The role of body mass index-for-age in the assessment of acute malnutrition and obesity in Moroccan hospitalized children
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ABSTRACT
The aim of the present study was to examine the accuracy of body mass index-for-age (BMI-Z), mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and MUAC z-score (MUAC-Z) in assessing the acute malnutrition as well as the BMI-Z in assessing obesity in Moroccan hospitalized children aged from 1 month to 5 years. This is a longitudinal observational study carried out on 337 children. Weight, height/length, and MUAC related to sex and age were determined at admission and exported to World Health Organization (WHO) Anthro software for conversion to sex-age specific z-score indices. Weighted Cohen’s Kappa test was used to assess the concordance between weight-for-height z-score (WFH-Z), BMI-Z, MUAC-Z, and MUAC in screening for acute malnutrition defined as WFH-Z < –2SD or between WFH-Z and BMI-Z in screening for obesity as defined by WFH-Z > 3SD. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) area under the curve (AUC) was used to assess the performance of the anthropometric indexes in screening for acute malnutrition. We found high malnutrition prevalence as reflected by wasting (12.17%), stunting (13.35%), overweighting risk (24.64%), overweighting (11.39%), and low malnutrition prevalence as indicated by underweighting (4.75%) and obesity (3.56%). The BMI-Z showed perfect agreement to diagnose acute malnutrition (Kappa = 0.872) and obesity (Kappa = 0.827) with higher sensitivity and specificity indexes as well as higher positive predictive and negative predictive values. The AUC of the BMI-Z revealed very outstanding diagnostic accuracy to assess acute malnutrition (AUC = 0.98) and obesity (AUC = 0.99) in comparison to those of MUAC (AUC = 0.75) and MUAC-Z (AUC = 0.69). The results of the present study suggest that BMI-Z could be a good alternative indicator to assess acute malnutrition and obesity in hospitalized children aged from 1 month to 5 years.

INTRODUCTION
It is estimated that undernutrition is still responsible as an underlying factor for nearly half of deaths among children under 5 years [Black et al., 2013; World Health Organization, United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), 2019]. Developing countries are called upon to confront and address the challenge of both increased obesity (Black et al., 2013) and the persistence of acute malnutrition as a major health burden (Müller, and Krawinkel, 2005). In health care settings, malnutrition is considered a common finding encountered during hospitalization, particularly in pediatric words (Joosten and Hulst, 2011). The malnutrition may be aggravated by inadequate practices such as the lack of nutritional screening. Indeed, the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition recommend the assessment of pediatric malnutrition in the hospital setting (Becker et al., 2014; Mehta et al., 2013). The WHO recommends the use of conventional nutritional tools, based on growth standards to assess malnutrition (WHO, 2006). These tools are z-score indices based on weight, height/length, and z-score Mid-Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) adjusted by age and sex. This nutritional assessment was largely used in
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both the communities and health settings and at the individual clinical level. Meijers et al. (2010) emphasized the complexity in defining malnutrition which makes the establishment of an appropriate method more complicated which is able to assess the nutritional status and thus to determine adequately the prevalence of malnutrition in children under 5 years. The reviewed literature showed that the prevalence of malnutrition in hospitalized children is highly variable in developed and developing countries oscillating between 6%-37% and 6.1%-31.8% depending on the diagnostic criteria, respectively (Daskalou et al., 2016; Marginean et al., 2014; Pawellek et al., 2008; Hankard et al., 2001; Öztürk et al., 2003). Becker et al. (2014) reported that the variation in the prevalence of malnutrition is due to the variability of the diagnostic tools and their cut-off points. Consequently, it is difficult to determine the exact malnutrition prevalence because of the lack of standardized diagnostic criteria. Studies have confirmed that malnutrition prevalence differences are explained by the significant variations in the anthropometric parameters used notably between WFH-Z and MUAC (Bilukha and Leidman, 2018; Dukhi et al., 2017) or MUAC z-score (MUAC-Z) and MUAC (Leidman et al., 2019). Moreover, in Morocco, malnutrition is qualified as a public health problem, despite efforts devoted by the government during the last five decades to fight the children undernutrition (Barouaca, 2012). In addition, the household survey results (ENPSF, 2018) showed a notable improvement in children undernutrition with the emergence of overweighting and obesity and the persistence of micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in children under 5 years. It is noteworthy that the cost of health and the loss of citizen productivity linked to malnutrition and micronutrient deficiencies represent a waste of 5% of the Moroccan Gross Domestic Product (Ministère de la Santé, 2012). According to the Ministry of Health Data, endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases as well as severe acute malnutrition are classified as the important causes of hospital morbidity by 7.1% and 0.9%, respectively (Ministère de la Santé, 2012). Furthermore, even if the primary malnutrition prevalence was found to be decreased at the community level in children under 5 years (ENPSF, 2018), its impact on hospital pediatric care settings is still unknown. Therefore, the paucity of data on the nutritional status of children at admission or at risk of malnutrition in Moroccan hospitals prompted us to set up this study. Thus, the goal of this study was to assess the malnutrition prevalence in Moroccan children under 5 years admitted to the regional hospital. In addition, the aim of the present study was to provide an answer to this variability in the prevalence of malnutrition by analyzing the agreement between the WFH-Z, body mass index-for-age (BMI-Z), MUAC, and MUAC-Z in the assessment of acute malnutrition and the BMI-Z for obesity.

