Prebiotic fructooligosaccharides ameliorate the lipopolysaccharides-induced oxidative stress of mice brain
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ABSTRACT
A bacterial endotoxin called lipopolysaccharide (LPS) causes brain oxidative stress, which in turn causes neurotoxicity and psychological disorder. In the current investigation, the pharmacological effects of prebiotic fructooligosaccharides (FOS) were assessed in mice brains that had undergone oxidative stress induced by LPS. Eight-week-old female adult Swiss albino mice were maintained in six groups: Group I as control and LPS (1 mg/kg bw) was administered intraperitoneally to Groups II–IV. FOS supplementation was given through oral gavaging to Group III (2 g/kg bw) and IV (4 g/kg bw) for 4 weeks following a 5-day LPS exposure, but not to Group II. Group V (2 g/kg bw) and VI (4 g/kg bw) received FOS for 4 weeks. Results showed that the LPS-induced brain oxidative stress by increasing malondialdehyde (MDA) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) content, whereas decreased the antioxidant defense enzymes activity. After FOS supplementation, LPS-induced oxidative stress was modulated in a dose-dependent way by decreasing levels of MDA, GSSG, and increased antioxidant defense enzymes activity such as glutathione reductase, catalase, superoxide dismutase, total, and reduced glutathione. Moreover, the FOS modulated the LPS-led decreased body and brain weight to control level. Thus, the antioxidative property of FOS modulates the LPS-induced oxidative stress of mice brains.

HIGHLIGHTS
• LPS disrupts antioxidant homeostasis and induced oxidative stress in the brain of mice.
• Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) supplementation ameliorated oxidative stress in LPS-induced mice.
• FOS regulates body and brain weight.
• FOS shows anti-oxidative and healing properties.

INTRODUCTION
Neurotoxicity happens when exposure to natural or artificial substances (neurotoxins) disrupts the normal functioning of the central and peripheral neural systems. It is one of the main contributors to neurodegenerative disorders like schizophrenia and Parkinson’s disease and manifested in various signs of psychiatric illnesses, including oxidative stress and inflammation [1]. For the central nervous system (CNS) to function normally, brain oxidative homeostasis is necessary. The antioxidant response system’s ability to scavenge excess free radicals including superoxide (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl (OH$^-$), and peroxy radical (ROO$^-$) is reduced, causes organ dysfunction [2]. The brain is susceptible to severe oxidative harm because it consumes a lot of oxygen and has minimal antioxidant action [3]. Gram-negative bacteria (like *Escherichia coli*) produce the endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in their cell walls, which is a well-known neuro and immunotoxic agent [4]. LPS disturbs the barrier between brain tissues and circulating blood and indirectly affects nerve cells [5]. LPS is considered a psychotic agent that can activate the neuroimmune response via toll-like receptors (TLRs) present in several neuronal cell types, including microglia, endothelial cells, and astrocytes, and generate free radicals, inflammatory cytokines, and other mediators to cause inflammation and oxidative stress [6]. The LPS-induced brain oxidative stress is not well explored; therefore, a comprehensive study is necessary to understand the mechanism.
The prebiotics are nondigestible substances, such as fibers and carbohydrates that encourage the growth of beneficial microbes that are already present in the gut, which, in turn, may positively impact the microbiota-gut-brain axis [7]. The FOS are used as prebiotics, which are thought to be advantageous for the host's benefit because they encourage the healthy gut microbiota (GM) [8]. Many food, including wheat, chicory roots, asparagus, and garlic, contain FOS in various amounts. The fructose units in FOS are joined with β (2 → 1) glycosidic connections along with a terminal glucose unit [9]. Small intestine glycosidase does not hydrolyze FOS; therefore, it passes through to the colon where it is extensively fermented by GM. Their ensuing creation of organic acids might boost the host's defense against harmful bacteria [10]. Few studies have suggested that the supplementation of prebiotics might enhance psychiatric health by acting as an antioxidant. In this study, we hypothesized that FOS supplementation inhibits oxidative stress to improve mental health. The present study is a pioneer attempt to investigate how FOS may potentially counteract or mitigate the oxidative stress caused by LPS in the brain of mice.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and study design**

The 8 weeks old Swiss albino female mice (body weight: 22 ± 3 g) were purchased from the Indian Institute of Toxicology Research, Lucknow, India, and kept in polypropylene cages with ad-libitum food and water with 12/12 light-dark cycles in a suitable environment (temperature, 23°C ± 2°C and humidity, 55% ± 5%). Mice were split into six groups at random after 2 weeks of acclimatization.

