Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 4 (06), pp. 123-130, June, 2014 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324JAPS.2014.40619 ISSN 2231-3354 CC) BY-NC-SH

# Nanoparticulate drug-delivery systems: lymphatic uptake and its gastrointestinal applications

# Saikat Ghosh<sup>1\*</sup> and Tanushree Roy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, West Bengal, India, <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Gupta College of Technological Sciences, Asansol, West Bengal, India.

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received on: 19/02/2014 Revised on: 27/03/2014 Accepted on: 11/04/2014 Available online: 28/06/2014	One of the important challenges of modern drug therapy is the optimization of the pharmacological action of a drug along with the reduction of its toxic side effects in vivo. One response is the use of drug carriers that can provide site specific or targeted drug delivery combined with optimal drug release profiles. Nanoparticulate systems (NPS) as a drug delivery system is an emerging field in medical sciences since they are believed to target the delivery of the drug in cells reduce dose and thus reduce side effects and dose related toxicities. The
<i>Key words:</i> Nanoparticulate systems (NPS), lymphatic system, gastrointestinal tract (GIT) uptake, target, carriers.	gastrointestinal tract (G11) uptake of nanoparticulate systems is nowadays well accepted phenomenon. Uptake of Nanoparticulates from the gut can provide an additional drug administration route with its own pharmacokinetic parameters and specific drug-carrying ability. The drug is transported into the GIT by carriers whose physico- chemical characteristics must be taken into account, although the physico-chemical and pharmacological characteristics of the drug remain intact. In this article we concentrate particularly on the translocation of NPS via the lymphatic system, and their use.

## INTRODUCTION

One of the important challenges of modern drug therapy is the optimization of the pharmacological action of a drug along with the reduction of its toxic side effects in vivo. One response is the use of drug carriers that can provide site specific or targeted drug delivery combined with optimal drug release profile (Kreuter, 1991). Among these carriers, liposomes and nanoparticles have been most extensively investigated. A decade ago as a drug delivery system, nanoparticles were first studied because of their size-dependent physical and chemical properties (Hussain, 2001). Some nanoparticles as formulations have already entered into a commercial exploration (Florence and Hussain, 2001; Nishioka and Yoshino, 2001). Liposomal formulations have some technological limitations such as poor stability, low residence time and low drug entrapment efficiency. To overcome them, polymeric nanoparticles have been tried as alternative drug carriers. The predominant area of research using polymeric nanoparticles is controlled delivery system of drug following parenteral, oral,

pulmonary, nasal, and topical routes of administration. By virtue of their small size and by functionalizing their surface with polymers and appropriate ligands, polymeric nanoparticles can also be targeted to specific cells and specific locations in the body. Polymeric nanoparticles have been reported to overcome stability issues for certain drugs, reducing the therapeutic dose and thereby minimizing drug induced side-effects (Florence, 2004; Hans and Lowman, 2002).

The primary objective of this review article is to highlight various advantages offered by lymphatic targeting of orally administered nanoparticulate systems (NPS) in drug delivery systems. This review further enlightens how NPS are relatively efficient and therapeutically beneficial alternatives to conventional dosage forms besides affording means of targeted drug delivery via a convenient route of administration.

# **Intestinal Lymphatic Targeting**

The epithelial lining of GI tract is made of a mosaic of cells, among which enterocytes (absorptive cells) and goblet cells (secreting the mucus) may be distinguished. These cells are held together tightly forming a strong barrier covered by a mucosal layer. A part of the gut associated lymphoid system(GALT) namely lymphoid follicles which are involved in the development of the mucosal immune response, are interspersed in the enterocyte layer.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author

Saikat Ghosh, Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal, India saikatghosh58@yahoo.com

<sup>© 2014</sup> Saikat Ghosh and Tanushree Roy. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlikeUnported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

These follicles are diffusely distributed or clustered in so-called Peyer's patches, whose number and location vary widely between species and individuals besides being age dependent. These follicles are overlaid by the follicle-associated epithelium (FAE) which comprises enterocytes, M cells differentiated from the enterocytes, and a few goblet cells. These sites serve as the first checkpoints for antigens. FAE and the M cells have been described as most suitable places for particle uptake (Delie and Blanco-Prieto, 2005).

These are characterized by the presence of M-cells which helps in endocytosis, transport into intraepithelial regions and adjoining lymphoid tissue. Usually, nanoparticulates bind to the apical membrane of M-cells, followed by rapid internalization and transportation to the lymphocytes (Florence and Hussain, 2001; Hans and Lowman, 2002). In such cases, absorption of a drug via GALT offers a distinct advantage in avoiding presystemic hepatic first-pass metabolism and thereby preventing drug loss. The factors which affect NPS absorption via the GALT are not only limited to properties of the loaded drug but also the physical characteristics of the carrier like size, shape, specific surface, surface charge, chemical stability of both NPS and loaded drug along with potential interactions with gut contents, transit time through the GIT, transport through the mucosa, adhesion to epithelial surfaces, and particulate aggregation when coming in contact with the gut fluid. The path of transit and translocation of NPS depend quite significantly on their average diameter, surface charge, and release characteristics (Florence and Hussain, 2001; Nishioka and Yoshino, 2001). However, certain processes such as aggregation, adsorption and adhesion can alter the zeta potential, hydrophilicity and size of the NPS leading to the non-attainment of desirable outcomes.

This in turn may reduce efficacy of such formulations via specific drug delivery approach leading to reduced feasibility of same. Besides the above listed properties of NPS, loading capacity also plays a critical role. Loading capacity bears a directly proportional relationship with bioavailability wherein higher loading is associated with higher bioavailability per absorbed particle (Hussain, 2001). Apart from these issues, biocompatibility and biodegradability of the NPS components plays an important role in determining the relative usefulness of such formulations. (Figure 1).