METHODS

Design

We performed a longitudinal observational study between February 1, 2018, and May 30, 2019.

Setting

The survey was carried out in the pediatric unit of the Moulay Ali Chérif Regional Hospital Center, Errachidia City. This regional hospital is located in the Drâa-Tafilalet region, in the Southeast of Morocco. The population of this region is recognized by its low socioeconomic background since the urban and rural areas are affected by poverty with 14.5% and 19.5%, respectively. The economic activities are essentially based on agriculture (90%) and related activities (MIDDGCL, 2015).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All children admitted to pediatric wards for at least 48 hours and aged from 1 to 60 months were eligible to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria include the patients’ age of less than 1 month, with birth weight less than 2,500 g or prematurity history and the refusal of the guardian or children’s parents to participate in the study.

Data collection

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire that comprises items on sociodemographic characteristics and anthropometric measures. The admission diagnosed diseases and analysis biological results were collected from the medical files of each participant. The anthropometric measurements notably weight, height, or length (for children aged ≤ 2 years, MUAC) were taken upon admission. The participants were weighted with no shoes and minimum clothing by using a calibrated digital scale (KINLEE-20, precision 5 g). For infants/toddlers (age ≤ 2 years), the weight was taken without clothes and nappies while the length was measured with infant-meter to the nearest millimeter. In children who can stand, the height was measured with a wall-mounted stadiometer to the nearest millimeter. The MUAC was measured with plastic tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. The anthropometric measures, related to sex and age (month) of each child, were then exported to WHO Anthro software (version 3.2.2) and converted into specific sex-age z-score of conventional indices of malnutrition. The results in z-score values are based on WHO growth standards for children between birth and 60 months (WHO, 2006). The nutritional status was assessed by conventional classification of anthropometric indices [weight-for-age z-score (WFA-Z), height-for-age z-score (HFA-Z), WFH-Z, BMI-Z, MUAC, and MUAC-for-age (MUAC-Z)] according to the WHO cut-off points (De Onis, 2015). All these conventional classifications were used to assess the prevalence of malnutrition defined as severe wasting (WFH-Z < −3SD, MUAC-Z < −3SD, and MUAC < 11.5 cm); wasting (or acute malnutrition, WFH-Z < −2SD and MUAC < 12.5 cm); severe underweight (WFA-Z < −3SD); underweight (WFA-Z < −2SD); severe stunting (chronic malnutrition, HFA-Z < −3SD); stunting (HFA-Z < −2SD); severe undernutrition (MUAC-Z < −2SD); undernutrition (MUAC-Z < −1SD); risk of overweight (BMI-Z > 1SD; WFH-Z > 1SD); overweight (BMI-Z > 2SD; WFH-Z > 2SD); and obese (WFH-Z > 3SD; BMI-Z > 3SD). Data were collected following the enrollment process performed by two trained nurses with the introduction of a face-to-face anonymous questionnaire to the parents.

