Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 6 (01), pp. 062-065, January, 2016 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2016.600110 ISSN 2231-3354 (cc) BY-NC-5A

Pattern of Antimicrobial Resistance of Escherichia coli Isolates from Urinary Tract Infection Patients: A Three Year Retrospective Study

Neetu Sharma*, Anita Gupta, Geeta Walia, Rupinder Bakhshi Department of Microbiology, Govt. Medical College, Patiala, Punjab, India.

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Objectives: To study	Objectives: To study the changing pattern of antimicrobial resistance of <i>Escherichia coli</i> isolates from patients
Deviced on: 11/11/2015	of urmary tract infections over last three years

Materials and Methods: A retrospective, record based study carried out based on the records of Culture and sensitivity (C/S) reports of indoor patients, during past three years (2012 - 2014). The type of organisms most common in urine sample was noted and the drugs still effective for the particular organism were noted.

Results: E. coli was the most frequent isolate throughout the three years (67.66 % of the total isolates). Analysis of the results year wise indicated that the lowest percentage of resistance was manifested against imipenem between 11.86 % (2012) and 11.36 % (2014). Resistance for nitrofurantoin decreased over the three consecutive years from 36.1 % (2012) to 18.15 % (2014). Over the successive years, resistance to ceftriaxone tends to increase from 53.39 % (2012) to 73.33 % (2014). E coli showed absolute resistance (100 %) to cotrimoxazole and tetracycline. On an average over the three years E. coli showed high amount of resistance to fluoroquinolones (75 %) and aminoglycosides (67 %). While Multi drug resistant (MDR) E. coli range between 63 % (2012) to 65 % (2014).

Conclusion: The antimicrobial resistance patterns are constantly evolving and vary from region to region it has become a necessity to do constant antimicrobial sensitivity surveillance. This will help clinicians to provide safe and effective empirical therapies.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the commonly encountered diseases in developing Countries with an estimated annual global incidence of at least 250 million (Ronald et al., 2001). Antibiotic resistance is a worldwide problem threatening our ability to treat infections (Fagan et al., 2015). Treatment failure because of antibiotic resistance inside and outside hospitals results in increasing mortality, morbidity and economic costs. In most instances, growth of more than 105 organisms per millilitre from a properly collected midstream "clean-catch" urine sample indicates infection (Sundqvist et al., 2009). Escherichia coli (E. coli) is the major aetiological agent in causing UTI, which accounts for up to 90% of cases with other pathogens including Enterococci, Staphylococcus saprophyticus,

* Corresponding Author

Email:drneetusharma1975@gmail.com

Klebsiella spp., Proteus mirabilis and Pseudomonas (Ronald, 2002). In addition to Urinary Tract, E. coli is the most frequent pathogen associated with Intra-Abdominal Infection (IAI) (Jafri et al., 2014). In UTI cases, antibiotic treatment is often started empirically, before the results of urine culture are available and therapy is based on information obtained from the antimicrobial resistance pattern of the urinary pathogens (Ashkenazi et al., 1991). Regular monitoring of resistance patterns is necessary to improve guidelines for empirical antibiotic therapy (Grude et al., 2001). Empirical first-line treatment of uncomplicated UTI should preferably be with an antibiotic to which resistance is low and which has a low capacity for co-selection of resistance and a low impact on the normal intestinal flora (Kahlmeter, 2003; Naber, 2008).

Resistance pattern of microorganisms vary from country to country, state to state, large hospital to small hospital and hospital to community. The estimation of local aetiology and susceptibility profile could support the most effective empirical treatment (Farajnia et al., 2009).

Revised on: 11/11/2015 Accepted on: 06/12/2015 Available online: 26/01/2016

Key words: Antimicrobial resistance, Escherichia coli, urinary tract infections.

Dr Neetu Sharma, Assistant Professor, Department Of Pharmacology, Govt. Medical College, Patiala, Punjab.

