Molecular studies of bioactive peptides of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) skin protein hydrolysate DLBS3D33 as MMP-2 inhibitor
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**ABSTRACT**

Proteins of the Nile tilapia skin have long been known to possess wound healing activity even though the mechanism underlying this effect is still unclear. There are indications that the timely decrease of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) concentration after the inflammation-triggered increase is one of the important factors affecting the progression of wound healing. Thus, in this study, the MMP-2 inhibitory ability of Nile tilapia skin protein hydrolysate DLBS3D33 was assessed with *in vitro* reverse gelatin zymography. The molecular weight distribution and secondary structure of DLBS3D33 were characterized with size exclusion chromatography and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy whereas each peptide species was isolated with thin-layer chromatography. The result suggested that DLBS3D33 had six different peptides, with ~60% of the peptides sized below 600 Da and in an α-helix or coiled structure. These peptides showed an MMP-2 inhibitory effect, with 50% inhibition of 1 µg MMP-2 achieved with 35.3–41.2 mg of the DLBS3D33 protein. Hence, the hydrolysis of Nile tilapia skin successfully produced bioactive peptides with MMP-2 inhibitory activity.

**INTRODUCTION**

Nile tilapia (Latin name *Oreochromis niloticus*) is the third most commonly cultured fish in the world according to the Food and Agriculture Organization statistics, with production which reached around 4.5 million metric tons in 2018. However, *O. niloticus* is normally exported in the form of fish fillet, which contributes only about 34%–37% of the total mass of the fish. In other words, about 2.7 million metric tons of the produced biomass will be discarded as waste (Choonpicharn *et al.*, 2015; Sary *et al.*, 2017).

Amongst the tilapia waste is its skin which still contains high-quality proteins. The skin has empirically been proven to aid in wound healing (Costa *et al.*, 2019; Júnior *et al.*, 2019). Some research revealed that the skin proteins impart this effect along with other biological activities. This essentially means that the wound healing capacity might be improved even further through hydrolysis of the skin proteins into peptides (Choonpicharn *et al.*, 2015; Robert *et al.*, 2015). Hydrolyzed proteins are generally better as they are easier to absorb due to their lower molecular weight (León-López *et al.*, 2019; Lin *et al.*, 2021). This is of utmost importance as wound healing compounds are normally applied topically, so they have to follow the 500 Da rule to allow better penetration across the skin barriers (Bos and Meinardi, 2000).

Several studies have characterized the wound healing potency of *O. niloticus* skin hydrolysates, such as the works by Hu *et al.* (2017) and Elbialy *et al.* (2020). Hu *et al.* (2017) characterized the Nile tilapia skin protein hydrolysates obtained through enzymatic hydrolysis with protease and reported its therapeutic effects on burns care. *In vitro* and *in vivo* tests done in this study supported the notion that the skin protein hydrolysates were potent for such an objective. Meanwhile, Elbialy *et al.* (2020) established a new understanding of the mechanism behind the acceleration of the wound healing process by tilapia skin protein...
The hydrolysates were found to speed up the process through the upregulation of genes encoding growth factors such as transforming growth factor beta-1, basic fibroblast growth factor, and alpha-smooth muscle actin. The expression of genes for these growth factors speeds up the fibroblast and myofibroblast proliferation and, eventually, wound healing.

Proliferation, however, is only a part of the complicated wound healing mechanism. The process actually consists of four interlinking phases—hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling. In the first stage, platelets in the blood will form clots on the wound to prevent excessive blood loss. Next, white blood cells in the blood will clean up the wound area during the inflammation period to prevent infection. Afterward, the proliferative growth factors are recruited to the site to induce proliferation of the fibroblast, allowing reepithelialization of the wound area. Last, the newly formed tissue is progressively digested and rebuilt with stronger material in the remodeling phase (Caley et al., 2015).

The wound healing process is tightly regulated by several factors, with the matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs) enzyme family being one of the most prominent regulators. MMPs play important roles in all stages of wound healing, and their activities are kept in check by their inhibitors (Caley et al., 2015; de Oliveira Gonzalez et al., 2016). Matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) is amongst the MMPs involved in early wound healing (i.e., inflammation period). MMP-2 assists in platelet adhesion and aggregation, degrades potential microbial biofilm present, and triggers keratinocyte proliferation and migration (Caley et al., 2015). MMP-2 concentration will then decrease after progression into the proliferation phase. In fact, prolonged elevation of MMP-2 concentration is one of the indicators of a chronic or unhealed wound, and the use of its inhibitors improves the outcome of the wound (Sabino and auf dem Keller, 2015).

