receptor amino acid residues involved and distance of hydrogen bonds (Å).



Figure 9. 3D diagram of the site of lupeol binding with PLpro with interacting amino acid residues and hydrogen bonds.



Figure 10. 2D diagram of the interaction of lupeol and nucleoprotein showing receptor amino acid residues involved and distance of hydrogen bonds (Å).



Figure 11. 3D diagram of the site of lupeol binding with nucleoprotein with interacting amino acid residues and hydrogen bonds.

Less is known about the binding potential of probable antiviral compounds on the spike protein, a key component of coronaviruses that contributes to pathogenicity and evolution of viral strains (Lalchhandama, 2021). Oleanolic acid, tryptanthrin, chrysophanol, and rhein were predicted to block the S protein (Yu and Li, 2022). Our simulation indicates that lupeol binds with S protein with a relatively high binding affinity (-8.2 kcal/mol), which further suggests its possible inhibition of the interaction with the S protein with the ACE2 receptor, a critical event in coronavirus infection. Additionally, our data also indicate that lupeol can also interact with nucleoprotein, thereby intercepting viral replication.

PASS prediction

To fully understand the pharmacological potentials of any drug candidate, it is important to identify its probable target molecules for tracking its mechanism of action. Predictions of the potential biological properties showed that lupeol may have important therapeutic applications in various immune disorders, including cancer and parasitic diseases (Liu et al., 2021; Sohag et al., 2022). All the tested target molecules in the present study indicate probable activity (Pa) above the threshold, i.e., Pa > Pi(Table 2). The highest predicted activities, having Pa to Pi ratio more than 200:1, were on caspase 3 stimulant (244:1), transcription factor NF kappa B stimulant (947:1), transcription factor stimulant (947:1), antineoplastic (237:1), hepatoprotectant (453:1), antileishmanial (297:1), caspase 8 stimulant (865:1), melanoma (336:1), lung cancer (212:1), and colorectal cancer (209:1). Lupeol has been experimentally shown to have anticancer activities against different tumor cell lines (Bhatt et al., 2021; Maurya et al., 2020), and as a chemotherapy adjuvant (Khan et al., 2023), thereby corroborating with the predicted functional activities.

Target prediction

Lupeol was found to target a wide array of proteins from enzymes, membrane transporters, and ion channels to receptors (Table 3). The major target molecules, indicated in Figure 12, consisted of general enzymes (24%), nuclear receptor (12%), cytochrome P450 (12%), phosphatase (12%), hydrolase (8%), family A G protein-coupled receptor (8%), unclassified protein (8%), oxidoreductase (4%), electrochemical transporter (4%), lyase (4%), and voltage-gated ion channel (4%). These are the cellular molecules recognized for their roles in several pharmacological functions (Cao *et al.*, 2012; Juřica *et al.*, 2015).

Some of the predicted targets for lupeol include 11-betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1, UDP-glycuronosyltransferase, cyclooxygenase-1, acetylcholinesterase, dual specificity phosphatase Cdc25A and Cdc25B, SUMO-activating enzymes, DNA polymerase beta, prostaglandin E synthase, aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10, androgen receptor, LXR-alpha, nuclear receptor subfamily 1 group I member 3, and cytochrome P450 2C19, 19A1, 17A1.

ADMET prediction

Crucial information for any potential drug molecule is how it can affect physiology and cellular functions, and ADMET is a powerful tool for such preliminary screening (Pires *et al.*, 2015). Lupeol is poorly soluble in water, having a Table 2. Prediction of probable biological activities of lupeol.

