Prevalence of antibiotic resident bacteria in intensive care units at Hodeida City, Yemen
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ABSTRACT
Hospital-acquired infection in intensive care units (ICUs) is considered a major healthcare problem that has a detrimental effect on the patients through increasing their morbidity, mortality, and hospitalization rates. Environmental surfaces contamination plays a major role in the transmission of microorganisms and causes such infections. This study was designed to scrutinize the frequency and antimicrobial pattern of the most common bacteria in ICUs in hospitals in Hodeida, Yemen. A cross-sectional, descriptive study was conducted in six hospitals in Hodeida City, Yemen, between March and June 2019. A total of 240 samples collected from 6 hospitals were cultured and examined, including 142 (59.2%) samples that yielded positive bacterial growth. Surfaces with the highest contamination rate were door handles (76.5%), followed by IV holders (71.4%) and medical tables (66.7%). From the findings, Staphylococcus aureus had the highest frequency rate (37.2%), whereas Proteus spp. showed the lowest frequency rate (2.8%). Gram-negative isolates demonstrated higher resistance compared with Gram-positive isolates. Moreover, it was observed that Escherichia coli isolates were resistant to the majority of the tested antibiotics. The high prevalence of environmental bacteria in ICUs was alarming. Antibiotic resistance was extremely high, which demonstrates the need to develop and implement surveillance programs in these hospitals to address the causes of antibacterial-resistant pathogens.

INTRODUCTION
Hospital-acquired infection (HAI), also called nosocomial infection, is one of the most critical healthcare challenges, particularly in intensive care units (ICUs) (Ababneh et al., 2022; Hu et al., 2015). It can lead to higher patient morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs and prolong hospital stay (Ababneh et al., 2022). Contaminated environmental surfaces play an important role in the transmission of HAIs, specifically when patients come into direct contact with them or when they are handled by healthcare professionals’ hands or gloves (Boyce, 2007). Studies have shown the significance of contaminated environmental surfaces in HAI transmission as they found a reduction in the percentage of illnesses when suitable cleaning and
disinfection measures for these surfaces were used (Quinn et al., 2015; Rutala et al., 2012; Rutala and Weber, 2016).

Moreover, previous studies reported that patients who were admitted to the rooms that were occupied by previously contaminated patients with vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE), methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Clostridium difficile, or Acinetobacter baumannii infection had a higher risk of getting these pathogens from contaminated environmental surfaces of up to threefold (Carling and Bartley, 2010; Otter et al., 2013; Rutala and Weber, 2016).

The severity and volatility of clinical medical procedures for patients in ICUs expose them to many invasive medical conditions such as intubation, mechanical ventilation, intravenous lines, central venous lines, and urine catheterization, which can all contribute to this high percentage of HAsIs (Brusselaers et al., 2011; de Oliveira and Damasceno, 2010). In addition, ICUs are considered the most crowded areas in the hospitals with equipment and apparatuses for monitoring and support of critically ill patients, which leads to difficulties in their cleaning and disinfection (de Oliveira and Damasceno, 2010; Russotto et al., 2015). Panhotra et al. (2005) reported that the records in ICUs were more infected with pathogenic microorganisms than those in surgical units in research (85.2% vs. 25%, respectively) (Panhotra et al., 2005).

Environmental surfaces can be classified into two groups: those that are frequently touched by hands (e.g., beds, bed rails, and doorknob) and those that are rarely touched by hands (e.g., floors and walls) (Saka et al., 2016). The frequently touched surfaces and those closer to the infected or colonized patients have more infective pathogens, such as MRSA and VRE, which have been identified as the most pathogens found on contaminated surfaces in ICUs (de Oliveira and Damasceno, 2010; Quinn et al., 2015).