As in vivo trials with tilapia skin protein extract so far have never reported the incidence of chronic wounds, the skin extract and hydrolysate might have an additional inhibitory effect against MMP-2. In addition, it has previously been demonstrated with gelatin reverse zymography that a peptide from a tilapia skin hydrolysate exerted inhibitory activity against matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-9), a homolog of MMP-2. This study revealed that the activity was maintained when tested in vivo, where the peptide was able to protect human keratinocytes from UV-triggered, MMP-9-mediated damage (Xiao et al., 2019). Ma et al. (2018) also observed similar results while testing the photoprotective capacity of another peptide of tilapia skin hydrolysate for mouse embryonic fibroblasts irradiated with UVB.

Hence, this work aimed to analyze the activity of hydrolyzed proteins from tilapia skin—termed DLBS3D33—as an MMP-2 inhibitor. A modified reverse gelatin zymography method was developed to fulfill this purpose. DLBS3D33 was obtained by hydrolysis of the tilapia skin with a protease from the pineapple stem (i.e., bromelain). DLBS3D33 was then characterized with size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy to analyze the molecular weight distribution and the secondary structure of its peptides, respectively. In addition, peptides in DLBS3D33 were screened with thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and 2D electrophoresis.

### Materials and Methods

This research was divided into five major stages: protein extraction by enzymatic hydrolysis, quantitative analysis, protein characterization, screening and isolation of peptides, and in vitro study. The methods used are explained below, and the overall process flow is summarized in Figure 1.

**Materials**

The tilapia skin (as substrate) and the pineapple stem (as source of natural protease) were obtained from local suppliers. The skin was washed with running tap water to remove the leftover meat and other materials. The skin was then drained and stored in a −20°C freezer. The pineapple stem was washed prior to preparation work. After washing, the outer skin of the pineapple stem was peeled, and the peeled stem was cut and ground into pieces approximately 1 cm in length. The protease activity of the pineapple stem was quantified prior to use in hydrolysis following the methods of Rahayu et al. (2017). All reagents used were analytical-grade chemicals sourced from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The human MMP-2 protein used in the in vitro gelatin reverse zymography assay was purchased from Acro Biosystems (Newark, DE, USA).

**Enzymatic extraction and hydrolysis of tilapia skin and posthydrolysis processing**

The hydrolysis was done with optimized conditions (see Appendix A): reaction temperature and time of 60°C for 60 minutes (Fig. A1), protease solution (i.e., pineapple stem bromelain) with an activity of 60 U/ml (Fig. A2), and solid-to-liquid (STR) ratio of 1:5 (Fig. A3). The solvent used in the hydrolysis was purified water. The preoptimization was done in a duplicate manner, and both sets of results were used to obtain the average value shown in the graph.

After the hydrolysis, the hydrolysate was filtered twice with a 200-µm nylon filter and 0.1 µm microfilter to remove larger and smaller insoluble particles. The filtrate was then heated at 90°C for 30 minutes. The resulting solution was regarded as DLBS3D33. Next, DLBS3D33 was evaporated to 10% of its initial volume with a rotary evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor R215, Flawil, Switzerland) at 40°C to obtain a DLBS3D33 concentrate. The DLBS3D33 solution was then powdered using a freeze dryer to yield DLBS3D33 powder (Martin Christ Alpha 1-2 LDplus, Osterode am Harz, Germany) at −50°C.

**Quantitative analysis of DLBS3D33 protein and collagen**

Protein and collagen quantification were used as determining factors in hydrolysis optimization and also for comparing DLBS3D33 quality throughout processing. Both values were measured using spectrophotometric-based methods (Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Quantification of protein in DLBS3D33 was done with the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951), whereas collagen was quantified indirectly based on Hydroxyproline (HYP) concentration following the method described by Cissell et al. (2017). HYP concentration was converted into collagen following the formula below:

\[
\text{collagen concentration (mg mL}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{HYP concentration (mg mL}^{-1}\text{)} \times 100}{13.5}
\]
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DLBS3D33 protein characterization

SEC was employed to analyze the molecular weight distribution of DLBS3D33. Superdex® 30 pg in HiLoad® 16/600 column (Cytiva Life Sciences, Marlborough, MA) was used as the stationary phase. The mobile phase employed was phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (contains 0.5 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.5 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 10 mM NaCl) (López-Morales et al., 2019). 1 ml of DLBS3D33 was injected into the column with a flow rate of 0.5 ml/minutes, and the output was read at 280 nm. Vitamin B2 (375.36 Da), deoxidized glutathione (612 Da), and vitamin B12 (1355 Da) were employed as standard molecules and were injected in the same manner. Readouts from these standards molecules were used as comparators to map the molecular weight distribution of DLBS3D33 peptides.