Lymphatic targeting of NPS affords (i) oral delivery of nano-encapsulated GIT labile molecules, (ii) oral delivery of nano-solubilized poorly soluble molecules, (iii) improved bioavailability of poorly absorbed drugs due to increased residence time and surface specificity of NPS (Khan *et al.*, 2013), (iv) oral delivery of vaccine antigens to gut-associated lymphoid tissue (Reddy *et al.*, 2007), (v) translocation of antineoplastic drugs for treatment of lymphomas (Cho *et al.*, 2014), (vi) delivery of diagnostics for the lymphatic system, (vii) sustained/controlled drug release, particularly important for toxic drugs (e.g., antineoplastic drugs) (Cho *et al.*, 2014), (viii) reduction of drug-related GI mucosal irritation and cause avoidance of the hepatic first-pass effect. The formulations that have been reported for lymphatic targeting are

given in Table 1 (Khan *et al.*, 2013; Cho *et al.*, 2014; Shah et al.,2011; Alex et al. 2010; Almeida and Souto,2007; Wu *et al.*, 2011). Various research activities have been conducted which further underline the advantages of lymphatic targeting.

able.	1: Nanop	articulate o	drug form	ulations	reported	for I	Lymph	natic targe	eting.
-------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	----------	-------	-------	-------------	--------

Emulsion							
Ontazolast		Penclomedine					
Microemulsion							
Raloxifene	Puerarin						
Micellar system							
Cyclosporin A							
Self-Emulsifying Drug delivery system							
Coenzyme Q10							
Dendrimers							
5-Flourouracil							
Self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems							
Halofantrine	Nobiletin	Valsartan					
Vinpocetine	Silymarin	Sirolimus					
Raloxifene	Paclitaxel	Simvastatin					
Peurarin							
Self nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems							
Carvedilol	Valsartan	Halofantrine					
Liposomes							
IgG1	Doxorubicin	Cefotaxime					
Paclitaxel	9-nitro-	Ovalbumin					
	camptothecin						
Polymeric Nanoparticles							
Tacrolimus	Cyclosporin-A	Heparin					
Doxorubicin	Insulin	Octreotide					
Sirolimus							
Solid lipid nanoparticles							
Etoposide	Simvastatin	Methotrexate					
Idarubicin	Tobramycin	Docetaxal					
Lopinavir	Clozapine	Rifampicin					
Isoniazid	Pyrazinamide						
Nanostructured lipid carriers							
Nimodipine	Testosterone	Vinpocetine					
Tripterine							

#### **Colonic Lymphatic Targeting**

Lymphatic tissue in the colon is usually found in aggregated masses spread irregularly. The presence of M-cells in the colon increases the possibility of nanoparticulates being absorbed in colon (Uchida, 1988). Development of Colon-specific drug delivery intended for targeting lesser proteolytically active colon can help improve bioavailability of drugs like proteins and peptides. Colonic targeting offers advantages of therapeutic intervention in pathological processes of the gut, such as ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease with increased possibility of targeting of labile molecules such as peptides and small proteins to the colon (Watts and Illum, 1997).

#### Factors affecting NPS efficacy

Gastrointestinal labile molecules such as peptides, anticancer, anti-HIV and immunosuppressant compounds have been incorporated into NPS. Efficient incorporation of bioactive molecules in NPS requires an in-depth study of the factors that may affect the encapsulation. Factors that may affect efficacy of NPS are: drug loading, acceptable physicochemical characteristics such as size, zeta potential or surface charge, molecular weight, hydrophobicity and composition which help for translocation of NPS to lymph and drug release pattern from them.



Fig. 1: Schemati Diagram of different mechanisms and phenomena encountered during transport of nanoparticulates through blood and lymphatic system in reaching the target site. (text in grey boxes indicate limiting limiting factors in efficient delivery. text in blue boxes indicate factors promoting lymphatic transport).

#### Size of NPS

The size and composition of NPS play an important role in lymphatic uptake and particle retention in lymph nodes. Carriers such as colloidal, polymeric and lipid particles show more efficiency in lymphatic uptake. Several drug molecules, including anticancer and monoclonal antibodies, have been incorporated into dendrimers and lipid-based nanoparticles, such as liposomes, SLNs, and NLCs, on the basis of their size and the nature of the preparations for lymphatic targeting. Reports suggest that a particle size of 100-500 nm is optimal for lymphatic uptake via the GI lymphatic system but at a slower rate than particles of size 50-100 nm. However, the uptake of particles with size larger than 500 nm has not been clearly defined (Khan *et al.*, 2013).

# Surface charge on NPS

The charge on NPS is also an important factor in lymphatic uptake. Negatively charged carriers, such as dendrimers encapsulated proteins, polylactic-co-glycolic acid nanospheres, and anionic lipid nano-liposomes have been reported to show higher lymphatic uptake than neutral or positively charged surfaces, which may be ascertained to presence of negatively charged interstitial matrix leading to more drainage into lymphatic system (Kaminskas and Porter, 2011; Rao *et al.*, 2010). Thus, anionic NPS will encounter electrostatic repulsive forces from the negatively charged particles have been reported to be retained for a longer period of time in the lymph nodes (Khan *et al.*, 2013; Kaur *et al.*, 2008). Conversely, positively charged interstitium and face

electrostatic repulsion in the positively charged lymphatic drainage leading to slower movement in them. Further, zeta potential of the particles provides an insight regarding the ionic nature of NPS. Zeta potential values lesser than -30 mV indicates strong anionic nature, while values between +10 and -10 mV indicate neutral behavior, whereas values more than +30 mV indicate a cationic nature. Positively (ie, stearylamine) or negatively (ie, dicetyl phosphate) charge surfactant incorporated Zidovudine-liposome intended for lymphatic targeting showed that negatively charged liposomes improved lymphatic uptake compared with the positively charged liposomes (Kaur *et al.*, 2008).