^{© 2016} Neetu Sharma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlikeUnported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

In our country, the problem of antibiotic resistance is compounding because of overuse and misuse of antibiotics. There is no systematic national surveillance of antibiotic resistance and insufficient data is available to quantify the problem. The aim of this study was to evaluate the in vitro resistance pattern to commonly used antimicrobial agents. This study will further help in formulating most optimal empirical treatment regimen for UTI cases, while awaiting Culture and sensitivity reports with minimal therapeutic failure. It also reflects changes in the susceptibility pattern of the most common uropathogen *E. coli* over the years in this area, implying the need for periodic monitoring in order to decrease the number of therapeutic failures and to add on the effort to contain the increasing antibiotic resistance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A retrospective, record based study was carried out in department of Pharmacology in collaboration with Microbiology department of a teaching tertiary care hospital. The study was carried out based on the records of C/S (Culture and sensitivity) reports of indoor patients, during past three years from January to December of years 2012 to 2014 admitted in wards of Rajindra Hospital and Govt. Medical College Patiala, a tertiary care 1100 bedded hospital. Permission was taken from ethical committee and in-charge of Microbiology laboratory prior to the study. All the C/S reports of urine samples, maintained in the record registers of Microbiology laboratory received from various wards during the period 2012 to 2014 were included and analyzed. Reports of Isolates from repeat culture of previously recruited patients and isolates identified as commensals or contaminants were excluded from the study. No. of reports /yr for three years of urine samples which were already registered were noted. Only reports with positive E. coli c/s report were considered for this study. Positive reports for E. coli out of total no. of urine samples positive for the years 2012, 2013 and 2014 were 590 (947), 707(1058) and 810 (1102) respectively. Sample size for the present study was 2, 107 for the three years.

The data was collected year wise starting from the year 2012. The provisional diagnosis was noted. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of E. coli isolates was determined on Muller Hinton agar plates by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Isolates were declared as sensitive or resistant on the basis of zone of inhibition following the criteria of Clinical Laboratory standards Institute (CLSI) (Niranjan et al., 2014). The surface of Mueller Hinton agar plates was streaked by a sterile cotton swab. The Mueller Hinton agar plates were allowed to dry before applying antibiotic disc. Then, Filter paper disks impregnated with fixed amount of antimicrobial drugs were gently and firmly placed on the agar plates, which were then left at room temperature for 1 hour to allow diffusion of the antibiotics into the agar medium. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. An inhibition zone formed around the disc showed an antimicrobial activity present on the plates. The diameter of the inhibition zones was measured in millimeter at 24 hours using a scale. The antibiotics tested were broad-spectrum Penicillin, third generation Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Tetracycline, Aminoglycosides, and Sulfonamides, Carbapenems and Nitrofurantoin. Type of organisms most common in urine sample were noted and drugs still effective for the particular organism were noted.

Whole of the data was collected and compiled in the year wise manner and compared year wise to see the trend in the resistance pattern. An isolate was considered as MDR if found resistant to three or more antimicrobials belonging to different classes/groups of antimicrobials (Niranjan *et al.*, 2014). C/S reports for other common uropathogens will also be further analyzed.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive statistics was used for analysis. Proportions were used to study the resistance pattern of *E. coli* and variables were expressed as percentages. Licensed SPSS version 20 was used for statistical analysis. All the data was expressed as tables and bar diagrams.

RESULTS

Over a 3-year period of 2012-2014, a total of 2, 464 positive urine isolates including 2, 107 E. coli were analyzed. As expected, E. coli was the most frequent isolate throughout the three years (average of 67.66% of the total isolates). The next most frequently isolated bacteria were Klebsiella pneumonia (14%), Proteus sp. (6%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (8%), Enterococcus sp. (2%), and Streptococcus agalactiae (1%). Analysis of the results year wise indicated that the lowest percentage of resistance was manifested against imipenem between 11.86%, 12.22% and 11.36% for the years 2012-2014 [Table1]. Resistance for nitrofurantoin decreased over the three consective years from 36.1% (2012) to 18.15 % (2014). Over the successive years, the resistance to third generation cephalosporins, tends to increase on an average from 50% to 65%. E coli showed absolute resistance (100%) to cotrimoxazole and tetracycline. Two most common classes of drugs used for treatment of Urinary tract infections are fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides. E. coli showed high amount of resistance of 75% and 67% respectively for the two groups over the three years along with the increasing trend [Figure1].



Fig. 1: Antibiotic resistance pattern of *E. coli* isolates in UTI patients over last three years from 2013 to 2014.

MDR (Multi -Drug resistant) E. coli

Those strains which are found to be resistant to three or more than three groups of antimicrobials were taken as multi drug resistant strains. While MDR *E. coli* percentage resistant to fluoroquinolones, third generation cephalosporins and aminoglycosides range between 63 % (2012) and 65 % (2014) over the consecutive years.