The secondary structure of peptides in DLBS3D33 was observed indirectly through their infrared absorption spectra. FTIR spectroscopy (FTIR; PerkinElmer UATR Two, Waltham, MA) was utilized with the spectra produced in the wavenumber range of 4,000–700 cm$^{-1}$.

Screening and isolation of peptides

TLC was used for the screening of peptides in DLBS3D33. Ten µl of DLBS3D33 was applied to the bottom part of the TLC plate (Merck) with an automatic sampler (CAMAG Automatic TLC Sampler 4, Muttenz, Switzerland). The eluent used was 0.4% ninhydrin dissolved in n-butanol, acetic acid, and water (3:1:1 ratio). The bromelain solution used in the hydrolysis process was also resolved along with a commercially available hydrolyzed tilapia skin collagen for use as a control and comparator, respectively.

The peptides in DLBS3D33 were resolved with 2D electrophoresis (2D-E) according to the method by Rahayu et al. (2016) with slight modification to include the tricine-SDS-PAGE system instead of glycine-SDS-PAGE. DLBS3D33 was mixed with a 70% trichloroacetic acid solution in a 9:1 ratio and then incubated in an ice bath for 15 minutes. Afterward, the mixture was centrifuged at 11,500 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was dried and reconstituted with a rehydration buffer to the initial volume. Then, the first step of 2D-E, isoelectric focusing, was carried out by rehydrating an immobilized pH 3–10 gradient strip (IPG strip; Bio-Rad Ready Strip 7 cm, Hercules, CA) with 125 µl of the reconstituted pellet solution. The condition for isoelectric focusing was rapid ramping to 250 V for 15 minutes followed by gradual ramping to 4,000 V for 1 hour (Bio-Rad Protean i12 IEF Cell). The voltage was then kept at 4,000 V for 15,000 V-Hr.

For the second dimension, a tricine-SDS-PAGE gel was prepared. Two layers of resolving layer were made with polyacrylamide concentrations of 10% and 16%. Then, the IPG strip from the previous dimension was placed atop the 10% layer and secured with 0.5% agarose gel. After the agarose solidified, the gel was run at 120 V for 100 minutes (Bio-Rad PowerPac Basic & Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell) alongside an ultra-low molecular weight marker with the size range of 1.02–26.60 kDa. After the process, the Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 solution was used as the staining solution. The gel was soaked in the staining solution for 2 hours and then in the destaining solution for another 2 hours.

Figure 1. Outline of the research. The processing done to the substrate (i.e., fish skin) to produce DLBS3D33 and the assays done to characterize and assess DLBS3D33 activity were detailed.
In Vitro MMP-2 inhibitory study

The activity of DLBS3D33 as an MMP-2 inhibitor was assessed with cell-free reverse gelatin zymography. The technique was adapted from Ren et al. (2017) with several modifications, as detailed below.

The MMP-2 solution with a concentration of 5 μg/ml was prepared and activated by incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes. The incubated MMP-2 solution was mixed with samples in a 1:2 ratio and then reincubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours. The MMP-2 sample mix was run in the gelatin polyacrylamide gel at 100 V for 100 minutes. The gelatin reverse zymography gel was prepared following the standard formulation of glycine-SDS-PAGE gel with a polyacrylamide concentration of 12% and 0.1% gelatin as the substrate.