### Molecular weight of drugs

Lymphatic drug delivery shows a linear relationship between molecular weight and extent of absorption of macromolecules. Increasing in molecular weight causes a decrease in uptake of molecules by capillaries and increased uptake into the lymphatic system. Molecules weighing less than 1000 Da are easily absorbed by the capillaries before they are taken into the lymphatic circulation. In contrast, molecules weighing more than 16,000 Da tend to be absorbed by the lymphatic system rather than by the capillaries (Khan *et al.*, 2013).

#### Hydrophobicity of NPS

Hydrophobicity plays major role in facilitating lymphatic uptake of NPS. The hydrophobicity of the particulates can be correlated with their surface properties, and is mainly responsible for phagocytosis and lymphatic uptake. Reports suggest that decrease in the hydrophobicity of NPS leads to decrease in phagocytosis, decrease in opsonization leading to decrease in lymphatic uptake. Consequently, more the hydrophobicity of NPS, more will be the phagocytosis and lymphatic uptake will increase (Khan *et al.*, 2013).

# Choice of NPS

Factors that must be examined in order to obtain the best formulation type of NPS are: (i) sufficient drug loading to achieve therapeutic levels; (ii) good translocation of NPS to lymph (i.e., small size, biocompatible, biodegradable components, chemicophysical stability of carrier and drug, zeta potential, etc.); (iii) sustained/controlled drug release from NPS; and (iv) increased oral bioavailability to enhance efficacy (Khan *et al.*, 2013).

# Nanoparticulates for lymphatic targeting

Various research activities have been conducted which highlight the relative advantages of NPS lymphatic targeting via oral route. The nanoparticulates studied for such an approach include Dendrimers, Polymeric nanoparticles, Liposomes, Selfmicroemulsifying drug-delivery systems and Solid lipid nanoparticles among others.

# Dendrimers

Dendrimers have been used to prepare nanoparticulates (with diameter below 50 nm) to study the relationship between diameter and uptake from the GIT (Florence, 2004). Study in rats examining the absorption through Peyer's patches and enterocytes of dendrimers having lipidic external character along with a series of cationic dendrimers have shown their preferential uptake through Peyer's patches. In-vivo study of Phospholipid coated polyamidoamine dendrimers entrapped with 5-Fluorouracil in albino rats have shown to be more effective orally than free drug with increase in lymphatic uptake, indicating absorption of the dendrimer through the lymphatic route (Tripathi *et al.*, 2002).

### **Polymeric Nanoparticulates**

Polymeric (natural or synthetic) nanoparticulates are particles of diameter below 1 µm. Natural polymers (i.e., proteins or polysaccharides) are not widely used for this purpose, because of chances of variation in purity, requirement of cross-linking and chances of denaturation of drug (Hans and Lowman, 2002). The most widely used synthetic polymers are poly (lactic acid), poly (glycolic acid), their copolymers poly (lactide-co-glycolide acid) (PLGA) and polyalkylcyanoacrylates (PACA) (Bala et al., 2004; Vauthier et al., 2003). These polymers, offer the advantage of sustained delivery of drugs and avoiding repeated dosing. The major target zone for lymphatic uptake of the nanoparticles are Peyer's patches in GALT. Microparticles have been reported to remain in Peyer's patches, while nanoparticles are systemically disseminated permitting a wide range of drugs to be delivered via the oral route (Hans and Lowman, 2002). In the last decade, a lot of research has focused on the absorption enhancement of peptides proteins (Almeida et al., 2010; Delie and Blanco-Prieto, 2005), and vaccine antigens. Mucoadhesive polymer (chitosan or Carbopol) coated nanoparticulates have shown prolonged action and are more effective (Takeuchi *et al.*, 2001).

Prolonged hypoglycemia is produced by PACA nanospheres entrapped Insulin and dispersed in an oily phase with a surfactant (Damge *et al.*, 1997). Insulin loaded Poly (isobutylcyanoacrylate) nanocapsules upon oral administration to rats while being monitored by fluorescence and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showed absorption through the epithelial mucosa in the intestine (Pinto-Alphandary *et al.*, 2003, Li et al, 2004). PACA nanocapsules incorporated with a peptide, octreotide showed improved and prolonged therapeutic efficacy (Damge *et al.*, 1997). PLGA nanoparticles loaded with Salmon calcitonin and complexed with amphiphilic molecules on oral administartion to rats showed increased absorption efficiency and reduction in the required dose for production of desired therapeutic action (Sang and Gwan, 2004).

Heparin is generally administered by the parenteral route as it has no oral bioavailability. Heparin-loaded polymeric nanoparticles, prepared with biodegradable poly-*\varepsilon*-caprolactone and PLGA and nonbiodegradable positively charged polymers (when used alone or in combination) on oral administration to rabbits showed anti-factor Xa activity for a longer period than when a heparin solution was administered intravenously (Jiao et al., 2002). It would be ideal to have an oral delivery system for vaccines. Following the oral administration of antigens, they are usually taken up by the Peyer's patches primarily through the Mcells and these are sufficient for mucous immunization. For producing IgA antibody response, oral delivery of antigens may be considered as an ideal means (Reddy et al., 2007; Foster and Hirst, 2005). These delivery systems will be effective in the oral delivery of antigens only if they are able to protect the molecule. It has been found that oral administration of antigens incorporated in nanoparticulates induces a stronger antigen-specific immune response than do antigens in the water soluble formulations. This may be attributed to the protection from proteolytic enzymes and the acidic pH of the stomach (Fooks, 2000; Tabata, et al., 1996).