Activity	Probability to be active (Pa)	Probability to be inactive (Pi)
Caspase 3 stimulant	0.978	0.002
Transcription factor NF kappa B stimulant	0.947	0.001
Transcription factor stimulant	0.947	0.001
Antineoplastic	0.950	0.004
Hepatoprotectant	0.907	0.002
Antiprotozoal (Leishmania)	0.891	0.003
Apoptosis agonist	0.883	0.005
Caspase 8 stimulant	0.865	0.001
Acylcarnitine hydrolase inhibitor	0.869	0.006
Antineoplastic (melanoma)	0.858	0.003
Testosterone 17-beta-dehydrogenase (NADP+) inhibitor	0.861	0.012
Antineoplastic (lung cancer)	0.850	0.004
Alkenylglycerophosphocholine hydrolase inhibitor	0.854	0.010
Alkylacetylglycerophosphatase inhibitor	0.845	0.006
Mucomembranous protector	0.847	0.009
Antineoplastic (colorectal cancer)	0.836	0.004
Oxidoreductase inhibitor	0.834	0.005
Antineoplastic (colon cancer)	0.831	0.004
Antineoplastic (ovarian cancer)	0.821	0.003
Antineoplastic (breast cancer)	0.799	0.004
Chemopreventive	0.792	0.004
CYP2J substrate	0.806	0.019
Antieczematic	0.798	0.019
Hepatic disorders treatment	0.776	0.004
Phosphatase inhibitor	0.770	0.004
UGT1A4 substrate	0.752	0.004
CYP2C9 inducer	0.747	0.003
Antineoplastic (thyroid cancer)	0.741	0.000
Immunosuppressant	0.750	0.011
Dermatologic	0.736	0.006
Antineoplastic (cervical cancer)	0.728	0.004
Antinociceptive	0.726	0.003
Myc inhibitor	0.725	0.002
Beta glucuronidase inhibitor	0.724	0.004
Acetylcholine neuromuscular blocking agent	0.720	0.004
Retinol dehydrogenase inhibitor	0.706	0.002
Carminative	0.701	0.007
Antiinflammatory	0.708	0.015
CYP2J2 substrate	0.720	0.028

 $\log S = -5.861 \log mol/l at 25^{\circ}C$, and only partially permeable as determined by Caco-2 permeability test (1.226 log Papp in 10^{-6} cm/s). 95.782% of lupeol is predicted to be absorbed via

	Table 3.	Probable	targets of	of lupeol	given by	Swiss	target prediction.
--	----------	----------	------------	-----------	----------	-------	--------------------

Target	Common name	Uniprot ID	ChEMBL ID	Target class
11-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1	HSD11B1	P28845	CHEMBL4235	Enzyme
UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B7	UGT2B7	P16662	CHEMBL4370	Enzyme
Androgen receptor	AR	P10275	CHEMBL1871	Nuclear receptor
LXR-alpha	NR1H3	Q13133	CHEMBL2808	Nuclear receptor
Cyclooxygenase-1	PTGS1	P23219	CHEMBL221	Oxidoreductase
Acetylcholinesterase	ACHE	P22303	CHEMBL220	Hydrolase
Cytochrome P450 2C19	CYP2C19	P33261	CHEMBL3622	Cytochrome P450
Dual specificity phosphatase Cdc25A	CDC25A	P30304	CHEMBL3775	Phosphatase
Dual specificity phosphatase Cdc25B	CDC25B	P30305	CHEMBL4804	Phosphatase
Protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B	PTPN1	P18031	CHEMBL335	Phosphatase
Cytochrome P450 19A1	CYP19A1	P11511	CHEMBL1978	Cytochrome P450
Nuclear receptor subfamily 1 group I member 3 (by homology)	NR1I3	Q14994	CHEMBL5503	Nuclear receptor
SUMO-activating enzyme	SAE1 UBA2	Q9UBE0 Q9UBT2	CHEMBL2095174	Enzyme
DNA polymerase beta (by homology)	POLB	P06746	CHEMBL2392	Enzyme
Prostaglandin E synthase	PTGES	O14684	CHEMBL5658	Enzyme
Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10	AKR1B10	O60218	CHEMBL5983	Enzyme
Cannabinoid receptor 2	CNR2	P34972	CHEMBL253	Family A G protein-coupled receptor
G-protein coupled bile acid receptor 1	GPBAR1	Q8TDU6	CHEMBL5409	Family A G protein-coupled receptor
Sonic hedgehog protein (by homology)	SHH	Q15465	CHEMBL5602	Unclassified protein
Cytochrome P450 17A1	CYP17A1	P05093	CHEMBL3522	Cytochrome P450
Transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M member 8	TRPM8	Q7Z2W7	CHEMBL1075319	Voltage-gated ion channel
Carbonic anhydrase IV	CA4	P22748	CHEMBL3729	Lyase
Butyrylcholinesterase	BCHE	P06276	CHEMBL1914	Hydrolase
Sterol regulatory element-binding protein 2	SREBF2	Q12772	CHEMBL1795166	Unclassified protein
Norepinephrine transporter	SLC6A2	P23975	CHEMBL222	Electrochemical transporter



Figure 12. Probable targets of lupeol as given by Swiss target prediction.

Table 4. Prediction of ADMET of lupeol by pkCSM online platform.