After electrophoresis, the gel was soaked and agitated in a 2.5% Triton X-100 solution for 30 minutes or until the blue stain disappeared. The gel was then incubated in a 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer solution pH 7.4 containing 5 mM calcium chloride overnight. The gel was stained in the Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 solution for 2 hours following the end of incubation and rinsed with the destaining solution for 30 minutes. The area and intensity of the resulting clear bands in the gel were analyzed with the ImageJ version 1.44 and GelAnalyzer version 19.1 software and compared to control—MMP-2 mixed with purified water in a 1:2 ratio.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DLBS3D33 protein and collagen content

DLBS3D33 was obtained by hydrolysis of Nile tilapia skin with a natural protease from pineapple stem as the stem contains the highest level of protease activity (Rahayu et al., 2017). The hydrolysate was then processed with two-step filtration to remove the leftover solid material and evaporation to concentrate the solution. To determine the effectivity of the hydrolysis system and ensure retention of peptides, protein and collagen contents of DLBS3D33 throughout the processing were quantified (Table 1).

The hydrolysis of 144 g of tilapia skin resulted in 20,000 mg of protein in DLBS3D33 after filtration, which means the protein and collagen yields were estimated to be around 13.71% and 6.43%, respectively. The level of collagen obtained was more or less in agreement with previous publications on tilapia skin hydrolysis. Song et al. (2021) obtained collagen yields of as much as 7.60%–8.14% with pepsin hydrolysis and 4.27%–4.76% with acid hydrolysis. The commercial tilapia skin protein hydrolysate tested also gave a readout in a similar range (Table 1).

On the other hand, the protein yield was lower than that reported by Giraldo-Rios et al. (2020), which was around 15%–27.2% using alkaline. This slight discrepancy can be attributed to the different extraction methods and/or the difference in composition of tilapia fish based on their habitat. Nonetheless, the protein content of DLBS3D33 powder is still higher than the commercial product tested in this study (Table 1).

Table 1. DLBS3D33 protein and collagen content throughout the processing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Protein content</th>
<th>Collagen content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLBS3D33</td>
<td>mg/ml solution</td>
<td>20.15</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBS3D33 concentrate</td>
<td>mg/ml solution</td>
<td>175.99</td>
<td>22.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBS3D33 powder</td>
<td>g/g powder</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial hydrolyzed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tilapia skin collagen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>powder</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
observed at 1,634 and 1,532 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating that the majority of the DLBS3D33 peptides are in α-helix and coiled structures (Tatulian, 2019; Yang et al., 2016).

Native collagen, the major protein in tilapia skin, has a special tertiary structure of a triple helix. The presence of this feature can be identified with FTIR analysis through the ratio of absorption at amide III and C-H stretch region. The closer the ratio to 1, the more the amount of the intact triple helix structure, indicating unsuccessful hydrolysis (Plepis et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2019). The calculated amide III/C-H stretch ratio of DLBS3D33 is 0.78, identical to the ratio of a commercially available tilapia skin collagen hydrolysate. In addition, overlaid spectra of DLBS3D33 and the commercial sample (subset of Fig. 3) showed more or less similar peaks. This observation indicates that the current method of tilapia skin hydrolysis is successful in hydrolyzing the skin protein, at the very least the collagen part, into smaller peptides.

**Screening, isolation, and identification of DLBS3D33 peptides**

The peptides in DLBS3D33 were resolved with TLC together with the bromelain solution as a control (Fig. 4, lanes 1 and 2). The comparison is essential as TLC is a very sensitive method that could detect a small concentration of peptides potentially contributed by the bromelain solution. Corresponding to this fact, the bromelain solution produced seven bands with ninhydrin staining. These bands were all retained in DLBS3D33 even though bands 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7 were differently colored compared to the bromelain. Hence, DLBS3D33 potentially has six different peptides, including one additional band (band 8) found only in the DLBS3D33 lane.

The chromatogram of DLBS3D33 was compared to those of the commercial tilapia skin collagen hydrolysate (Fig. 4, lane 3). The collagen hydrolysate produced some bands with $R_f$ and coloration similar to DLBS3D33—bands 1, 2, and 6. It is possible that these bands are indeed collagen peptides resulting from the hydrolysis of the skin collagen, though further research needs to be done to investigate the identity of the peptides definitively.
Meanwhile, the sequestration of the DLBS3D33 peptides with 2D electrophoresis (Fig. 5) resulted in five different spots, all sized above 6.5 kDa. Correlating it back to the molecular weight distribution of the DLBS3D33 peptides (Table 2), the peptides observed from the 2D-E separation account for only around 25% of the whole population. This is due to the fact that lower-sized peptides were underrepresented in the tricine-SDS-PAGE system as it can only detect peptides with a minimum size of 1 kDa (Jiang et al., 2016). The previous hydrolysis of fish skin protein with bromelain has yielded a hydrolysate with a higher peptide size range due to its high specificity (Cheung and Li-Chan, 2017), consistent with what was found in this study (Table 2). Hence, the combinatory approach of TLC and 2D-E implemented herein can provide a more complete insight into the peptides’ identity—2D-E focuses on larger-sized peptides and TLC on smaller-sized peptides.

