Spectroscopic Investigations for Photo Stability of Diclofenac Sodium Complexed with Hydroxypropyl-β-Cyclodextrin
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INTRODUCTION

Diclofenac sodium [Benzeneacetic acid,2-[(2,6-dichlorophenyl)amino]-monosodium salt] is a synthetic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) with analgesic, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic activity. Its mechanism of action is associated mainly with the inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis (specifically, inhibition of cyclooxygenase) available in oral, topical and parenteral preparations (Dastidar et al., 2000). Diclofenac is often used in the treatment of chronic pain linked with cancer, particularly in if inflammation involved diseases. Diclofenac is similar in COX-2 selectivity to celecoxib (FitzGerald and Patrono, 2001). Diclofenac generate unfavourable effects in about 20% of patients, and about 2% patients withdrawing treatment as a result (Burk and Smyth, 2006). On the whole of the unfavourable drug responses are accounted with the oral or parenteral use of diclofenac like nausea, epigastric pain, hypersensitivity reactions like urticaria, peptic ulcer, erythema multiforme, impaired renal function, dizziness, elevation of serum transaminase, angioedema, bullous eruption, allergic purpura, toxic epidermal necrolysis, and Steven-Johnson syndrome (Taylor et al., 2011). A rigorous photosensitivity reaction caused by topical preparation of diclofenac sodium was already reported (Akath, 2013). Cyclodextrins (CDs) are widely used in chemical industries food, pharmaceutical (Stéphane et al., 2007), and drug delivery (Thimma et al., 2010). They are classified as cyclic oligoglycosides of 6-(α-CD), 7-(β-CD), or 8-(γ-CD). α-D-glucopyranose units have circular configuration which results in a donut-shaped molecule in solution that has a hydrophilic exterior and a hydrophobic interior. This cavity is capable of binding guest molecules of the appropriate size, shape, and polarity, such as surfactant tails, dyes, drugs, etc., forming non-covalently bonded inclusion complexes (Junquera et al., 1995).
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The tendency of CDs to house guest molecules results in two very important properties. One is their capability to protect, stabilize or solubilise guest molecules; the other is their ability to selectively orient them. As CDs have no toxic effects, the first capability is of great value to the food, drug and agricultural industries (Volobuef et al., 2012). The complexation of DS with HPβCD (hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin) would be interesting because of its improved complexing ability, water solubility and lower toxicity than that of β-CD, changing the pharmacological properties of this anagelse and thus providing it the photo stability. Hisham et al. 2015 used chromatographic (HPLC) investigations for simultaneous determination of atenolol and nifedipine in presence of atenolol pharmacopeial impurities.

In this study, the development of an insertion complex linking Diclofenac and HPβCD was studied and improved photo stability activities of diclofenac in the existence of HPβCD was examined using XRD, UV-Vis, FTIR and HPLC. The anti-inflammatory and analgesic evaluation results were for the post-activities of diclofenac sodium and the complexed DS+HPβCD prepared in various ratios were also discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Diclofenac Sodium was a legacy sample from Blessing Pharmaceuticals India (Maharashtra, India); HPβCD was a legacy sample from Gangwal Chemicals (Maharashtra, India). Sodium Lauryl Sulphate and de-ionized water were from VIT University, Vellore India.

Preparation of physical mixtures

Uncomplexed diclofenac sodium and HPβCD were weighed to make the mixtures in four different ratio of 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4. The sample powders were mixed vigorously in a beaker with a glass rod. After thorough mixing, the mixtures of all the four ratios were gathered and labelled accordingly. Inclusion complex of diclofenac sodium (DS) and HPβCD was weighed to make the mixtures in four different ratios of 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4. Demineralized water was added to DS samples, which were followed by sodium lauryl sulphate, a solubilising agent. Finally, HPβCD was added to this mixture. The mixture was shaken vigorously and kept overnight. Some amount of the inclusion complexes was freeze dried, while the rest was filtered using a Whatman filter paper to obtain clear solutions.