DISCUSSION

This study shows the distribution of microbial species and antibiotic resistance patterns of *E. coli* isolated from North Indian patients with UTI. Rajindra hospital is an 1100-bedded hospital located in Patiala, Punjab; it is one of the busiest hospitals in the state. Antibiotic resistance is a major clinical problem in treating infections caused by these microorganisms. The resistance to the antimicrobials has increased over the years. Resistance rates vary from one region to another (Farrell *et al.*, 2003; Mathai *et al.*, 2001). There are very few studies done in Punjab for keeping a check on the changing trend in antimicrobial resistance.

Gram - negative organisms are the most common organisms causing UTIs. In this study, E. coli accounted for approximately 68% of all clinically significant urinary isolates followed by Klebsiella (14%), Proteus sp.(6%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (8%), Enterococcus sp.(2%), and Streptococcus agalactiae(1%). The spectrum of uropathogens isolated from urine samples in this study is very similar to the studies done in different regions of India (Prakash et al., 2013; Mukherjee et al., 2013; Akram et al., 2007). In our study, E. coli isolates found to be resistant to Amoxicillin-clavulanate between 73% (2012) and 84%(2014).Such high level of resistance of 70% and 74.4% was documented from studies done in Kolkata and Puducherry respectively (Niranjan et al., 2014; Saha et al., 2014). Although fluoroquinolones are among the most effective drugs in treating UTI, diverse studies have revealed increasing resistance to fluoroquinolones between 74.2% and 86% (Niranjan et al., 2014; Somashekara et al., 2014). Similarly in our study resistance to fluoroquinolones is between 78% (2012) and 74% (2014). This may be due to rampant use of fluoroquinolones as first line empirical therapy in UTI cases.

Piperacillin + tazobactam and Aminoglycosides are consistently showing high resistance percentage over the successive three years. Third generation cephalosporines like ceftriaxone is showing very obvious increasing trend of resistance over the three years ranging from 53% (2012) to 73 % (2014). In a study done in Puducherry ceftriaxone resistance is found to be 71.4% which is quite similar to our study (Niranjan *et al.*, 2014). This may be due to the increasing clinical use of third generation cephalosporins following the resistant strains to fluoroquinolones. There is nearly 100% resistance seen with Tetracyclines and Cotrimoxazole, this is very much similar to a study done in Chandigarh, Punjab hospital (95%) and one done in Kerala and Andhra Pradesh (Somashekara *et al.*, 2014; Mehta *et al.*, 2007). Lowest resistance is seen to imipenem and nitrofurantoin in our study. On one side low resistance is consistently seen over three years, in case of imipenem 11.86% (2012) and 11.36% (2014) while for nitrofurantoin there is a decreasing trend of resistance seen over the three successive years decreasing from 36% (2012) to 18 % (2014).

Low resistance to carbapenems may be explained by lesser use of these injectable drugs till date. Also use of nitrofurantoin in the hospital was limited in the past few years because of narrow spectrum of the drug, which may have led to decreased resistance level to the drug.

In the present study another finding which raises an alarm is about MDR, urinary isolates of *E. coli* show 63 % (2012), 64.54%(2013) and 65%(2014) resistance to Fluoroquinolones, third-generation cephalosporins and aminoglycosides collectively. The rising trend of MDR is seen over the successive years. This is comparable to 76% MDR *E. coli* seen in the study done in Puducherry, India (Niranjan *et al.*, 2014).

In a study of antibiotic susceptibility done in Puducherry, amikacin resistance is 17% (Niranjan *et al.*, 2014). These results are quite different from our study thereby stressing upon the regional differences and importance of surveillance of antimicrobial resistance and suggestion of empirical therapy accordingly.

In this retrospective study, there is no consideration of patients demographic data like age, gender etc., clinical symptoms, complicated versus uncomplicated UTI, which are surely the limitations of this study. On the other hand the large sample size of UTI patients and comparison of three years data are strengths of the study.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that 65 % of *E. coli* isolates from urine samples were MDR. Because of this a bacterium resistant to one antibiotic is often much more likely to be resistant to second choice of antibiotics, thereby increasing the chances of failure of therapy in UTI. The antimicrobial resistant patterns are constantly evolving and vary from region to region it is a necessity for constant antimicrobial sensitivity surveillance. This will help clinicians to provide safe and effective empirical therapies with minimal therapeutic failures.