**Evaluation of bioactivity of DLBS3D33 peptides as MMP-2 inhibitors**

The capacity of DLBS3D33 in inhibiting MMP-2 was analyzed with a modified gelatin reverse zymography method. In typical reverse zymography, the electrophoresis gel is impregnated with the substrate of the target enzyme and then used for the electrophoresis of a potential inhibitor. Afterward, the gel is incubated in the enzyme solution, causing the breakdown of the substrate in all parts of the gel beside the area where inhibitors are resolved. Subsequent staining of the gel will result in a deep blue band of inhibitors against a faint blue background.
In this study, the modification was done by mixing the enzyme (i.e., MMP-2) with the sample with potential inhibitory activity prior to loading into the gel. By doing so, the peptides in DLBS3D33 will bind to MMP-2 first and then travel through the gel together. Uninhibited MMP-2 resolved in the gel will digest gelatin around its bands and produce clear bands after the staining and destaining processes. On the other hand, if the DLBS3D33 peptides have inhibitory activity against MMP-2, the gelatin will not be broken; thus, no clear bands will be produced. After staining, the noninhibited MMP-2 will be depicted as clear zones whereas the inhibited MMP-2 will be of a dark blue color similar to the background. This modification reduced the amount of enzyme needed for the procedure and ensured the reaction between MMP-2 and the DLBS3D33 peptides. In this setup, pure MMP-2 needs to be run in the same gel as a reference. The difference between the typical and modified reverse zymography method and the result is portrayed in Figure 6.

DLBS3D33 was serially diluted for the concentration-dependent activity test. Initially, 10 µl of DLBS3D33 loaded into gels contained 2.83 mg of protein. DLBS3D33 was then diluted into four additional samples with protein contents of 1.415, 0.566, 0.378, and 0.283 mg. The lowest and highest concentrations of DLBS3D33 that were tested, without the addition of MMP-2, were used as negative controls to ensure the hydrolysate did not produce clear zones on its own (Fig. 7, lanes 1 and 2), whereas MMP-2 and MMP-2 diluted in purified water (in 1:2 ratio, similar to the MMP-2/sample ratio) were used as the positive controls (lanes 3 and 4). MMP-2 produced three distinguishable clear zones (lane 3), which were retained but reduced in their intensity after mixing with PW (lane 4). Since the addition of samples would cause the same dilution effect, the bands from MMP-2 diluted with PW were used as the reference.

DLBS3D33, containing 2.83 mg of protein, reduced the area and intensity of almost all MMP-2 bands, leaving only a faint band B (Fig. 7, lane 5). As the concentration of the DLBS3D33 protein was lowered, the MMP-2 clear zones reappeared and progressively became more similar to the positive control (lanes 6–9). To quantify these changes, the area and intensity of each band were measured, and both data were multiplied by each other to give the relative MMP-2 inhibition unit. The relative inhibition units were plotted and depicted in Figure 8 along with the total relative inhibition unit.

Figure 4. TLC chromatogram of bromelain, DLBS3D33, and commercially available tilapia skin collagen hydrolysate. The separation resulted in seven and eight bands in bromelain protease (Brom; lane 1) and DLBS3D33 (3D33; lane 2), respectively. The chromatogram of DLBS3D33 was then compared to the chromatogram of commercial tilapia skin collagen hydrolysate (Comm; lane 3) to check for potential hydrolyzed collagen peptides in DLBS3D33.