Data management

The data were entered and double-checked in Excel software and then analyzed by the MedCal® (version 9.3.0.0, http://www.medcal.be). To compare the mean differences between groups, Student’s T-test was used. The chi-square test was used to compare the gender groups and to compare the prevalence
levels driven by the two anthropometric indices. Cohen’s Kappa coefficient was used to assess the degree of agreement between WFH-Z and BMI-Z, MUAC-Z, and MUAC in screening acute malnutrition or between WFH-Z and BMI-Z to screen for obesity (Cohen, 1968). The Kappa values were interpreted in accordance with scores proposed by Landis and Koch (1977) for the strength of agreement: no agreement (< 0); poor agreement (0–0.19); mild agreement (0.20–0.39); moderate agreement (0.40–0.59); substantial agreement (0.60–0.79); and almost perfect agreement (0.80–1.00). The p-value (p < 0.05) was considered to be statistically significant for all tests. The ROC plots were used to examine the accuracy of a diagnostic test (Zweig and Campbell, 1993; Hanley and McNeil, 1982). The ROC plots the sensitivity against the 1–specificity across a range of values of BMI-Z, MUAC, and MUAC-Z to assess their diagnostic performance for acute malnutrition. The validity was evaluated by the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of each test (Parikh et al., 2008). The area under the ROC curves (AUC) values of 0.5 suggests no discrimination, 0.7–0.8 is considered acceptable, from 0.8 to 0.9 is considered excellent, and more than 0.9 is suggesting very outstanding accuracy (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000).

**Regulatory and ethical aspects**

The goal of the study was explained in detail to children’s parents or guardians with the use of local language before beginning the process of patient's selection. The permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Errachidia Regional Health Directorate and from the director of Moulay Ali Chrif Hospital. This study was approved by the local medical ethics committee from the Health Ministry of Morocco, regional direction of Drâa-Tafilalet, under the ethical approval number: 04-07-17-285. The written informed consent was obtained from the participant guardian or children’s parent by preserving confidentiality with respect to Helsinki declaration guidelines (World Medical Association, 2013). Participation or refusal did not affect the medical treatment of the children during their hospital stay.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Three hundred thirty-seven children were enrolled in the present survey with the mean age of 16.5 months ± 14.74 (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, the overall prevalence of wasting (acute malnutrition) in the 337 admitted children as represented by the WFH-Z < –2SD, MUAC < 12.5 cm, MUAC-Z < –2SD, and BMI-Z < –2SD was 41 (12.17%), 28 (8.30%), 18 (5.71), and 49 (14.54%), respectively. The prevalence of severe wasting (acute malnutrition) as depicted by WFH-Z < –3SD, MUAC < 11.5 cm, MUAC-Z < –3SD, and BMI-Z < –3SD was 14 (4.15), 8 (2.37%), 4 (1.27%), and 14 (4.15), respectively, with higher values in females compared to male participants. The overall prevalence of overweighting as indicated by BMI-Z > 2SD was 45 (13.35%), whereas its severe form was 18 (5.34%) with higher values in the females compared to male patients for its severe form. As shown in Table 2, we have found that the prevalence of obesity (BMI-Z > 3SD or WFH-Z > 3SD) was 12(3.56%) with higher values in males.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (months)</td>
<td>16.75 ± 14.14</td>
<td>16.42 ± 15.46</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (months ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–11</td>
<td>5.99 ± 2.876</td>
<td>5.33 ± 2.62</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–60</td>
<td>43.64 ± 7.217</td>
<td>45.96 ± 8.36</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anthropometric parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>10.14 ± 3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher or length (cm)</td>
<td>77.88 ± 13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC (cm)</td>
<td>15.704 ± 2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFH-Z</td>
<td>–0.045 ± 1.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFA-Z</td>
<td>–0.089 ± 1.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA-Z</td>
<td>0.081 ± 1.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC-Z</td>
<td>0.867 ± 1.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z</td>
<td>–0.157 ± 1.752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SD.

NS = not significant.