Physicochemical characterization studies

Physicochemical characterizations like XRD, FTIR and HPLC were performed in VIT University, India. For powder XRD analysis, powder diffractograms of DS, HPβCD, physical mixtures and the inclusion complexes were collected. The particulars for DS were 2θ = 5°-60°, the tube voltage of 40 kW and the tube current of 30 mA, the step size was 0.02° and the time frame was 0.02° per second. For HPβCD it was 2θ = 5°-60° and the angle range was 20 = 5°-70° (Bing-Xin et al., 2012, Klaus-Dieter et al., 2003). Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) experiments were carried out in Shimadzu, IR Affinity-1 spectrometer in a range from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution. DS, HPβCD, physical mixtures and the inclusion complexes were analyzed using KBr pellets (Ghodke et al., 2010). UV-Visible Analysis were carried out in a Jasco, V-670 spectrometer at 276nm in a range of 200-400nm for DS and at 282nm in a range of 250-350nm for HPβCD.

The photo stability of DS was assessed in the presence and absence of HPβCD. The clear solutions of different ratios, prepared from the inclusion complexes, were positioned 50 cm away from a UV light source. They were exposed to UV light for four different time intervals- 0, 2, 4 and 6 hours. DS concentrations were determined by HPLC.

In-Vitro anti-inflammatory activity

In-vitro Anti-Inflammatory activity was performed by Human Red Blood Cell (HRBC) membrane stabilization method using diclofenac sodium as standard (Ejebe et al., 2010, Manikandan et al., 2015). The percentage haemolysis was calculated by assuming the haemolysis produced in the presence of distilled water at 100%. The percentage of HRBC membrane stabilization was calculated using the following formula,

100% - % Inhibition of haemolysis = 100 x [(OD1-OD2)/OD1],

Where OD2 = optical density of sample OD1 = optical density of control.

In vivo analgesic activity evaluations

Wistar albino rats of either sex, 150±10g, were procured from the animal house, Annamalai University, India. They were in a controlled room with a 12 h dark-light cycle and fed with commercial pellet feed from Hindustan Lever Ltd. (Mumbai, India); water was freely available. Animal model study was approved (Vide No.1038, 2013) by the Institutional Animal ethics committee of Annamalai University, India and was conducted in accordance with the “Guidelines for care and Use of Laboratory Animals”, Government of India.

Test of peripheral analgesic activity by acetic-acid-induced writhing response

The acetic acid-induced writhing test was carried out in accordance with (Collier et al. 1968). The rats were intraperitoneally injected with 0.6 % acetic acid (10 mL/kg b.wt) to elicit writhing response. Immediately after the administration of acetic acid, the animals were placed in glass cages and the number of writhes was recorded for the following 30 min.

A significant reduction in the number of writhes by drug treatment as compared to control animals was considered as a positive analgesic response. Diclofenac sodium and complexed form of diclofenac sodium with HPβCD (50 mg/kg b.wt) and indomethacin (10 mg/kg b.wt) were administered intra-peritoneally 30 min before the acetic acid injection.
Test of central analgesic activity of p-CA (p-Coumaric acid) by tail-immersion test

The tail-immersion test was carried out as described by (Janssen et al. 1963), was immersed in a water bath thermostatically maintained at 55±1°C. The time in seconds for the tail withdrawal from the water was taken as the tail withdrawal latency or reaction time, with a cut-off time of immersion set at 10 seconds. The reaction time was measured before drug treatment at 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after the drugs were administered. Diclofenac sodium and complexed form of diclofenac sodium with HPβCD (50 mg/kg b.wt) and indomethacin (10 mg/kg b.wt) were administered at lower 5-cm portion of the tail.

Statistical Analysis

All in vitro and in vivo experiment results were expressed as percentage decrease with respect to control values and compared by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post test was performed. GraphPad Prism version 6.07 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com was used for statistical analysis. A difference was considered statistically significant if $p<0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Physicochemical characterization

For inclusion complex and physical mixture the following codes were given as respectively ratio 2:1 - 1 & A, ratio 1:1 - 2 & B, ratio 1:2 - 3 & C, ratio 1:4 - 4 & D, Diclofenac sodium as DS and Hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin as H (Refer supplementary file for all spectrum figures). Physicochemical characterization was performed by executing X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), UV-Vis analysis, Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and the photo stability test followed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis of the complex was carried out (Refer supplementary file for all result spectrum figures).