Positively charged nanoparticles carrying cyclosporin A (prepared by the emulsification solvent diffusion method and nanoprecipitation method with nonbiodegradable polymers) showed relative bioavailability of cyclosporin A ranging from 20% to 35% that of Neoral (El-Shabouri, 2002; Ubrich *et al.*, 2005). Polybutylcyanoacrylate nanoparticles (PBCNs) loaded with Peurarin increased the oral bioavailability to upto 550% as compared to the tablet formulation, thereby providing a more effective alternative for the delivery of such poorly water soluble drugs (Zhao *et al.*, 2011). Tacrolimus loaded PLGA/ PLGA-PEG nanoparticles when administered intravenously in rats for lymphatic targeting showed more promising results as compared to commercial product of tacrolimus Injection (Prograf®) (Shina *et al.*, 2010).

Doxorubicin loaded PLGA nanoparticles administered orally in rats have shown improved bioavailability and reduced toxicity as compared to intravenous route (Kalaria et al.,2009). Current research gives strong indication that both cyclodextrins and polymeric nanoparticles could be highly useful in the search for a suitable method for such successful oral delivery of proteins and peptides (Kanwar *et al.*, 2001).

#### Liposomes

Liposomes provide a simple and convenient formulation for oral drug administration. However, their stability in acidic pH of stomach and gastrointestinal medium needs to be ascertained (Allen, 1997; Barratt, 2000). Stability in the physiological conditions after oral drug delivery has been studied for determining the lipid components which shall be able to withstand the harsh conditions associated with GIT (Taira et al., 2004). Polyethylene glycol coated liposomes containing recombinant human epidermal growth factor were administered orally to rats and compared to that of the solution form of same in terms of area under the concentration-time curve (AUC). It showed an increase of 1.7-fold and 2.5-fold for phosphatidylcholine and dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine liposomes, respectively (Cansell et al., 2003). Liposomes encapsulating an extract of natural marine lipids with large amounts of N-3-polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) when administered to thoracic lymph duct-cannulated rats showed absorption of fatty acids were higher than with fish oil (Takeuchi et al., 2003). Chitosan or Carbopol coated liposomes containing calcitonin (both negatively and positively charged liposomes) showed that pharmacological efficacy of the intestinal absorption in rats of coated liposomes was more than twice that of non-coated liposomes (Li et al., 2003). Cationic charged double liposomes containing salmon calcitonin on administration to rats showed higher hypocalcaemic effects than on administration in solution (Yamabe et al., 2003).

# Self-(Micro) Emulsifying Drug-Delivery Systems

Self-(micro) emulsifying Drug-Delivery Systems [S(M)EDDSs] are isotropic mixtures of oils, surfactants, solvents, and cosolvents/surfactants which are used for the improvement of absorption of highly lipophilic drugs. oral Paclitaxel supersaturable self microemulsifying drug-delivery system (S-SEDDS) formulation with hydroxypropylcellulose as precipitation inhibitor (Gao et al., 2003), showed a 5-fold increase in the oral bioaviability than the oral Taxol formulation in rats. Coadministeration of P-glycoprotein inhibitors (cyclosporin A) with paclitaxel S(M)EDDS to rats showed improved oral bioaviability as compared to commercially available Taxol (Gursoy and Benita, 2004). Oral administration of simvastatin [S(M) EDDS] to beagle dogs showed 1.5-fold increase in bioavailability over conventional oral tablet (Kang et al., 2004).

The systemic bioavailability of a poorly water soluble drug Puerarin increased significantly when formulated as microemulsion drug delivery system as compared to other formulations. This may be attributed to the improved the lymphatic transport and portal absorption of such formulations (Wu *et al.*, 2011). SMEDDS in sustained-release pellets of Peurarin developed using castor oil as the oil phase, Cremophor<sup>®</sup> EL as the emulsifier, and 1,2-propanediol as the co-emulsifiers showed 2.6 fold increase in absolute oral bioavailability and 259.7% increase in relative oral bioavailability as compared to Peurarin tablet when tested in beagle dogs. These results demonstrate that puerarin–SMEDDS sustained-release pellets had a sustained-release effect, and could remarkably improve the oral bioavailability of puerarin (Zhang *et al.*, 2012)

Raloxifene loaded microemulsions/SMEDDS with Capmul MCM C8 (oil), Tween-20 and Akrysol K140(as solvents) and PEG-200 (cosolvents) as excipients; on administration to rats exhibited significantly higher intestinal permeation (lymphatic uptake) and increased bioavailability as compared to drug suspension of raloxifene leading to decrease in dose, dosing frequency and lesser side effects (Thakkar *et al.*, 2011).

#### Solid-Lipid Nanoparticles

Solid-lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) are submicron sized particles composed of biocompatible and biodegradable materials, such as triglycerides and fatty acids (Bummer, 2004; Manjunath et al., 2005; Muller et al., 2000). They offer a prominent advantage over other NPS as they are made of physiological lipids and surfactants which are recognized as safe. Per oral administration of camptothecin-loaded **SLNs** (produced by high-pressure homogenization) to rats, showed enhanced availability of the drug compared to solution with significant increase in AUC and mean residence time (MRT) (Yang et al., 1999). Orally administered piribedil SLNs in rabbits showed more than 2-fold increase in drug bioavailability as compared to pure piribedil (Demirel et al., 2001).