Infective bacteria, particularly those that are multiresistant to antibiotics, are more likely to infect the environment’s surfaces (Montero et al., 2015). Pathogens such as MRSA, VRE, and C. difficile can stay alive for long periods on hospital surfaces and medical devices (i.e., hours, days, or months) (Kramer et al., 2006; Rutala and Weber, 2013). Consequently, regular monitoring of these surfaces could help in increasing the infection control team and healthcare leadership awareness to design policy and intervention measures that may improve healthcare workers’ (HCWs) practices, disinfection procedures, and HAIs prevention strategies (Tajeddin et al., 2016; Yusuf et al., 2017). Carling et al. (2010) emphasized the importance of environmental monitoring systems in giving quantitative and objective data to healthcare organizations to help them offer a clean and healthy environment for patients and healthcare providers (Carling and Bartley, 2010). In Yemen, no previous research has attempted to describe the prevalence of bacteria on surfaces in ICUs. As a result, this study was designed to investigate the frequency and antimicrobial pattern of the most common bacteria in ICUs at hospitals in Hodeida, Yemen.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Design and setting**

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted at six hospitals (Al-Thawrah, Al-Oafi, Al-Amal, Al-Aqsa, Al-Rasheed, and Al-Hodeida) in Hodeida City, Yemen, between March and June 2019. Hodeida City is considered one of the most populated cities after Sana’a, the capital of Yemen (Abdul-Ghani et al., 2021).

**Sampling and data collection**

Samples were collected from the environmental surfaces in ICU units of the six hospitals. The environmental surfaces include mechanical ventilators, oxygen masks, suction devices, cardiac monitors, walls, beds, doors, handles, floors, medical tables, medical records, trolleys, electrocardiogram leads, and intravenous holders. The samples were taken with a sterile swab humidified in sterile normal saline and rolled along the surfaces several times before being deposited in a 1 ml tube.

After being properly capped and labeled, they were transported to the Microbiology Department at Al-Amal Hospital Laboratories for analysis. Blood and MacConkey agar were used to culture the swab samples, which were incubated aerobically at 35°C–37°C for 18–24 hours (Yusuf et al., 2017). Following aerobic incubation, Gram staining was used to assess the morphology of the bacteria, followed by routine biochemical assays to determine the bacteria’s species (Tajeddin et al., 2016).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

The Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute recommended using the disk diffusion technique in the Mueller-Hinton agar to detect antibiotic susceptibility (Akhtar, 2010). Antibiotic discs such as piperacillin/tazobactam, co-amoxiclav (AMC), amikacin, gentamycin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, lomefloxacin, cephalaxin, cefuroxime, cefazidime, cefepime, and imipenem (IMP) were used for the antibiotic susceptibility test. In particular, data such as the hospital’s name, sampling date, surface type, and equipment or device name were collected for each sample.

**Ethical approval**

The study was permitted by the Ethics Committee in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Hodeida University, under Ethical Approval no. 272-2019. A written approval was introduced to the head managers of the involved hospitals before the conduction of this study.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 21, and the values were displayed in frequency and percentages.

**RESULTS**

A total of 240 samples collected from the 6 hospitals mentioned earlier were cultured and tested. Of them, 142 (59.2%) samples yielded positive bacterial growth. The results exhibited that the highest contamination rate was recorded with door handles (76.5%), followed by IV holders (71.4%), medical tables (66.7%), walls (65.0%), and suction devices (64.3%). However, the lowest rate was recorded with heart monitors (33.3%) (Table 1).

From the overall swab samples’ positive growth, Staphylococcus aureus had the highest frequency rate (37.2%), whereas Proteus spp. showed the lowest frequency rate (2.8%). Furthermore, S. aureus was the most predominant isolate across the surfaces, except for walls and IV holders, which were majorly contaminated.
### Table 1. Type of isolates and their frequency according to the sample location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Samples number</th>
<th>Positive sample (%)</th>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Gram-positive bacteria</th>
<th>Gram-negative bacteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CoNS</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Streptococcus pneumoniae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mechanical ventilators</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oxygen masks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Suctions</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cardiac monitors</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Walls</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bed rails</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Door handles</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Floors</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Medical tables</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Medical records</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Trolleys</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IV holders</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>67 (37.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Positive sample/samples taken *100.

with coagulase-negative _Staphylococci_ (CoNS.) and _Klebsiella pneumoniae_, respectively. On the other hand, various species of bacteria were found on room surfaces and medical equipment, bringing the total number of isolates to 180 (Table 1).