Property	Model Name	Predicted value		
	Water solubility	-5.861 log mol/l		
	Caco-2 permeability	1.226 log Papp in 10 ⁻⁶ cm/s		
	Intestinal absorption (human)	95.782 % Absorbed		
Absorption	Skin permeability	-2.744 log Kp		
	P-glycoprotein substrate	No		
	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	Yes		
	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	Yes		
	VDss (human)	0 log l/kg		
Distribution	Fraction unbound (human)	0 Fu		
Distribution	BBB permeability	0.726 log BB		
	CNS permeability	-1.714 log PS		
	CYP2D6 substrate	No		
	CYP3A4 substrate	Yes		
Metabolism	CYP1A2 inhibitior	No		
	CYP2C19 inhibitior	No		
	CYP2C9 inhibitior	No		
	CYP2D6 inhibitior	No		
	CYP3A4 inhibitior	No		
Excretion	Total clearance	0.153 log ml/minute/kg		
	Renal OCT2 substrate	No		
	Ames toxicity	No		
	Max. tolerated dose (human)	-0.502 log mg/kg/day		
Toxicity	hERG I inhibitor	No		
	hERG II inhibitor	Yes		
	Oral rat acute toxicity (LD_{50})	2.563 mol/kg		
	Oral rat chronic toxicity (LOAEL)	0.89 log mg/kg_bw/day		
	Hepatotoxicity	No		
	Skin sensitization	No		
	T. pyriformis toxicity	0.316 log ug/L		
	Minnow toxicity	-1.696 log mM		

the intestine and has a skin permeability of -2.744 log Kp. While it does not act as a P-glycoprotein substrate, it acts as an inhibitor of both P-glycoprotein I and P-glycoprotein II. Steadystate volume of distribution and unbound fraction in blood plasma were predicted to be zero. It was predicted to be readily permeable across the blood-brain barrier (0.726 log BB) and central nervous system (-1.714 log PS). It showed no inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4. It acts as a substrate of CYP3A4 but not of CYP2D6. It does not act as a substrate of organic cation transporter 2 and has a total clearance of 0.153 log ml/minute/kg.

It showed no mutagenicity as given by the Ames test and is not toxic to the liver. Skin sensitization is predicted to be zero. It indicated the maximum tolerated dose as $-0.502 \log mg/kg/day$. It does not act as an inhibitor of human hERG I, while it inhibits hERG II. The LD₅₀ and LOAEL in rats are predicted to be 2.563 mol/kg and 0.89 log/mg bw/day, respectively. Minnow and *T. pyriformis* toxicity tests showed -1.696 log mM and 0.316 log ug/l, respectively (Table 4). The predicted physiological effects suggest that lupeol is highly favorable to cellular functions. It can be readily absorbed through the intestine and shows no predictable hepatotoxicity. It ostensibly acts as a protectant for the liver. These data strengthen the potential use of lupeol as medication based on the known biological effects (Bhatt *et al.*, 2021; Maurya *et al.*, 2020; Narendar *et al.*, 2023; Osafo *et al.*, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Molecular predictions indicate that lupeol can be a lead compound as an antiviral drug for its ability to interact with the vital cell surface proteins of coronavirus. It showed high binding affinities with Mpro, RdRp, Plpro, and S protein with affinities of -8.2, -7.5, -8.2, and -8.2 kcal/ml, respectively. These are the critical proteins of SARS-CoV-2 for infectivity, virulence, and adaptation. Lupeol also has potential additional pharmacological properties as it can interact with signaling proteins that are fundamental to normal cellular functions. Our data further add to the repertoire of lupeol as an anticancer agent as it is predicted to interact with a cohort of neoplastic conditions including breast cancer, cervical cancer, colorectal cancer, colon cancer, lung carcinoma, melanoma, ovarian carcinoma, and thyroid cancer. Therefore, our findings warrant experimental investigations to understand lupeol for pharmaceutical applications as antiviral and other medicinal activities.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The study was supported by DBT-BUILDER (BT/ INF/22/SP41398/2021) of the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India. PBL and CLR are research staff under the scheme.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

All authors declare no conflict of interests.

ETHICS APPROVALS

This study does not involve experiments on animals or human subjects.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated and analyzed are included within this research article.

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

REFERENCES

Agrwal A, Juneja S, Dwivedi S, Kasana V. Molecular docking and antimicrobial analyses of synthesized imidazole derivatives in solvent less condition, adjacent to human pathogenic bacterial strains. Mater Today Proc, 2022; 57:2250–4.

Bhatt M, Patel M, Adnan M, Reddy MN. Anti-metastatic effects of lupeol via the inhibition of MAPK/ERK pathway in lung cancer. Anticancer Agents Med Chem, 2021; 21:201–6.

Cao DS, Liu S, Xu QS, Lu HM, Huang JH, Hu QN, Liang YZ. Large-scale prediction of drug-target interactions using protein sequences and drug topological structures. Anal Chim Acta, 2012; 752:1–10.