XRD analysis

The powder diffractograms from HPβCD, DS, physical mixture, and the inclusion complex were performed on a X-ray diffractometer with a Cu-Kα radiation source and the tube voltage and current set to 40 kW and 30 mA, respectively, operated at a scan rate of 0.02°/second, between 2θ = 5° and 60° in a 2θ - 2θ configuration. The DS diffractogram reveals a crystalline nature, whereas HPβCD is amorphous. For the ratio 2:1, the amount of DS is twice as that of HPβCD. The pattern of the physical mixture was almost similar as DS with some similarities with HPβCD pattern. But, the pattern was more inclined towards DS than HPβCD. The inclusion complex gives a pattern which is somewhat similar to the DS pattern. But the pattern also shows some amorphous nature, which indicates that the complexation was complete. For the ratio 1:1, the amount of DS and HPβCD was equal. The physical mixture of DS and HPβCD gives a superposition of crystalline DS and the amorphous HPβCD. On the contrary, the inclusion complex gives a slightly different pattern from the crystalline DS. In addition, a similar pattern as observed in the diffractogram related to HPβCD, which suggests conformation changes for DS in the inclusion complex.

The partial loss of crystallinity was observed. So, the inclusion complex was partially amorphous. But since the pattern was partial both sides, it can be said that the reduction in the intensity was 50% than pure HPβCD, showing a complete complexation of the DS. For ratio 1:2, the amount of HPβCD was twice as DS. The pattern of the physical mixture was similar as HPβCD, which shows that the complexation was complete and DS have combined with HPβCD. The inclusion complex pattern, also, was similar to HPβCD pattern. This may be because the amount of HPβCD was higher than DS. But, from the patterns it is obvious that both the physical mixture and the inclusion complex were amorphous in nature. For the ratio 1:4, the amount of HPβCD was 4 times the amount of DS. The pattern of the physical mixture and that of the inclusion complex were same as that of HPβCD. This clearly indicates that both of them were of amorphous nature. HPβCD was attached to DS, thus, creating steric hinderance. So, due to the steric hinderance the crystallinity properties of DS were masked and they appeared to be amorphous.

FTIR analysis

DS showed peaks at 2970 (N-H), 1575 (N-H), 1556 (C=O) and 715 (C-H) cm$^{-1}$. HP-β-CD infrared spectrum presented at 2929 and 1157 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the NH and C-O groups, respectively. Other significant peaks for DS were 3385, 3035, 1498, 1282, 844, 765 and 746 cm$^{-1}$ whereas for HP-β-CD were 1332, 1082, 707 and 582 cm$^{-1}$. For the ratio 2:1, inclusion complex peaks for DS were 3385, 1575, 1556 and 715 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD was 2965 cm$^{-1}$. For physical mixture, the peaks for DS were 3035, 2970, 1575, 1556, 1498, 1282, 844, 765, 746 and 715 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD were 2929 and 1157 cm$^{-1}$. In the ratio 1:1, for the inclusion complex, the peaks from DS were 3385, 3035, 2970, 1575, 1556, 1498, 1467, 1282, 844, 765, 746, 715, and 559 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD were 2929, 1157 and 582 cm$^{-1}$. For physical mixture, the peaks from DS were 3035, 2970, 1575, 1556, 1498, 1467, 1282, 1192, 1166, 844, 765, 746 and 715 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD were 2929 and 1041 cm$^{-1}$. For the ratio 1:2, the inclusion complex peaks from DS were 3385 and 2970 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD were 2929, 1641, 1332, 1157, 1082, 854, 707, 582 and 547 cm$^{-1}$. For physical mixture, the peaks from DS were 2970, 1556, 1452, 1282, 844, 765, 715 and 559 cm$^{-1}$ and from HP-β-CD were 2065 and 1157 cm$^{-1}$.