Figure 5. 2D electrophoresis of DLBS3D33. Five spots were formed in total. The first three spots (A–C) were sized around 17–26.2 kDa with estimated pI of 5.7, 6.4, and 8.1, respectively. The fourth (D) and fifth (E) spots were sized around 6.5–14.2 kDa with estimated pI of 4.9 and 4.4, respectively.
Figure 8 confirms that DLBS3D33 inhibits the MMP-2 in a dose-dependent manner. As the amount of DLBS3D33 protein increased, the relative inhibition unit decreased for every MMP-2 band. Nonetheless, DLBS3D33 protein as little as 0.283 mg can already induce a reduction of the clear zones, especially in bands A and C. Based on the total relative inhibition unit, it is estimated that around 0.6–0.7 mg of the DLBS3D33 protein will be needed to give 50% inhibition of MMP-2 in this setup. As MMP-2 present in each sample was 0.017 µg, it can be deduced that, for every 1 µg of MMP-2, 35.3–41.2 mg of the DLBS3D33 protein is needed to inhibit 50% of the MMP-2 activity.

Generally, inhibition or downregulation of MMP-2 can be achieved through several methods (Mohan et al., 2016, Fields, 2019). First is the use of a chelating agent to bind the Zn^{2+}-dependent active site in the catalytic region. This will directly prevent the binding of substrate and subsequently prevent its breakdown. However, this type of inhibitor is disadvantageous as the catalytic site sequence is generally conserved across all MMPs. The second method is by utilizing molecules that can bind to the hemopexin-like domain, whose sequence is more diverse, thus offering better specificity. The specificity can also be further escalated by the third method—targeting of the collagen-binding domain. This approach improves the specificity as said domain
is found only in gelatinase-type MMPs (i.e., MMP-2 and MMP-9). Moreover, the hemopexin-like domain function is to aid in opening the triple helix and positioning the collagen molecules in regard to the catalytic site (Fields, 2019; Mohan et al., 2016; Ndinguri et al., 2012; Van Doren, 2015).

It has been established that DLBS3D3 consists of smaller-sized peptides, some of which are collagen peptides. Small peptides have been shown to inhibit MMPs mostly through binding of the enzyme active site or the hemopexin-like domain (Das et al., 2021; Mohan et al., 2016). For example, Ma et al. (2018) revealed that a peptide derived from tilapia skin gelatin inhibited MMP-1 and MMP-9 through the binding of the Zn$^{2+}$ catalytic site. Meanwhile, other studies have derived the sequence of substrates typically recognized by the enzyme as inhibitors (Fields, 2019; Mohan et al., 2016; Van Doren, 2015). This is most definitely the case for many collagen peptides, as established by Van Doren (2015). Thus, it is highly likely that DLBS3D3 might work in the same way, with the peptide preventing the breakdown of the substrate through binding of the hemopexin-like or collagen-binding domain. These kinds of inhibitions might allow not only specified targeting of the MMP but also specified effect on the MMP. In the case of MMP-2, the binding of inhibitors to the collagen-binding domain might prevent the gelatinase activity but not its other activities (Van Doren, 2015). This way, the enzyme is not totally incapacitated and hence prevents dysfunction in the body mechanism.

CONCLUSIONS

Hydrolysis of Nile tilapia skin with bromelain successfully produced hydrolysate DLBS3D33, which contained a high level of protein and collagen. The peptides in DLBS3D33 were found to be sized predominantly below 600 Da and were in an α-helix and coiled-coil conformation. It was estimated that DLBS3D33 consisted of six different species of peptides, five of which were isolated and identified. In vitro analysis with a modified gelatin reverse zymography method demonstrated the MMP-2 inhibitory activity of DLBS3D33. Quantification of the area and intensity of the clear zones revealed that 50% inhibition of 1 µg MMP-2 was achieved with around 35.3–41.2 mg of the DLBS3D33 protein. Further study needs to be done to unravel the specific molecular mechanisms underlying the MMP-2 inhibitory activity of DLBS3D33.
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APPENDIX

A  Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of Nile tilapia skin with natural protease from pineapple stem

Hydrolysis conditions were optimized in a stepwise manner. First, the temperature and time of hydrolysis were varied while the protease activity and STR ratio were kept constant. Afterward, the chosen temperature and time were used as constant variables to optimize protease activity, with the STR ratio kept at one value. Lastly, the preferred temperature and time of hydrolysis along with the chosen protease activity were applied for the optimization of the STR ratio. All variables were assessed in terms of protein and collagen yield. The step by step of the hydrolysis optimization experiment as explained above is summarized in Table 3 along with the preferred variation.