I. **Control**: given 0.9% NaCl (saline) for 5 days.

II. **LPS**: exposed to LPS 1 mg/kg bw (*E. coli* O26:B6, L-2654; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 5 days and reared up to 28 days.

III. **LPS + FOS<sub>LOW</sub>**: given LPS 1 mg/kg bw for 5 days, followed by FOS 2 g/kg bw (F8052, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 28 days.

IV. **LPS + FOS<sub>HIGH</sub>**: given LPS 1 mg/kg bw for 5 days, subsequently supplemented FOS 4 g/kg bw for 28 days.

V. **FOS<sub>LOW</sub>**: given FOS 2 g/kg bw for 28 days.

VI. **FOS<sub>HIGH</sub>**: given FOS 4 g/kg bw for 28 days.

**Assay of brain oxidative stress**

**Protein estimation**

Using the Bradford procedure [13] and bovine serum albumin (1 mg/ml) as the reference, the total protein contents of the samples were calculated. 900 µl of Bradford reagent was combined with 100 µl of standard solution or an unknown protein sample. The UV-visible spectroscopy measured the absorbance [optical density (OD)] of the sample at 595 nm.

**Antioxidant defense parameters**

PPB, a potassium phosphate buffer with a pH of 7.4, was used to homogenize the brain tissue. The supernatants from the centrifugation of 2 ml of tissue homogenate at 10,000 g for 15 minutes were used to assess the levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione reductase (GR).

**Superoxide dismutase**

According to Beauchamp and Fridovich's approach [14], the activity of SOD was measured. In simple terms, 900 µl of the reaction mixture [0.05 M PPB, 0.1 M methionine, 0.1 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.45 M nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT), 0.01 M riboflavin] was combined with 100 µl of tissue supernatant, which was then incubated for an hour under lights. The UV-visible spectroscopy measured the OD of the purple-colored complex at 560 nm against the blank. One unit of SOD is defined as the amount of enzyme that reduces NBT (Code: MB107, HIMEDIA, Mumbai, INDIA) by 50% and is expressed in units per mg of protein.

**Catalase**

The approach of Cohen et al. [15] and Aebi [16] was used to assess CAT activity. In brief, 500 µl of supernatant was combined with 5 µl of ethanol and placed in the ice box for 30 minutes. Then, 450 µl of this aliquot was combined with 50 µl of Tritan-X-100. 100 µl of this sample was obtained, and 1.4 ml of 13 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added. The sample’s OD was estimated using the absorption coefficient of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which is 43.6 M<sup>−1</sup> cm<sup>−1</sup>, and measured spectrophotometrically at 240 nm. One unit of CAT was defined as the amount of enzyme required to degrade 1 µM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in 1 minute.

**Glutathione reductase**

The GR activity was estimated by Massey and William’s method [17]. 900 µl of the reaction mixture [50 mM PPB, 120-mM oxidized glutathione (GSSG), and 4.5-mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)] was mixed with 100 µl of tissue supernatant. OD was recorded at 340 nm against blank. Enzyme activity was calculated using NADPH’s extinction coefficient, which was 6.22 mM<sup>−1</sup> cm<sup>−1</sup>.

**Estimation of total glutathione (T-GSH), GSSG, and reduced glutathione (R-GSH)**

With slight modifications, the methods of Tietze [18] and Griffith [19] were used to estimate the T-GSH, R-GSH, and GSSG levels. Briefly, 1 ml of tissue supernatant was added to an equivalent quantity of the ice-cold trichloroacetic acid (5% v/v) and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 20 minutes. For the
T-GSH assay, 900 µl of a reaction mixture comprising 6 mM 5,5′-Dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid), 0.3 mM NADPH, 50 mM PPB, and 25 units/ml of GR were combined with 100 µl of cell supernatant. T-GSH was determined by OD at 412 nm using R-GSH as standard. For the GSSG assay, endogenous GSH is fully derivatized by adding 2 µl of 4-vinyl pyridine in 100-µl supernatant and kept at 25°C. The assay was performed similarly to that of the T-GSH assay. According to Olsvik et al. [20], the quantity of R-GSH (T-GSH-GSSG) and the oxidative stress index (OSI = 100× (2 × GSSG) / T-GSH) were determined.