REFERENCES

Akram M., Shahid, M., andKhan, A.U. Etiology and antibiotic resis-tance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in JNMC Hospital Aligarh, India. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob, 2007; 6: 4. doi:10.1186/1476-0711-6-4

Ashkenazi S, EvenTov S, Samra Z, et al. Uropathogens of various childhood populations and their antibiotic susceptibility. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 1991; 10: 742–46.

Fagan M, Lindbæk M, Grude N, Reiso H, Romøren M, Skaare D, Berild D. Antibiotic resistance patterns of bacteria causing urinary tract infections in the elderly living in nursing homes versus the elderly living at home: an observational study. BMC Geriatrics, 2015; 15:98.

Farajnia S, Alikhani MY, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Nakhlband A. Causative agents and antimicrobial susceptibilities of urinary tract infections in the northwest of Iran. Int J Infect Dis, 2009; 13:140-144. doi: 10.1016/j.jijd.2008.04.014.

Farrell DJ, Morrissey I, De Rubeis D, Robbins M, Felmingham D.A UK multicentre study of the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial pathogens causing urinary tract infection. J Infect, 2003; 46:94-100.

Grude Y, Tveten Y, Kristiansen BE. "Urinary tract infections in Norway: bacterial etiology and susceptibility.Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2001; 7(10): 543–47. doi : 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2001.00306.x

Jafri SA, Qasim M, Masoud MS, Rahman MU, Izhar M, Kazmi S. Antibiotic resistance of *E. coli* isolates from urine samples of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) patients in Pakistan. Bioinformation, 2014; 10(7): 419–22.

Kahlmeter G. An international survey of the antimicrobial susceptibility of pathogens from uncomplicated urinary tract infections: the ECO.SENS Project. J Antimicrob Chemother, 2003; 51: 69–76.

Mathai D, Jones RN, Pfaller MA, SENTRY Participant Group North America. Epidemiology and frequency of resistance among pathogens causing urinary tract infections in 1, 510 hospitalized patients: A report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (North America). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2001; 40: 129-36.

Mehta M, Bhardwaj S, Sharma J. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of blood isolates from a teaching hospital in north India. Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2005; 58(3):174–6.

Mukherjee M., Basu S., Mukherjee S.K., and Majumder M. Multi drug resistance and extended spectrum beta-lactamase production in uro-pathogenic *E. coli* which were isolated from hospitalized patients in Kolkata, India. J Clin Diagn Res, 2013; 7: 449–53. doi:10.7860/JCDR/ 2013/4990.2796

Naber KG, Schito G, Botto H et al. Surveillance study in Europe and Brazil on clinical aspects and Antimicrobial Resistance Epidemiology in Females with Cystitis (ARESC): implications for empiric therapy. Eur Urol, 2008; 54: 1164–75. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2008.05.010.

Niranjan V, Malini A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern in *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infection among inpatients. Indian J Med Res, 2014 ; 139: 945-48.

in urban community of Meerut City, India. Microbiol, 2013:749629. doi: 10.1155/2013/749629

Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: Traditional and Emerging Pathogens. Am J Med, 2002; 113 Suppl 1A:14-19.

Ronald AR, Nicolle LE, Stamm E, et al. Urinary tract infection in adults: research priorities and strategies. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 2001;17(4) :343-48.

Sundqvist M, Kahlmeter G. 'Pre-emptive culturing' will improve the chance of 'getting it right' when empirical therapy of urinary tract infections fails. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 2009; 64: 227–28. doi:10.1093/jac/dkp181

Saha SN, Nayak S, Bhattacharyya I, Saha S, Mandal AK, Chakraborty S et al. Understanding the Patterns of Antibiotic Susceptibility of Bacteria Causing Urinary Tract Infection in West Bengal, India. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2014; 5: 1-7. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014. 00463

Somashekara SC, Deepalaxmi1 S, Jagannath N, Ramesh B, Laveesh MR, Govindadas D.Retrospective analysis of antibiotic resistance pattern to urinary pathogens in a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. J B Clinpharm, 2014; 5: 105-08. doi: 10.4103/0976-0105.141948

How to cite this article:

Sharma N, Gupta A, Walia G, Bakhshi R. Pattern of Antimicrobial Resistance of *Escherichia coli* Isolates From Urinary Tract Infection Patients: A Three Year Retrospective Study. J App Pharm Sci, 2016; 6 (01): 062-065.