Poorly soluble all-trans retinoic acid when administered orally to rats in form of SLNs showed significantly increased absorption as compared to other formulations (O' Driscoll and Giffin, 2008; Khan *et al.*, 2013). Clozapine SLNs when administered by intravenous (IV) and intraduodenal routes showed increased bioaviability with increase in area under the curve (AUC) by 3 and 4.5 times respectively as compared to clozapine suspension. Thus, administration of clozapine SLNs orally can offer better more efficacious alternative as drug delivery system as compared to other routes (Manjunath and Venkateswarlu, 2006).

administration, SLNs After IV and stealth nanoparticulates (to avoid reticuloendothelial system recognition) have been found to be able to cross the blood-brain barrier, increasing the MRT (to a greater extent with stealth SLNs) of the loaded drug compared to solution (Gasco, 2000). Further, SLNs are taken up quickly by neoplastic and non-neoplastic cell lines (Serpe et al., 2004; Serpe et al., 2004; Dianzani et al., 2006). Tobramycin loaded SLNs (Tobramycin is not absorbed in GI tract and is administered through parenteral route) after administration to rats into the duodenum showed 100 and 20 times higher AUC than IV administered Tobra-SLN and tobramycin solution respectively (Cavalli et al., 2006). Here duodenally administered Tobra-SLN acted as a sustained-release system.

Idarubicin is an anthracycline anticancer agent (effective in the treatment of various kinds of tumors), usually administered via intravenous route which leads to distribution of idarubicin to heart, lung, spleen and kidneys while being the primary cause for its cardiotoxicity. Idarubicin containing SLNs on administration to rats duodenally showed higher 21 fold increase in AUC than after intravenous route and thereby enhancing bioavailability. Further, due to 30 fold increase in elimination half life in case of SLNs as compared to solution, it may be suggested that SLN could be useful for prolonged drug delivery. Additionally, these changes can help to reduce toxicity and increase clinical efficacy of drugs (Zara *et al.*, 2002; Khan *et al.*, 2013)

Methotrexate loaded SLNs containing Compritol 888 ATO when administered intraduodenally showed a 10 fold increase in methotrexate concentration as compared to that of Methotrexate solution. This was due to superior lymphatic uptake of Methotrexate SLN and thereby into systemic circulation, which increased bioavailability and improved toxicity profile of the drug (Paliwal et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2013). The poor oral bioavailability of Docetaxel (potent anticancer agent) has limited its development in oral formulations. The P-glycoprotein (Pgp)mediated efflux in intestine and cytochrome P450 (CYP)3Amediated first-pass metabolism in intestine (and/or liver), together with poor aqueous solubility (0.025 µg/mL), are primarily responsible for low oral bioavailability of docetaxel. Docetaxel loaded SLNs surface modified with Tween 80 or D-alphatocopheryl poly(ethylene glycol 1000) succinate (TPGS 1000) showed a sustained-release profile of docetaxel from the SLNs compared with an intravenous docetaxel formulation (Taxotere®). Tween 80-emulsified SLNs showed enhanced intestinal absorption, lymphatic uptake, and relative oral bioavailability of docetaxel compared with Taxotere in rats. These results may be attributed to the absorption-enhancing effects of the tristearin nanoparticle. Further, TPGS 1000-emulsified SLNs as compared to Tween 80-emulsified SLNs showed relatively better intestinal absorption and oral bioavailability of docetaxel in rats, probably due to better inhibition of drug efflux by TPGS 1000, along with intestinal lymphatic uptake. Thus surface modified SLNs can serve as effective oral delivery systems for docetaxel (Cho et al., 2014).

## **Colonic Targeting**

One way to target the colon is to incorporate drugs in appositely charged nanoparticulates. Rolipram loaded PLGA nanoparticles (with size 200-500 nm) were studied for the treatment of experimentally induced inflammatory bowel disease (Lamprecht et al. 2001). The particles were administered to rats for five days with drug solution administered for comparative evaluation. Both were found to be equally efficacious with no significant difference in measured parameters. However, after the treatments were stopped, a severe relapse was observed in case of drug solution treated rats while the nanoparticle treated group showed reduced inflammatory levels. This may be attributed to ability of nanoparticles to retain the drug from systemic absorption while providing a targeted sustained release profile of the drug leading to enhanced efficacy (Lamprecht *et al.*, 2001). Tacrolimus loaded PLGA NPS entrapped into pH-sensitive microspheres (NPMS) were designed to reduce the occurrence of premature uptake or degradation of NPS during their passage through GIT. Such NPS achieved greater selectivity to their site of action providing greater efficacy than when administered orally (Lamprecht et al. 2005).

# CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE ADVANCES

In order to obtain desired results after administration of nanoparticulates orally, NPS should be able to withstand the adverse conditions in the GIT. For the last two decades, active research has been able to minimize the effects of GIT on such systems. From the pharmaceutical standpoint, most nanoparticulates targeted to the lymphatic system have increased bioavailability versus the referee drug; which is particularly appreciable for labile drugs and molecules with poor solubility. However, one major factor affecting the efficacy of any selected NPS is incorporation efficiency, which must be increased in order to administer required therapeutic dose without affecting the safety and efficacy of the patients. Although, some polymeric biodegradable nanoparticles are already on the market, to date they are only restricted for parenteral route. Studies on the oral route have chiefly addressed the administration of vaccine antigens, peptides, and small proteins. Provided that the choice and selection of the polymer is appropriate, the in vivo results can prove to be promising for delivering drugs efficiently into the human system. Lipid-based systems have achieved some of these desired results. Some antiprotease drugs, ritonavir, and saquinavir, carried by S(M)EDDS, are now on the market and provide better bioavailability than the referee drug (Yamabe et al., 2003). Tobramycin or Idarubicin loaded SLNs administered duodenally show better pharmacokinetic parameters than the same drug administered IV as a solution. Tobra-SLN administered duodenally may have permitted more efficient absorption of tobramycin by the GIT. This is of particular interest as this drug is mostly administered by the parenteral route. Interest in the oral administration of chemotherapeutic agents via NPS has been further stimulated by the discovery that oral fluoropyrimidines have nearly equivalent efficacy with potential to reduce toxicity, when compared to administration of these drugs by the IV route. Thus using rational nanoparticulate design, several antineoplastic drugs could be developed for oral use (Royce et al., 2000, Khan et al., 2013, Cho et al., 2014). Although studies on nanoparticulates targeting the colon are relatively few, some interesting results have been achieved using liposomes and PLGA nanoparticles (Xing et al., 2003; Lamprecht et al., 2001). Conventional intravenous administration of cytotoxic drugs has limited tumor uptake because of minimal access to the tumor, decreased circulation time due to faster clearance by the phagocytic system, and increased targeting (Cai et al., 2011; Khan et al., 2013). Conversely, absorption via lymphatic route for delivery of cytotoxic agents offers to overcome the limitations of nonspecificity, drug resistance, and severe toxicity.

#### CONCLUSION

Orally administered NPS are administered by a wellaccepted easy route. They are generally intended either to protect drug susceptible to the adverse effects of the GI tract or to release the drug from the formulations in a sustained manner over a prolonged period of time. This helps in reduction in dose and frequency of dosing, which in a way helps in the reducing the harmful side effects of drugs and minimizing the dose related toxicity, thereby preserving the safety and the efficacy of the patient. Nanoparticulates targeting lymphatic uptake, encompassing the before mentioned attributes offer a lucrative means of oral delivery of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs including peptides, anti-cancer agents, hormones, CNS drugs among others. Such delivery systems not only afford comparable bioavailability and therapeutic efficacy of drugs through oral route but also may in many cases show increase in them as compared to other routes besides increasing the patient compliance and reducing the unintended side effects related to other routes of administration. Further, lymphatic uptake of these particles could be utilized for targeting many diseases causes and their amelioration. Research Studies focused on this targeting strategy can show a new approach to treat and combat diseases. Thus, lymphatic route of drug uptake can serve as a superior alternative route of administration and further investigation is desired in this area of drug delivery.

#### REFERENCES

Alex MR, Chacko AJ, Jose S, Souto EB. Lopinavir loaded solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) for intestinal lymphatic targeting. Eur J Pharm Sci, 2010; 42(1-2):11-18.

Allen TM. Liposomes opportunity in drug-delivery. Drugs, 1997; 54 (4): 8-14.

Almeida AJ, Souto E. Solid lipid nanoparticles as a drug delivery system for peptides and proteins. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2007; 59 : 478–490.

Bala I, Hariharan S, Kumar R. PLGA nanoparticles in drug delivery: the state of the art. Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst, 2004; 21: 387-422.

Barratt GM. Therapeutic applications of colloidal drug carriers: physical structure to therapeutic applications. Pharm Sci Technol Today, 2000; 3: 163-171.

Bummer PM. Physical Chemical consideration of lipid-based oral drug delivery solid lipid nanoparticles. Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst, 2004; 21 (1): 1-20.

Cai S, Yang Q, Bagby TR, Forrest ML. Lymphatic drug delivery using engineered liposomes and solid lipid nanoparticles. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2011; 63: 901–908.

Cansell M, Nacka F, Combe N. Marine lipid-based liposomes increase in vivo FA bioavailability. Lipids, 2003; 38: 551-559.

Cavalli R, Zara GP, Caputo O, Bargoni A, Fundarò A, Gasco MR. Transmucosal transport of tobramycin incorporated in SLN after duodenal administration to rats. Part I-A pharmacokinetic study. Pharmacol Res, 2000; 42: 541-545.

Cho HJ, Park JW, Yoon IS, Kim DD. Surface-modified solid lipid nanoparticles for oral delivery of docetaxel:enhanced intestinal absorption and lymphatic uptake. Int J Nanomed, 2014; 9: 495-504.

Vonderscher J, P, Damge С, Marbach Pinget Μ. Poly (alkylcyanoacrylate) nanocapsules as а long delivery system for octreoctide, acting а somatostatin analogue. J Pharm Pharmacol, 1997; 49 (10): 949-954.

Damge C, Vranks H, Balschmit P, Couvreur P. Poly (alkylcyanoacrylate) nanospheres for oral administration of insulin. J Pharm Sci, 1997; 86 (12): 1403-1409.

Demirel M, Yazan Y, Muller RH, Kiliç F, Bozan B. Formulation and in vitro--in vivo evaluation of piribedil solid-lipid micro and nanoparticles. J Microencapsul, 2001; 18: 359-371.

Delie F, Blanco-Prieto MJ. Polymeric particulates to improve oral bioavailability of peptide drugs. Molecules, 2005; 10(1): 65-80.

Dianzani C, Cavalli R, Zara GP, Gallicchio M, Lombardi G, Gasco MR, Panzanelli P, Fantozz R. Cholesteryl butyrate nanoparticles enhance butyrate inhibition of neutrophils adhesion to endothelium. Br J Pharmacol, 2006; 148: 648-656.