In the ratio 1:4, the inclusion complex peaks from DS were 2970 and 1303 and from HPβCD were 2929, 1641, 1332, 1157, 1082, 947, 854 and 707 cm$^{-1}$ and for physical mixture the peaks from DS were 3385, 2970, 1575, 1556, 1498, 1467, 1303, 1282, 844, 765, 746 and 715 cm$^{-1}$ and from HPβCD was 1157 cm$^{-1}$. The analysis of DS- HP-β-CD for ratio 1:1 showed that all the significant peaks from both DS and HPβCD were present which clearly indicates the association of DS with HPβCD. The sensitivity of this technique is to detect the drug in the
concentration that they were used in the formulations, the infrared spectra for DS was recorded using the mixtures of drug and HPβCD at the proportions of 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4 (w/w), showing now for Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis that the characteristics peaks of DS and HPβCD could be detected in the curves at the concentration used in the complex preparations. Some peaks were observed near the peak values of DS and HPβCD with a difference of (+) or (-) 2.

UV-Vis analysis

For confirmatory studies, samples were exposed to light providing an overall illumination of not less than 1.2 million lux hours and an integrated near ultraviolet energy of not less than 200 watt hours/square meter to allow direct comparisons to be made between diclofenac and the complexes in various ratio. The analysis of UV was performed with the help of XRD data. From the XRD patterns of DS and the complexes, it was observed that DS was retained in the complexes after complexation was completed. This resulted in a strong peak at 6.639 in the XRD pattern of DS. A peak at 21.930 was observed in the complex patterns. It was the first strongest peak of the complex. This was because there was no peak in the DS XRD pattern at 21.930. This indicates that this strong peak in the complex patterns can only come from a complex. These observations showed that the complete amount of DS was never utilized even after complexation, irrespective of the HPβCD amounts in the ratio. So, the peaks observed in the UV graph is because of the retained DS in the complexes. Here, a possibility arises that the peak of the complex lies outside the decided range for UV. And since DS is retained in the complexes, both inclusion complex and the physical mixture, a strong peak is observed in the XRD pattern for DS and not for the complexes.

Since, the XRD peak height is proportional to the weight percentage of the sample, a strong peak of DS was observed in the pattern of the complexes. The conclusion drawn from this analysis is that the ratios were complexed and efficiently completed. The peaks for DS as per the strength of the peak are at 6.639, 8.514, 15.108 and 23.409 whereas the strongest peak of the complex was observed at 21.930. A clear conclusion from UV analysis can be drawn which is that there is some DS always retained in the complexes after complexation. This is observed from a constant peak value in UV results. This is probably because the molecule size of DS is more than that of HPβCD. So, there is steric hindrance to the active sites of the molecule which is why more complexation is not attained. The future perspective for this would be to reduce the concentration of DS or to change the complexing agent or to change the method of synthesis.

HPLC analysis

Complexed diclofenac in aqueous solution was measured by HPLC (Shimadzu LC-20A pump, Shim-pack VP-DSD-C18 column (4.6 ×150 mm, 3 μm)) at a flow rate of 0.8 mL min-1 and using a UV detector (SPD-20A detector) at 245 nm. The injection volume was 10 μL. The eluent comprised 60% methanol and 40% deionized water (pH = 2.5). Samples of all the ratios were exposed to UV light for 4 different time intervals- 0, 2, 4 and 6 hrs. Each sample responded differently to these conditions. The retention time for each sample was different. It decreased with the increasing time interval and UV exposure. It was also observed that the area of the peak was reduced with increasing time interval and UV exposure. The values for the ratios 2:1, 1:2 and 1:4 are decreasing with increasing time interval and UV exposure. This means that the amount of DS decreased in each of the samples after exposure to light. The values for DS are also decreasing with increasing time interval and UV exposure which indicates that DS degraded when exposed to light. But, in case of ratio 1:1, the values decreased at first but then increased at the end. This was because DS was photo-stabilized due to HPβCD complexation. The amount released after 6 hrs was more than that after 4 hrs (Table-1) which is clearly indicated the photo-stabilization. Figure- 1 clearly illustrating photostabilization of complexes by showing the complex ratio values decreasing with increasing time interval and UV exposure. The ratio 1:4 is showing remarkable photostabilization among all the complexes.