In antibiotic susceptibility testing, _S. aureus_ had the highest rate of resistance to piperacillin associated with tazobactam (89.6%), followed by amoxiclav (67.2%) and cefepime and cephalxin (65.7%), while it had the lowest resistance rate to amikacin (14.9%). The isolated CoNS had the highest ciprofloxacin resistance rate (89.5%) and the lowest gentamycin resistance rate (26.3%). On the other hand, Gram-negative isolates showed higher resistance compared with Gram-positive ones. _Escherichia coli_ isolates were found to be multidrug resistant to the majority of antibiotics tested: piperacillin/tazobactam (80%), AMC, gentamycin, lomefloxacin, and cephalxin (70%), ceftazidime and amikacin (60%), and ciprofloxacin and cefepime (50%). Moreover, the results of this study revealed that _P. aeruginosa_ isolates were resistant to piperacillin/tazobactam (80.0%), ciprofloxacin (73.3%), cefepime (73.3%), and gentamycin (66.7%) (Table 2).

_Acinetobacter_ species showed higher resistance to AMC, whereas the lowest resistance rate was for cefepime (83%) and IMP (33%). From the findings, it was clarified that _E. coli_ were mostly resistant to piperacillin/tazobactam (80%) and less resistant to IMP (40%). In addition, _Proteus_ species were most resistant against AMC, ciprofloxacin, and cefazidime (80%), while amikacin, cefuroxime, and IMP were observed to be of the lowest resistance (40%). AMC had the highest resistance impact against _K. pneumoniae_ (78.9%), while IMP had the lowest resistant effect (36.4%).

**DISCUSSION**

The hospital ambient surfaces in ICUs play a crucial role in HAI because they are deemed a major reservoir of microbes, such as multidrug-resistant bacteria, which adversely affect patients and hospitals (Bitew et al., 2021). Continuous screening and understanding of the prevalence of the bacteria in the environment of the ICUs are highly advised, and they represent one of the most important techniques for increasing HCWs’ awareness thereof. The present study intended to disclose the prevalence of bacteria on the environmental surfaces in ICUs and their antimicrobial susceptibility among six different hospitals in Hodeida City, Yemen.

In this study, the average of the positive samples revealed bacterial contamination of the environmental surfaces in ICUs was 59.2%. This rate is considered dangerous and alarming, and it requires immediate attention and action to resolve the problem and reduce it to a very low level. A prior study in Wille et al. (2018), Austria, revealed a contamination rate of 10%, describing it as “extensive” and “requiring more attention,” which is deemed relatively low when compared to the contamination rate in our study. Alternatively, earlier investigations have found contamination rates as high as the current study (62.8% and 57%) (Ekrami et al., 2011; Yusuf J, 2017) or even higher as in Brazil and Saudi Arabia (94.7% and 85.2%, respectively) (Rodrigues et al., 2019).

The presence of a high contamination rate in the current study could be attributed to many factors such as the transmission of contamination by the hands of HCWs, patients, and visitors, improper cleaning and disinfectant practices, inappropriate cleaning and disinfection methods, and inadequate adherence to HCWs to hand hygiene (Faires et al., 2013). Furthermore, our country’s economic position has a direct impact on the infrastructure and equipment of institutions, including hospitals. As a result, the ICUs in the hospitals under investigation were consistently overloaded with patients, resulting in easy pathogen shedding, difficulty cleaning and disinfecting, and HCWs overload.