Davella R, Gurrapu S, Mamidala E. Phenolic compounds as promising drug candidates against COVID-19—an integrated molecular docking and dynamics simulation study. Mater Today Proc, 2022; 51:522–7.

Ebenezer O, Bodede O, Awolade P, Jordaan MA, Ogunsakin RE, Shapi M. Medicinal plants with anti-SARS-CoV activity repurposing for treatment of COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Pharm, 2022; 72(2):199–224.

El-Demerdash A, Hassan A, Abd El-Aziz TM, Stockand JD, Arafa RK. Marine brominated tyrosine alkaloids as promising inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2. Molecules, 2021; 26:6171.

Enmozhi SK, Raja K, Sebastine I, Joseph J. Andrographolide as a potential inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 main protease: an *in silico* approach. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 2021; 39:3092–8.

Flórez-Álvarez L, Martínez-Moreno J, Zapata-Cardona MI, Galeano E, Alzate-Guarin F, Zapata W. *In vitro* antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 of plant extracts used in Colombian traditional medicine. Vitae, 2022; 29:347854.

Gao Y, Yan L, Huang Y, Liu F, Zhao Y, Cao L, Wang T, Sun Q, Ming Z, Zhang L, Ge J. Structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from COVID-19 virus. Science, 2020; 368:779–82.

Gao X, Qin B, Chen P, Zhu K, Hou P, Wojdyla JA, Wang M, Cui S. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease. Acta Pharm Sinica B, 2021; 11:237–45.

Gfeller D, Grosdidier A, Wirth M, Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. SwissTargetPrediction: a web server for target prediction of bioactive small molecules. Nucleic Acids Res, 2014; 42:32–8.

Giordano D, Facchiano A, Carbone V. Food plant secondary metabolites antiviral activity and their possible roles in SARS-CoV-2 treatment: an overview. Molecules, 2023; 28(6):2470.

Jejurikar BL, Rohane SH. Drug designing in discovery studio. Asian J Rer Chem, 2021; 14(2):135–8.

Juřica J, Dovrtělová G, Nosková K, Zendulka O. Bile acids, nuclear receptors and cytochrome P450. Physiol Res, 2016; 65(4):S427–40.

Khan MA, Singh D, Fatma H, Akhtar K, Arjmand F, Maurya S, Siddique HR. Antiandrogen enzalutamide induced genetic, cellular, and hepatic damages: amelioration by triterpene lupeol. Drug Chem Toxicol, 2023; 46(2):380–91.

Lalchhandama K. A history of coronaviruses. WikiJ Med, 2021; 9:5(1–19).

Liu K, Zhang X, Xie L, Deng M, Chen H, Song J, Long J, Li X, Luo J. Lupeol and its derivatives as anticancer and anti-inflammatory agents: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic efficacy. Pharmacol Res, 2021; 164:105373.

Majumder R, Mandal M. Screening of plant-based natural compounds as a potential COVID-19 main protease inhibitor: an *in silico* docking and molecular dynamics simulation approach. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 2022; 40:696–711.

Manóchio C, Torres-Loureiro S, Scudeler MM, Miwa B, Souza-Santos FC, Rodrigues-Soares F. Theranostics for COVID-19 antiviral drugs: prospects and challenges for worldwide precision/personalized medicine. OMICS J Integr Biol, 2023; 27:0151.

Martinez MA. Efficacy of repurposed antiviral drugs: lessons from COVID-19. Drug Discov Today, 2022; 27:1954–60.

Maurya SK, Shadab GG, Siddique HR. Chemosensitization of therapy resistant tumors: targeting multiple cell signaling pathways by lupeol, a pentacyclic triterpene. Curr Pharm Des, 2020; 26:455–65.

Monsalve-Escudero LM, Loaiza-Cano V, Zapata-Cardona MI, Quintero-Gil DC, Hernández-Mira E, Pájaro-González Y, Oliveros-Díaz AF, Diaz-Castillo F, Quiñones W, Robledo S, Martinez-Gutierrez M. The antiviral and virucidal activities of voacangine and structural analogs extracted from *Tabernaemontana cymosa* depend on the dengue virus strain. Plants, 2021; 10(7):1280.

Nandi S, Kumar M, Saxena AK. Repurposing of drugs and HTS to combat SARS-CoV-2 main protease utilizing structure-based molecular docking. Lett Drug Des Discov, 2022; 19:413–27.