Table 1: HPLC results for photo stability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio / Time</th>
<th>0 hrs</th>
<th>2 hrs</th>
<th>4 hrs</th>
<th>6 hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>117.24</td>
<td>60.02</td>
<td>54.41</td>
<td>48.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>90.95</td>
<td>48.87</td>
<td>42.92</td>
<td>53.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>52.45</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>30.29</td>
<td>27.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>20.23</td>
<td>18.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS*</td>
<td>6.639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Release</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DS-Diclofenac sodium, Numbers in bold indicating the reading in time interval

Fig. 1: Complex ratio values decreasing with increasing time interval and UV exposure.

In-Vitro anti-inflammatory activity

As per the results set out in Table 4, the maximum values were exposed only at the higher concentration. From this, it was understood that the anti-inflammatory activity of complexed samples is dose depended. The calculated % inhibition indicates that the samples in the ratio 1:4 and 1:2 showing best activity when compared to rest complexed samples while the ratio 1:1 showed a moderate activity. Also the IC₅₀ values are almost equal to the ratio of 1:4 with standard diclofenac. The IC₅₀ value was a
little bit less than standard for the ratio 1:2 indicate its considerable efficiency in anti-inflammatory activity.

Table 2: In-vitro anti-inflammatory activity of complexes diclofenac as standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test samples</th>
<th>Mean± SEM</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>IC50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac sodium</td>
<td>90.35±9.23**</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>24.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>72.03±6.46**</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>42.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>78.98±7.15**</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>43.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>87.72±7.84**</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
<td>25.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>88.73±3.59**</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>25.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data analyzed by one way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s t’ test, (n = 3), **p<0.05 significant from control.

In vivo analgesic activity evaluations

Result of peripheral analgesic activity by aceticacid-induced writhing response

In the acetic acid-induced writhing test, diclofenac sodium complexes showed significant peripheral analgesic activity in a dose-dependent manner, as depicted in Figure-2. Intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid in rats significantly increased the writhing. Among all the complexes, 1:4 ratio complex showing almost equal activity when compare with the diclofenac sodium while 1:2 showing a moderate.

![Fig. 2: Effect of samples on acetic acid-induced writhing response in rat.](image)

Table 3: Effect of diclofenac and its complex at various ratio and indomethacin on tail-immersion test in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test samples</th>
<th>Mean writhing (X ± SE)</th>
<th>Protection (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.55**</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.46**</td>
<td>82.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac sodium</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.44**</td>
<td>88.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.55**</td>
<td>81.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.22**</td>
<td>84.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.41**</td>
<td>82.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.12**</td>
<td>87.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent mean values ± SE of six mice per group, shown at the final value for each group. Comparisons are made with the control group. **Symbols represent statistical significance when p<0.05

Result of central analgesic activity of p-CA (p-Coumaric acid) by tail-immersion test

Table-2 below depicts the central analgesic activity of diclofenac and its complex as measured by the tail-immersion test. Diclofenac (50/100 mg/kg b.wt) exhibited significant analgesic activity in a dose-dependent manner by delaying the tail withdrawal latency or reaction time, compared to the control group. Indomethacin treatment was found to have a better effect compared to diclofenac treatment. Among all the complexes, 1:4 showed a maximum activity, 1:1 and 1:2 ratio complexes showed almost equal activity while 1:2 showing a moderate when compare with the diclofenac sodium.

CONCLUSION

In this study, photo stability of diclofenac sodium, and its liquid inclusion complexes with HPβCD, was studied in aqueous solution. XRD pattern, FTIR spectra, UV-absorption spectra and HPLC of diclofenac sodium and inclusion complexes, physical mixtures in various concentrations were determined. One of the best concentrations of the products was identified as 1:4. Complexation of diclofenac sodium in the presence of HPβCD leads to a more enhanced photo stability, an effect which could be due to the activities of complexed radical intermediates. In vitro anti-inflammatory and in vitro analgesic activity results confirmed that there is no conversion in the normal activity of diclofenac sodium when it was complexed with HPβCD. It was understood from all investigations that diclofenac sodium complexed with hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin enhances the photo stability of diclofenac sodium by not affecting the normal therapeutic potentials.
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