This was one of the reasons mentioned in a previous study that documented a significant relationship between HAI development and nurses’ workload or overload due to understaffing in ICUs (Aycan et al., 2015). The findings of this study showed more contamination on surfaces that are frequently touched by the HCWs, patients, or visitors. The most contaminated surfaces in our samples were the door handles (76.5%), IV holders (71.4%), and floors (71.4%), followed by medical tables, suction, and medical documents. This could be because the majority of these surfaces are routinely touched by HCWs’ hands.

In the current study, all the intended units are not singular, which means they are not subject to appropriate disinfection. In addition, contamination of patients’ personal objects, bed linens, and bedsides may be related to the normal flora because almost 106 skin squamous contains viable microorganisms are shed every day from human’s skin. (Tajeddin et al., 2016). Failure to strictly adhere to the local infection control guidelines or the production of biofilms could lead to inappropriate surface cleaning, which eventually results in high contamination (Carling et al., 2010; Wille et al., 2018; Zuberi and Ptashnick, 2011). These findings highlight the necessity of implementing a plan for disinfecting and cleaning all surfaces in ICUs, as well as providing HCWs with ongoing education and training courses to improve their health hygiene awareness.

This study revealed that Gram-positive organisms are more prevalent than the Gram-negative ones; however, previous literature produced contradicting findings (Lemmen et al., 2004; Tan et al., 2014; Wille et al., 2018). _Staphylococccus aureus_ was found to be the most isolated organism in the current study (58.4%). This could be due to the high frequency of _S. aureus_ in the human body as normal flora, as well as their resistance to drying and heating, which is consistent with earlier research (Saka et al., 2016; Wille et al., 2018). Conversely, _S. aureus_ was detected in low-frequency levels compared with other screened bacteria (Huang et al., 2006; Tajeddin et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2014; Zuberi and Ptashnick, 2011). This study found a high resistance pattern to routinely used antibiotics such as piperacillin/tazobactam, AMC, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, and cephalxin, which is consistent with previous findings in the literature (Akhtar, 2010; Tajeddin et al., 2016; Yusuf et al., 2017). In addition, worrying rates of medication resistance in microorganisms inhabiting various surfaces of the ICUs evaluated were discovered. These locations appear to be potential sources of common HAIs resistant to antibiotics employed in these hospitals.

Finally, infection control committees in hospitals should strictly follow the guidelines and maintain strong, effective infection control programs that focus on preventing and reducing bacterial contamination by implementing preventive techniques on the ambient surfaces in ICUs and restricting the random use of antibiotics to minimize antibiotic resistance.
Table 2. Pattern of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria isolated from ICUs environment (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Sym.</th>
<th>Gram-positive bacteria</th>
<th>Gram-negative bacteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>CoNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$N = 67$</td>
<td>$N = 38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam</td>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>60 (89.6)</td>
<td>47 (70.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Co-amoxiclav</td>
<td>AMC</td>
<td>45 (67.2)</td>
<td>20 (52.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>10 (14.9)</td>
<td>9 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>31 (46.3)</td>
<td>10 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>40 (61.2)</td>
<td>16 (42.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>38 (56.7)</td>
<td>34 (89.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lomefloxacin</td>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>25 (37.3)</td>
<td>12 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cephalaxin</td>
<td>CPX</td>
<td>40 (59.7)</td>
<td>15 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>CFX</td>
<td>33 (49.3)</td>
<td>17 (44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>CFM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>CFP</td>
<td>44 (65.7)</td>
<td>21 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>22 (32.8)</td>
<td>18 (47.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


CONCLUSION

According to the findings of the current study, the prevalence of environmental bacteria in ICUs was extremely high and alarming. Gram-positive bacteria were found to be more common than Gram-negative bacteria, with *S. aureus* being the most common isolated organism in the current investigation. Environmental surfaces contributed to more than 50% of the contamination. Antibiotic resistance was found to be extremely high, which is a concerning finding. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop and implement surveillance programs in these hospitals to tackle the origin and emerging pathways of resistant pathogens.
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