Narendar K, Rao BS, Tirunavalli S, Jadav SS, Andugulapati SB, Ramalingam V, Babu KS. Synthesis of novel thiazoles bearing lupeol derivatives as potent anticancer and anti-inflammatory agents. Nat Prod Res, 2023; 5:1–8.

Nie C, Trimpert J, Moon S, Haag R, Gilmore K, Kaufer BB, Seeberger PH. *In vitro* efficacy of *Artemisia* extracts against SARS-CoV-2. Virol J, 2021; 18:1–7.

Osafo T, Philips TJ, Adomako AK, Borquaye LS, Ekuadzi E, Appiah-Opong R, Dickson RA. *In vitro* antileishmanial activity and molecular docking studies of lupeol and monostearin, isolated from *Parkia biglobosa*. Sci Afr, 2023; 19:e01464.

Pires DE, Blundell TL, Ascher DB. pkCSM: predicting smallmolecule pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties using graph-based signatures. J Med Chem, 2015; 58:4066–72.

Rudik A, Bezhentsev V, Dmitriev A, Lagunin A, Filimonov D, Poroikov V. Metatox-Web application for generation of metabolic pathways and toxicity estimation. J Bioinform Comput Biol, 2019; 17:1940001.

Rudrapal M, Gogoi N, Chetia D, Khan J, Banwas S, Alshehri B, Alaidarous MA, Laddha UD, Khairnar SJ, Walode SG. Repurposing of phytomedicine-derived bioactive compounds with promising anti-SARS-CoV-2 potential: molecular docking, MD simulation and drug-likeness/ ADMET studies. Saudi J Biol Sci, 2022; 29:2432–46.

Saifulazmi NF, Rohani ER, Harun S, Bunawan H, Hamezah HS, Nor Muhammad NA, Azizan KA, Ahmed QU, Fakurazi S, Mediani A, Sarian MN. A review with updated perspectives on the antiviral potentials of traditional medicinal plants and their prospects in antiviral therapy. Life, 2022; 22(12):1287.

Santos Pereira R, Vasconcelos Costa V, Luiz Menezes Gomes G, Rodrigues Valadares Campana P, Maia de Pádua R, Barbosa M, Oki Y, Heiden G, Fernandes GW, Menezes de Oliveira D, Souza DG. Anti-Zika virus activity of plant extracts containing polyphenols and triterpenes on Vero CCL-81 and human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. Chem Biodivers, 2022; 19(4):e202100842.

Sharma A, Vora J, Patel D, Sinha S, Jha PC, Shrivastava N. Identification of natural inhibitors against prime targets of SARS-CoV-2 using molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulation and MM-PBSA approaches. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 2022; 40:3296–311.

Siddique HR, Saleem M. Beneficial health effects of lupeol triterpene: a review of preclinical studies. Life Sci, 2011; 88:285–93.

Sohag AA, Hossain T, Rahaman A, Rahman P, Hasan MS, Das RC, Khan MK, Sikder MH, Alam M, Uddin J, Rahman H. Molecular pharmacology and therapeutic advances of the pentacyclic triterpene lupeol. Phytomedicine, 2022; 99:154012.

Tang S, Chen R, Lin M, Lin Q, Zhu Y, Ding J, Hu H, Ling M, Wu J. Accelerating autodock Vina with GPUS. Molecules, 2022; 27(9):3041.

Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comput Chem, 2010; 31:455–61.

Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. Cell, 2020; 181:281–92.

Ye J, Wang Z, Jia J, Li F, Wang Y, Jiang Y, Ren Z, Pu H. Lupeol impairs herpes simplex virus type 1 replication by inhibiting the promoter activity of the viral immediate early gene α 0. Acta Virol, 2021; 65:254–63.

Yu R, Li P. Screening of potential spike glycoprotein/ACE2 dual antagonists against COVID-19 *in silico* molecular docking. J Virol Methods, 2022; 301:114424.

Zaki AA, Ashour A, Elhady SS, Darwish KM, Al-Karmalawy AA. Calendulaglycoside A showing potential activity against SARS-CoV-2 main protease: molecular docking, molecular dynamics, and SAR studies. J Trad Complement Med, 2022; 12:16–34.

Zhang L, Lin D, Sun X, Curth U, Drosten C, Sauerhering L, Becker S, Rox K, Hilgenfeld R. Crystal structure of SARS-Cov-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved a-ketoamide inhibitors. Science, 2020; 368:409–12.

How to cite this article:

Lalthanpuii PB, Lalrinmawia C, Lalruatfela B, Ramliana L, Lalchhandama K. Molecular modeling of lupeol for antiviral activity and cellular effects. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2023; 13(11):131–143.