**Measurement of malondialdehyde (MDA)**

The modified Ohkawa et al. [21] method was used to determine the lipid peroxides in the tissue homogenate. In brief, 1,800 µl of the reaction mixture comprising 10% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate, 20% v/v acetic acid, 1% w/v thiobarbituric acid (TBA), 1% w/v butylatedhydroxytoluene was added to 200 µl of brain tissue supernatant and properly mixed. Then, for around 60 minutes, the reactants were heated at 95°C in the water bath. The tubes were brought to 25°C temperature and separation of the organic layer was facilitated by 10 minutes of centrifugation at 1,000 rpm and OD was recorded at 532 nm. The 1,1,3,3-tetraethoxypropane, used as the standard, was used to determine the production of TBA reactive substance (TBARS). The concentration of TBARS was calculated using the molar extinction value of 1.56 × 10^5 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. In terms of nmol TBARS formed/mg protein was used to express the outcome.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed by GraphPad Prism5 software and reported as mean ± SD. Moreover, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test were used for the measurement of statistical differences at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

**RESULTS**

FOS supplementation prevents the body and brain weight decreased by LPS exposure

Post hoc analysis revealed a significant effect was observed on body weight \[ F (5, 166) = 20.49, p < 0.001, \] and brain weight \[ F (5, 35) = 20.87, p < 0.001. \] When compared to the control group, the body weight of the LPS \( p < 0.001 \) and LPS + FOS<sub>low</sub> \( p < 0.01 \) treated mice was considerably lower. Other groups revealed no substantial differences in body weight from that of the control. Further, compared to LPS-exposed mice, the body weight was increased in LPS + FOS<sub>high</sub> \( p < 0.01 \), LPS + FOS<sub>high</sub>, FOS<sub>low</sub>, and FOS<sub>high</sub> \( p < 0.001 \) for all group. There was a significant decrease in brain weight in LPS-induced mice \( p < 0.001 \) whereas increased in only FOS<sub>high</sub> dose \( 4 \) g/kg bw, \( p < 0.05 \) treated mice in comparison with control. Furthermore, results manifested that the FOS supplementation significantly enhanced brain weight in LPS + FOS<sub>low</sub> \( p < 0.01 \), LPS + FOS<sub>high</sub>, FOS<sub>low</sub>, and FOS<sub>high</sub> \( p < 0.001 \) exposed mice compared with the LPS treatment (Fig. 1).

**Analysis of LPS and FOS exposure on oxidative stress of brain**

**Estimation of SOD, CAT, and GR in the brain**

In the treatment group, post hoc analysis revealed a significant shift in the levels of SOD \[ F (5, 35) = 19.97, p < 0.001, \] CAT \[ F (5, 35) = 16.32, p < 0.001, \] and GR \[ F (5, 35) = 12.31, p < 0.001 \] in the brain tissue. The LPS significantly decreased activities of SOD, CAT, and GR (52%, 40%, and 34%, \( p < 0.001 \)), and only the FOS<sub>high</sub> group significantly increased activities of SOD and CAT (24% and 25% respectively, \( p < 0.05 \)) compared to control mice. Furthermore, between experimental groups, the SOD activity was substantially increased in LPS + FOS<sub>low</sub> \( 71\%, p < 0.01 \), LPS + FOS<sub>high</sub> \( 100\%, p < 0.001 \), FOS<sub>low</sub> \( 78\%, p < 0.01 \), and FOS<sub>high</sub> \( 157\%, p < 0.001 \) compared to the LPS treated mice. After FOS treatment, the CAT activity was significantly increased in LPS + FOS<sub>low</sub> \( 53\%, p < 0.01 \), and LPS + FOS<sub>high</sub> \( 100\%, p < 0.001 \).
< 0.01), LPS + FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (92%, p < 0.001), FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (53%, p < 0.01), and FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (107%, p < 0.001) groups comparison to LPS exposed mice. GR activity significantly elevated in LPS + FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (45%, p < 0.01), FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (40%, p < 0.01), and FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (72%, p < 0.001) comparison to LPS treatment (Fig. 2).