El-Shabouri MH. Positively charged nanoparticles for improving the oral bioavailability of cyclosporin-A. Int J Pharm, 2002; 249(1-2): 101-108.

Florence AT. Issues in oral nanoparticles: drug carrier uptake and targeting. J Drug Target, 2004; 12: 65-70.

Florence AT, Hussain N. Trancytosis of nanoparticle and dendrimer systems: evolving vistas. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2001; 50: S69-89.

Fooks AR. Development of oral vaccine for human use. Curr Opin Mol Ther, 2000; 2: 80-86.

Foster N, Hirst BH. Exploiting receptor biology for oral vaccination with biodegradable particulates. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2005; 57: 431-450.

Gao P, Rush PD, Pfund W, Huang T, Bauer JM, Morozowich W, Kuo MS, Hageman MJ. Development of a supersaturable SEDDS formulation of paclitaxel with improved bioavailability. J Pharm Sci, 2003; 92: 2386-2398.

Gasco MR. Solid–lipid nanoparticles for drug delivery. Pharm Tech Eur, 2000; 13: 32-41.

Gursoy RN, Benita S. Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS) for improved oral delivery of lipophilic drugs. Biomed Pharmacother, 2004; 58: 173-182.

Hans ML, Lowman AM. Biodegradable nanoparticles for drug delivery and targeting. Curr Opin Sol St Mater Sci, 2002; 6: 319-327.

Hussain N, Jaitely B, Florence A. Recent advances in understanding the uptake of microparticulates across the gastrointestinal lymphatics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2001; 50: 107-142.

Jiao Y, Ubrich N, Marchand-Arvier M, et al. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of oral heparin-loaded polymeric nanoparticles in rabbits. Circulation 2002; 105:230.

Kalaria DR, Sharma G, Beniwal V, Ravi Kumar MN. Design of biodegrad-able nanoparticles for oral delivery of doxorubicin: in vivo pharmacokinetics and toxicity studies in rats. Pharm Res, 2009; 26(3):492–501.

Kaminskas LM, Porter CJ. Targeting the lymphatics using dendritic polymers (dendrimers). Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2011;63: 890–900.

Kang BK, Lee JS, Chron SK, et al. Development of selfmicroemulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS) for oral bioavailability enhancement of simvastatin in beagle dogs. Int J Pharm, 2004; 274: 65-73.

Kanwar JR, Long BM, Kanwar. The use of cyclodextrins nanoparticles for oral delivery. Curr Med Chem, 2011;18(14):2079-85.

Kaur CD, Nahar M, Jain NK. Lymphatic targeting of zidovudine using surface-engineered liposomes. J Drug Target, 2008;16:798–805.

Khan AA, Mudassir J, Mohtar N, Darwis Y. Advanced drug delivery to the lymphatic system: lipid based nanoformulations. Int J Nanomed, 2013; 8: 2733-44.

Kreuter J. Peroral administration of nanoparticles. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 1991; 7: 71-86.

Lamprecht A, Ubrich N, Yamamoto H, et al. Design of rolipram loaded nanoparticles: comparison of two preparation methods. J Control Release, 2001; 71:297.

Lamprecht A, Ubrich N, Yamamoto H, et al. Biodegradable nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery in treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. J Pharmacol Exp Ther, 2001; 299(2): 775-781.

Lamprecht A, Yamamoto H, Takeuchi H, Kawashima Y.A pHsensitive microsphere system for the colon delivery of tacrolimus containing nanoparticles. J Control Release, 2005;104(2):337-46.

Li H, Son J.H, Park J.S, Han K. Polyethylene glycol-coated leptosomes for oral delivery of recombinant human epidermal growth factor. Int J Pharm, 2003; 258: 11-19.

Li Y, Jang HL, Jin JE, et al. Bioadhesive fluorescent microspheres as visible carriers for local delivery of drugs—uptake of insulin loaded PCEFB/PLGA microspheres by the gastrointestinal tract. Drug Deliv 2004; 11: 335.

Manjunath K, Reddy J.S, Venkateswarlu V. Solid lipid nanoparticles as drug delivery systems. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol, 2005; 27: 127-144.

Manjunath K, Venkateswarlu V. Pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution and bioavailability of clozapine solid lipid nanoparticles after intravenous and intraduodenal administration. J Drug Target, 2006; 14: 632-645.

Muller RH, Karsten M, Sven G. Solid–lipid nanoparticles (SLN) for controlled drug delivery a review of the state of the art. Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 2000; 50: 161-177.

Nishioka Y, Yoshino H. Lymphatic targeting with nanoparticulate systems. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2001; 47: 55-64.

O'Driscoll CM, Griffin BT. Biopharmaceutical challenges associated with drugs with low aqueous solubility – the potential impact of lipid-based formulations. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2008;60:617–624.

Paliwal R, Rai S, Vaidya B, et al. Effect of lipid core material on characteristics of solid lipid nanoparticles designed for oral lymphatic delivery. Nanomedicine, 2009;5:184–191.

Pinto-Alphandary H, Aboubakar M, Jaillard D, Couvreur P, Vauthier C. Visualization of insulin loaded nanocapsules:in vitro and in vivo studies after oral administration to rats. Pharm Res, 2003; 20: 1071-1084.

Rao DA, Forrest ML, Alani AW, Kwon GS, Robinson JR. Biodegradable PLGA based nanoparticles for sustained regional lymphatic drug delivery. J Pharm Sci, 2010; 99:2018–2031.