**Table 1. Characteristics and anthropometric parameters in admitted hospitalized children.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut-off classification of malnutrition</th>
<th>Overall prevalence, n (%)</th>
<th>Female, n (%)</th>
<th>Male, n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFH-Z &lt; –2SD</td>
<td>41 (12.17)</td>
<td>17 (5.04)</td>
<td>24 (7.12)</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC &lt; 12.5 cm</td>
<td>28 (8.30)</td>
<td>16 (12.74)</td>
<td>12 (12.56)</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC-Z &lt; –2SD</td>
<td>18 (5.71)</td>
<td>5 (3.40)</td>
<td>13 (7.74)</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &lt; –2SD</td>
<td>49 (14.54)</td>
<td>22 (6.53)</td>
<td>27 (8.01)</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFA-Z &lt; –3SD</td>
<td>14 (4.15)</td>
<td>3 (0.89)</td>
<td>11 (3.26)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC &lt; 11.5 cm</td>
<td>8 (2.37)</td>
<td>6 (1.78)</td>
<td>2 (0.59)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &lt; –3SD</td>
<td>4 (1.27)</td>
<td>3 (0.67)</td>
<td>1 (0.60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &lt; 3SD</td>
<td>14 (4.15)</td>
<td>4 (1.19)</td>
<td>10 (2.97)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFA-Z &lt; –3SD</td>
<td>4 (1.19)</td>
<td>3 (0.89)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFA-Z &lt; –3SD</td>
<td>16 (4.75)</td>
<td>17 (5.04)</td>
<td>11 (3.26)</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA-Z &lt; –2SD</td>
<td>45 (13.35)</td>
<td>20 (5.93)</td>
<td>25 (7.42)</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA-Z &lt; –3SD</td>
<td>18 (5.34)</td>
<td>5 (1.48)</td>
<td>13 (3.89)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFA-Z &gt; 3SD</td>
<td>12 (3.56)</td>
<td>4 (1.19)</td>
<td>8 (2.37)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &gt; 3SD</td>
<td>12 (3.56)</td>
<td>4 (1.19)</td>
<td>8 (2.37)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFH-Z &gt; 2SD</td>
<td>35 (11.39)</td>
<td>15 (4.45)</td>
<td>20 (5.93)</td>
<td>0.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &gt; 2SD</td>
<td>32 (9.50)</td>
<td>13 (3.86)</td>
<td>19 (5.64)</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFH-Z &gt; 1SD</td>
<td>81 (24.03)</td>
<td>38 (11.28)</td>
<td>43 (12.76)</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &gt; 1SD</td>
<td>82 (24.33)</td>
<td>38 (11.28)</td>
<td>44 (13.06)</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mid-Upper-Arm-Circumference z-score is calculating only for age of 3–60 months.

Wasting (acute malnutrition).

Severe wasting (acute malnutrition).

Underweighting.

Severe underweighting.

Stunting; severe stunting.

Obese.

Overweighting.

Risk of overweighting.

Difference was considered statistically significant between boys and girls values when p < 0.05.
compared to females \((p = 0.039)\). From the overall children, 40 (10.39\%) were considered overweight when expressed by WFH-Z > 2SD and 32 (9.50\%) as expressed by BMI-Z > 2SD. The prevalence of the overweight risk depicted either by BMI-Z > 1SD and WFH-Z > 1SD was similar (82 (24.33) and 81 (24.03)) and was higher than all studied prevalence patterns (Table 2).

Table 3 displays the assessment of the agreement between the anthropometric indices assessed by Cohen’s Kappa coefficient and their respective diagnostic accuracy evaluated by the ROC curve. A higher level of agreement was achieved between BMI-Z and WFH-Z in assessing acute malnutrition (Kappa = 0.872) and obesity (Kappa = 0.827). The ROC curve of BMI-Z was found to have an excellent performance ability with higher sensitivity and specificity to discriminate children with acute malnutrition and obesity (Fig. 1).

To the author’s knowledge, this study is the first to describe in detail the malnutrition prevalence by using standard nutritional assessment tools in Moroccan children aged from 1 month to 5 years at admission to the hospital. Our overall undernutrition prevalence of wasting (WFH-Z < -2SD), underweighting (WFA-Z < -2SD), and stunting (HFA-Z < -2SD) were 12.17\%, 4.75\%, and 13.35\%, respectively. The high prevalence observed in the present study in wasting, underweight, and stunting parameters [WFH-Z < -2SD (12.17\%); WFA-Z < -2SD (4.75\%); HFA-Z < -2SD (13.35\%)] showed clearly that children at admission to the health care setting were more undernourished than community children at the national (2.6\%, 2.9\%, and 15.1\%) or at the urban level (2.5\%, 2.0\%, and 10.4\%), respectively (ENPSF, 2018). The wasting malnutrition prevalence found in the present study is in accordance with that from previous studies (Moy et al., 1990; Sissaoui et al., 2013) and lower (27.7\%) than that reported by Doğan et al. (2005) in regional Turkish hospitals. In the results of the present study, although there was a tendency toward an increase in malnutrition prevalence between males and females, the difference remains not statistically significant. The fact that the data of the present study revealed high stunting prevalence (13.35\%) proves the endemic persistence of chronic children malnutrition despite effort consented by the Moroccan government during the last five decades (Ministère de la Santé, 2011).