**Glutathione (T-GSH, R-GSH, and GSSG) activity**

Figure 3 represents the effects of LPS and FOS on GSH (T-GSH and R-GSH), and GSSG status in brain homogenates of mice. A post hoc analysis indicated that the treated mice had a substantial impact on T-GSH [F (5, 35) = 43.57, p < 0.001], R-GSH [F (5, 35) = 54.87, p < 0.001], and GSSG [F (5, 35) = 92.45, p < 0.001]. The activity of T-GSH and R-GSH was significantly decreased in the LPS (50% and 62%, respectively, p < 0.001) and LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (14% and 15%, respectively, p < 0.05) compared to the control group. Compared to LPS treatment, T-GSH and R-GSH significantly elevated in both the FOS co-treated groups (LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW}: 71% and 121%, p < 0.001; LPS + FOS\textsubscript{HIGH}: 79% and 133%, p < 0.001) as well as that only received FOS administration (FOS\textsubscript{LOW}: 76% and 127%, p < 0.001; FOS\textsubscript{HIGH}: 80% and 137%, p < 0.001) mice. The activity of GSSG was significantly increased in the LPS (49%, p < 0.001) and LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (8%, p < 0.05) exposed mice. While the supplementation of FOS treatment significantly decreased the activity of GSSG in all four groups [LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (28%), LPS + FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (33%), FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (31%), and FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (37%), p < 0.001 for all] compared with LPS treatment.

**Oxidative stress index**

A Tukey’s test analysis found that there was a substantial difference in OSI [F (5, 35) = 239.1, p < 0.001] among the groups. The OSI was significantly elevated in LPS (195%, p < 0.001) and LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (25%, p < 0.05) groups compared to the control mice. However, supplementation with FOS showed a significant reduction of OSI in LPS + FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (58%), LPS + FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (62%), FOS\textsubscript{LOW} (61%), and FOS\textsubscript{HIGH} (65%) (p < 0.001 for all) in comparison with the LPS treated mice (Fig. 3).

**Figure 2.** Graph displaying the level of SOD, CAT, GR, and MDA in the brain as measured (A), (B), (C), and (D), respectively. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, expressed as mean ± SD. Significant differences from the control group at *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001 and from the LPS-exposed group at ##p < 0.01 and ###p < 0.001.
and psychiatric illness via inflammation and oxidative stress. LPS is also a well-known immune stressor that brings oxidative stress and elevation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines e.g., IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α [22] after binding with the TLR-4. The increased inflammatory cytokines and oxidative stress mediators like reactive oxygen species (ROS) collectively resulted in neuroinflammation and further neurodegeneration. It is possible that LPS-induced neuroinflammation and symptoms of sickness could be associated with decreased body and brain weight [23]. Few studies have already shown a connection between oxidative stress-induced progressive lipid, protein, and DNA damage and weight loss in both the body and the brain [24]. Likewise, this study also showed a decrease in protein content and inflammation which could be corroborated with decreased body and brain weight in LPS-exposed mice. LPS triggers an immediate inflammatory response that results in disproportionately high concentrations of chemically reactive molecules in the brain, such as ROS and peroxides [25]. The LPS exposure activates TLR-4, is primarily exposed to microglia in

**Determination of MDA level**

In post hoc analysis, treated mice demonstrated a significant change in the MDA level \( F(5, 35) = 44.53, p < 0.001 \) (Fig. 2). LPS exposure significantly increased MDA production (130%, \( p < 0.001 \)) in mice brain when compared with control/vehicle group. In comparison, supplementation with FOS significantly decreased MDA levels in all four groups \([LPS + FOS_{LOW} (47%), LPS + FOS_{HIGH} (64%), FOS_{LOW} (60%), and FOS_{HIGH} (64%), p < 0.001\) for all] compared with LPS treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

The current work focused on the prebiotic FOS-mediated amelioration of the oxidative stress in mice’s brains caused by LPS. The outcomes of the research revealed that the FOS modulated the LPS-induced psychiatric illness and neurotoxicity in a dose-dependent way, with high doses of FOS (4 g/kg bw) being more efficacious than low doses (2 g/kg bw). The LPS is a bacterial endotoxin found in the outer body wall of gram-negative bacteria that causes neurotoxicity and psychiatric illness via inflammation and oxidative stress. LPS is also a well-known immune stressor that brings oxidative stress and elevation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines e.g., IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α [22] after binding with the TLR-4. The increased inflammatory cytokines and oxidative stress mediators like reactive oxygen species (ROS) collectively resulted in neuroinflammation and further neurodegeneration.