Reddy ST, Vlies AJVD, Simeoni E, Angeli V, Randolph GJ, O'Neil1 CP et al. Exploiting lymphatic transport and complement activation in nanoparticle vaccines Nature Biotech, 2007; 25, 1159 – 1164.

Royce ME, Hoff PM, Pazdur R. Novel oral chemotherapy agents. Curr Oncol Rep, 2000; 2(1): 31-37.

Sang YH, Gwan PT. Biodegradable nanoparticles containing protein–fatty acid complexes for oral delivery of salmon calcitonin. J Pharm Sci 2004; 93:488.

Serpe L, Catalano MG, Cavalli R, Ugazio E, Bosco O, Canaparo R, Muntoni E, Frairia R, Gasco M.R, Eandi M, Zara G.P. Cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs incorporated in solid–lipid nanoparticles on HT-29 colorectal cancer cell line. Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 2004; 58: 673-680.

Serpe L, Laurora S, Pizzimenti S, Ugazio E, Ponti R, Canaparo R, Briatore F, Barrera G, Gasco MR, Bernengo MG, Eandi M, Zara GP. Cholesteryl butyrate solid–lipid nanoparticles as a butyric acid pro-drug: effects on cell proliferation, cell-cycle distribution and c-myc expression in human leukemic cells. Anticancer Drugs, 2004; 15(5): 525-536.

Shah M, Chuttani K, Mishra AK, Pathak K. Oral solid compritol 888 ATO nanosuspension of simvastatin: optimization and biodistribution studies. Drug Dev Ind Pharm, 2011; 37(5):526-37.

Shina SB, Chob HY, Kimc DD, Choid HG, Lee YB. Preparation and evaluation of tacrolimus-loaded nanoparticles for lymphatic delivery. Eur J Pharm and Biopharm, 2010; 74 (2), 164–171.

Tabata Y, Inoue Y, Ikada Y. Size effect on systemic and mucosal immune responses induced by oral administration of biodegradable microspheres. Vaccine, 1996; 14:1667-1685.

Takeuchi H, Matsui Y, Yamamoto H, Kawashima Y. Mucoadhesive properties of carbopol or chitosancoated liposome and their effectiveness in oral administration of calcitonin to rats. J Control Release, 2003; 86: 235-242.

Takeuchi H, Yamamoto H, Kawashima Y. Mucoadhesive nanoparticulate systems for peptide drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2001; 47: 39-54.

Taira MC, Chiaramoni NS, Pecuch KM, Alonso-Romanowski S. Stability of liposomal formulations in physiological conditions for oral drug delivery. Drug Deliv, 2004; 11 (2): 123-128.

Thakkar H, Nangesh J, Parmar M, Patel D. Formulation and characterization of lipid-based drug delivery system of raloxifenemicroemulsion and self-microemulsifying drug delivery system. J Pharm Bioall Sci, 2011;3:442-8

Tripathi PK, Khopade AJ, Nagaich S, Shrivastava S, Jain S, Jain NK. Dendrimer grafts for delivery of 5-fluorouracil. Pharmazie, 2002; 57: 261-264.

Ubrich N, Schmidt C, Bodmeier R, Hoffman M, Maincent P. Oral evaluation in rabbits of cyclosporin-loaded Eudragit RS or RL nanoparticles. Int J Pharm, 2005; 288(1): 169-175.

Uchida J. Electron microscopic study of microfold cell (M cells) in normal and inflamed human appendix. Gastroenterol Jpn, 1988; 23: 251-262.

Vauthier C, Dubernet C, Fattal E, Pinto-Alphandary, H, Couvreur P. Poly (alkylcyanoacrylates) as biodegradable materials for biomedical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2003; 55: 519-548.

Watts PJ, Illum L. Colonic drug targeting. Drug Dev Ind Pharm, 1997; 23: 893-913.

Wu H, Zhou A, Lu C, Wang L. Examination of lymphatic transport of puerarin in unconscious lymph duct-cannulated rats after administration in microemulsion drug delivery systems. Eur J Pharm Sci, 2011;42: 348–353.

Xing L, Dawei C, Liping X, Rongqing Z. Oral colon-specific drug delivery for bee venom peptide: development of a coated calcium alginate gel beads-entrapped liposome. J Control Release, 2003; 93: 293-300.

Yamabe K, Kato Y, Onishi H, Machida Y. Potentiality of double liposomes containing salmon calcitonin as an oral dosage form. J Control Release, 2003; 89: 429-436.

Yang S, Zhu J, LuY, Liang B, Yang C. Body distribution of camptothecin solid–lipid nanoparticles after oral administration. Pharm Res, 1999; 16: 751-757.

Zara GP, Bargoni A, Cavalli R, Fundarò A, Vighetto D, Gasco MR. Pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of idarubicin-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles after duodenal administration to rats. J Pharm Sci, 2002;91:1324–1333.

Zhang Y, Wang R, Wua J, Shen Q. Characterization and evaluation of self-microemulsifying sustained-release pellet formulation of puerarin for oral delivery. Int J Pharm, 2012; 427(2): 337–344

Zhao L, Liu A, Sun M, Gu J, Wang H, Wang S, Zhang J, Guo C, Duan R, Zhai G. Enhancement of Oral Bioavailability of Puerarin by Polybutylcyanoacrylate Nanoparticles. J Nanomaterials, Volume 2011, Article ID 126562, 8 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/126562

# How to cite this article:

Saikat Ghosh and Tanushree Roy. Nanoparticulate drug-delivery systems: lymphatic uptake and its gastrointestinal applications. J App Pharm Sci, 2014; 4 (06): 123-130.