More interestingly, we have found that 3.56\% of children as determined by BMI-Z > 3SD or WFH-Z > 3SD were obese and 24\% were at risk of overweight as depicted either by WFH-Z > 1SD or BMI-Z > 1SD, whereas the overweight prevalence was typically 9.50\% as indicated by BMI-Z > 2SD and 11.39\% as represented by WFH-Z > 2SD at admission to the hospital. The prevalence of obesity observed in the present study is in agreement with that reported previously (ENPSF, 2018). The prevalence of overweight is equivalent to that found at both the national level (10.8\%) and Drâa-Tafilalet region (9.8\%). In terms of epidemiological relevance, the coexistence of the high prevalence of wasting (12.17\%) and stunting (13.35\%) simultaneously with a high prevalence of overweighting (9.50\%) and obesity (3.56\%) associated with micronutrient deficiencies (Ministère de la Santé, 2011) may prove the triple burden of malnutrition and could be considered as a serious health problem (WHO, 1995). This paradox situation is challenging health professionals to prioritize the care for malnutrition, as Morocco was engaged in the Sustainable Development Goals, set by the United Nations in 2015 in identifying, preventing, and controlling noncommunicable diseases.

WHO recommended the utilization of MUAC or WFH-Z in the assessment of acute malnutrition (WHO, 2013). However, in the present study, we have found that there was heterogeneity in acute malnutrition prevalence between the MUAC and WFH-Z. The prevalence of moderate wasting 28 (8.30\%) as depicted by MUAC < 12.5 cm was quite lower than that of 41 (12.70\%) as indicated by WFH-Z < -2SD. In the same way, the severe wasting prevalence of 8 (2.37\%) as depicted by MUAC < 11.5 cm was clearly lesser than that of 14 (4.15\%) as indicated by WFH-Z < -3SD. Interestingly, the BMI-Z < -3SD value [14 (4.15\%)] was found to be equivalent to that of WFH-Z < -3SD [14 (4.15\%)]. These results are in concordance with those reported previously (Dukhi et al., 2017; Wieringa et al., 2018). In fact, the present study demonstrates disagreement between the WFH-Z, MUAC, and MUAC-Z as indicated by the Kappa indices (Kappa (WFH-Z vs. MUAC) = 0.019; Kappa (WFH-Z vs. MUAC-Z) = -0.041) in assessing acute malnutrition (Table 3). These results are in agreement with those reported previously (Belanger et al., 2019; Bilukha and Leidman 2018; Hossain et al., 2017; Tadesse et al., 2017). This variation in malnutrition prevalence was also present.

![Figure 1](Image 43x86 to 295x313)

**Figure 1.** The ROC curves plotting to compare the diagnostic ability of BMI-Z, MUAC-Z, and MUAC in assessing acute malnutrition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional indices</th>
<th>Value of Cohen's Kappa concordance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMI-Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC &lt; 12.5 cm</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &lt; -2SD</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC-Z &lt; -2SD</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-Z &gt; 3SD</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between the group’s genders. The present study shows that severe wasting prevalence in males and females is higher when represented by WFH-Z \(< -3\)SD (3.26% and 0.89%) in comparison to that indicated by MUAC \(< 11.5\) cm (0.59% and 1.78%), respectively (Table 2). These results are in concordance with previous studies (Tessema et al., 2020). Hence, the use of MUAC \(< 11.5\) cm overlaps 50% of male with severe wasting when compared to WFH-Z \(< -3\)SD. These results are in concordance with those of Grellety and Golden (2016). It is known that MUAC is an easy and preferred tool to assess global moderate/severe acute malnutrition in the community (Sachdeva et al., 2016). However, Fiorentino et al. (2016) have reported that MUAC is not convincing as a single criterion to screen the real prevalence of either moderate or severe acute malnutrition. Moreover, the fact that our results showed that malnutrition prevalence as represented by MUAC values is lower than that indicated by WFH-Z \(< -3\)SD or WFH-Z \(< -2\)SD may suggest that undernourished children could be undiagnosed. Thus, one may miss to determine the real malnutrition prevalence and therefore to treat those vulnerable patients which could lead to an increased risk of death.