It is possible that LPS-induced neuroinflammation and symptoms of sickness could be associated with decreased body and brain weight [23]. Few studies have already shown a connection between oxidative stress-induced progressive lipid, protein, and DNA damage and weight loss in both the body and the brain [24]. Likewise, this study also showed a decrease in protein content and inflammation which could be corroborated with decreased body and brain weight in LPS-exposed mice. LPS triggers an immediate inflammatory response that results in disproportionately high concentrations of chemically reactive molecules in the brain, such as ROS and peroxides [25]. The LPS exposure activates TLR-4, is primarily exposed to microglia in

![Figure 3. Graph showing the level of (A) T-GSH, (B) R-GSH, (C) GSSG, and (D) OSI in the brain. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, expressed as mean ± SD. Significant differences from the control group at *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001 and from the LPS-exposed group at ###p < 0.001.](image-url)
the brain, and is the key mediator of neuroinflammation [26]. This study presents systemic inflammation caused by LPS treatment that changes the oxidative status of the brain. The decrease of SOD, CAT, GR, T-GSH, and R-GSH, as well as an increase in MDA and GSSG in the brain, are indications of an increase in free radical production. Many studies have shown that LPS alters the cellular anti-oxidant defense system’s equilibrium and exerts a negative impact on the brain by increasing superoxide and H2O2 formation and the breakdown of lipids and proteins, as reported [27]. Impairment of this endogenous anti-oxidant enzyme, such as CAT, SOD, GR, and other components, including glutathione, makes it impossible for the enzymes to counteract the generation of ROS following LPS induction. In addition, the reduced strength of the anti-oxidant defense mechanism and high peroxidation activity irreversibly impaired the neuronal cell biochemistry which is a key marker of neuronal aging and death.

The prebiotics FOSs are not digestible carbohydrates and induce beneficial bacterial growth in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and modulate inflammation [28]. In addition to regulating the GI tract, prebiotics are essential to protect brain cells. Furthermore, the short-chain fatty acid (SCFA), including butyrate, are manufactured by gut microbes using the FOS to generate bioactive metabolites that interact with the CNS and control the inflammatory response of microglia [29]. The previous research showed that the GM influences tryptophan metabolism and controls serotonin signaling by producing neurotransmitters including norepinephrine, dopamine, and gamma amino butyric acid [30]. The balance level of abovementioned neurotransmitters is a prerequisite for the smooth functioning of the neuronal cell mechanism. Consequently, nutritional components with immunoregulatory qualities, such as probiotics and prebiotics, may enhance the host’s health [31].

In the present study, supplementing FOS to LPS-exposed mice restored body and brain weight which might be due to reducing stress levels. In addition, our study showed that FOS supplementation significantly increased SOD, CAT, GR, R-GSH, and T-GSH and reduced GSSG and MDA activity in LPS-exposed mice brains. It is noteworthy that prebiotics prevent mitochondrial ROS production in the brain region [32]. Some reports suggested that SCFA is a potent anti-oxidant, reducing oxidative stress against LPS exposure [33]. In an in vitro study, the SCFA inhibits ROS production and MDA level, enhancing the SOD activity against the LPS [34]. A recent study also suggested that FOS supplementation is positively attributed to anti-oxidative properties, thus enhancing gut immune function and microbial diversity, which may benefit mental health [35]. Few prebiotic oligosaccharides have beneficial effects to combat oxidative stress by directly or indirectly neutralizing free radicals and conferring neuroprotective and neuromodulating benefits [36].

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study proved that FOS is a powerful modulator of the psychiatric condition induced by the LPS. The FOS led the anti-oxidative properties and maintains the anti-oxidant level. Thus, this study established the beneficial role of FOS in brain biochemistry, indicating new avenues in the field of neuropsychopharmacology. However, we hope that our findings regarding the antioxidant activity of FOS would help in future research.
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