A.1  Hydrolysis temperature and time

Quantitative analysis of the protein hydrolysate reveals that the hydrolysis temperature of 60°C yields the highest protein content at every timepoint (Fig. A1a). The highest protein content is achieved just after 60 minutes of hydrolysis at this temperature, after which the protein level generally becomes stagnant. The stagnancy indirectly indicates that the hydrolysis process was completed. In addition, observation done by Jutamongkon and Charoenrein (2010) revealed that prolonged heat exposure resulted in instability and eventual loss of function in bromelain. Thus, a longer extraction period might have broken the bromelain and rendered it nonfunctional, triggering the halt of the reaction.

The collagen level throughout hydrolysis time shows a similar pattern of reaching its peak after 45–60 minutes of hydrolysis (Fig. A1b). However, the collagen content is higher with a hydrolysis temperature of 70°C. This discrepancy can be attributed back to the nature of the collagen assay that relies on HYP count. Higher heat may destroy the fish protein, resulting in lower protein content, but it will increase the number of HYP detected in the collagen test. Moreover, research on bromelain tolerance to high temperature revealed that the threshold is around 65°C, after which the activity would promptly decline (de Lencastre Novaes et al., 2016). If the temperature of 70°C was applied, that extraction and hydrolysis of fish protein might not be effective as bromelain from the pineapple stem might be broken. The optimized condition for hydrolysis with bromelain is therefore deemed satisfactory—hydrolysis temperature and time of 60°C for 60 minutes. This result is consistent with previous findings regarding the conditions for optimum activity of bromelain (Arshad et al., 2014; Bala et al., 2012).

A.2  Protease Activity of Bromelain from Pineapple Stem Solution

Protein profiling uncovers a stark difference between the hydrolysates obtained without and with a protease, in which there is still a lot of protein sized above 26.2 kDa in the hydrolysate without the protease (data not shown). The Lowry protein measurement backs this notion, displaying that the hydrolysate obtained without bromelain has significantly lower protein content (Fig. A2a). Thus, the bromelain obtained from the pineapple stem successfully hydrolyzes the protein in tilapia skin.

Generally, when the substrate quantity is kept constant, the rate of reaction, and eventually the reaction yield, substantially increases as the amount of enzyme increases. The velocity shows a linear relationship with the concentration of enzymes used for the reaction. However, maximum velocity will be reached after a certain point where the addition of more enzymes has no effect as there is no more substrate available to break down (Robinson, 2015). Based on the Lowry protein measurement (Fig. A2a), the maximum yield is achieved with the bromelain solution with a protease activity of 60 U/ml. This data is supported by the collagen measurement, in which the amount of collagen obtained with 60 U/ml of bromelain was second only to the hydrolysate obtained

Figure A1. Assessment of hydrolysates obtained from various hydrolysis temperatures and times. (a) The protein yield (mg protein/g skin) along with (b) the collagen yield (mg collagen/g skin) according to Lowry and HYP method, respectively. The SD of the data was shown as shaded areas across the line.
with 15 U/ml of bromelain (Fig. A2b). Thus, it is confirmed that the optimum bromelain activity for hydrolysis is 60 U/ml.

A.3 Solid-to-Liquid Ratio

As the reaction mixture became more saturated with the substrate, the concentration of protein and collagen in the solution increased yet their absolute yields decreased (Fig. A3a and b). This is to be expected as there would be less room for the interaction of the enzyme solution and the substrate with a higher STR ratio (Jafari et al., 2020). Yet, it is also worth noting that the hydrolysate more saturated with the substrate (i.e., 1:3 ratio) still produced a similar protein profile to the less saturated hydrolysate. This means that, in this case, high saturation of the substrate only alters the quantity of the protein and collagen extracted and not the end product of the hydrolysis (i.e., hydrolyzed peptides).

On the other hand, if the lower STR ratios were implemented, the low protein and collagen concentration would be of concern. A stronger evaporation step would have to be implemented after hydrolysis to compensate for this dilution effect. This might destroy the protein and collagen; hence, the 1:5 ratio which offered balanced concentration and yield was preferred. This ratio yields significantly more protein and collagen than the larger ratios without the need for more extreme evaporation.

Figure A2. Assessment of hydrolysates obtained with bromelain solution of various protease activities. (a) The protein yield (mg protein/g skin) along with (b) the collagen yield (mg collagen/g skin) according to Lowry and HYP method, respectively.

Figure A3. Assessment of hydrolysates obtained with various STR ratios. (a) The protein yield (mg protein/g skin) along with (b) the collagen yield (mg collagen/g skin) according to Lowry and HYP method, respectively.