Until now, there are no single tools that have been fixed for childhood to adulthood pediatric malnutrition. In this optic, McCarthy et al. (2019) pointed out the lack of consistency in the type of tools and their cut-off limits in assessing the extent of malnutrition prevalence. Meijers et al. (2010) argued that there is a debate on the most appropriate and suitable criteria to define malnutrition. The lack of consistency in the type of measures and their cut-off values prevents estimating the true prevalence of the overall burden of malnutrition in children. However, this heterogeneity in the measures of the prevalence of malnutrition reminds us and forces us not only to standardize the indicators of the diagnosis of pediatric malnutrition that we apply but also to refine the thresholds of malnutrition in order to maximize our ability to correctly identify and prevent missing treatment of malnutrition children at high-risk death. In this context, the BMI-Z seems to ensure the coherence in malnutrition prevalence from birth to adulthood, to avoid the weakness of anthropometric indices and thus the heterogeneity in malnutrition prevalence. Indeed, the WHO has updated the BMI-for-age growth reference, by the inclusion of children < 2 years (WHO, 2006). As indicated in Table 3, the BMI-for-age and WFH-Z give an equal prevalence in assessing acute malnutrition or obesity with a perfect agreement to diagnose acute malnutrition (BMI-Z \(< -2\)SD, Kappa = 0.872) and obesity (BMI-Z \(> 3\)SD, Kappa = 0.827) (Table 3). These results are in accordance with the findings of Furlong et al. (2016) which showed that BMI and WFH-Z present high agreement in the determination of acute malnutrition. In addition, Zong et al. (2017) have reported that BMI and WFH-Z indicators present high concordance in the assessment of wasting, overweight, and obesity.

In the present study, we have found a perfect agreement between WFH-Z and BMI-Z as reflected by the very outstanding diagnostic accuracy (AUC = 0.98) for acute malnutrition and (AUC = 0.99) for obesity (Table 4). Moreover, the high sensitivity and specificity indices as well as high PPV and NPV values (Table 4) support the idea that the BMI-Z may be considered as a suitable indicator for acute malnutrition and obesity. These results are in agreement with those reported previously Chiabi et al. (2017). Hence, we suggest that, in clinical practices, the use of BMI-Z may facilitate the assessment of atypical growth patterns (wasting and obesity) from the birth to adulthood. This may avoid inter- and intravariability in malnutrition prevalence, facilitating the comparison and preventing the rising incidence of obesity in early life which reached alarming proportions in many countries (Ng et al., 2013). Thus, we think to consider the BMI-for-age as a suitable and feasible indicator to assess the overall prevalence of wasting and obesity in children under 5 years.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study showed that Moroccan children under 5 years from Drâa-Tafilalet area present high malnutrition prevalence as reflected by wasting (12.17%), stunting (13.35%), overweighting risk (24.64%), overweighting (11.39%), and low malnutrition prevalence as underweighting (4.75%) and obesity (3.56%). The BMI-Z showed perfect agreement to diagnose acute malnutrition (Kappa = 0.872) and obesity (Kappa = 0.827) with higher sensitivity and specificity indexes as well as higher positive PPV and NPV. The present study also demonstrated that AUC of the BMI-Z presented very outstanding diagnostic accuracy to assess acute malnutrition (AUC = 0.98) and obesity (AUC = 0.99) in comparison to those of MUAC (AUC = 0.75) and MUAC-Z (AUC = 0.69). Thus, the present study suggests that BMI-Z could be a good alternative indicator to assess acute malnutrition and obesity in children aged from 1 month to 5 years. These findings emphasize that high children malnutrition prevalence observed in Drâa-Tafilalet region may place responsibility on clinical providers to identify, intervene, and prevent malnutrition early, with locally appropriate measures in the pediatric care setting.
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