Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 3 (12), pp. 036-045, December, 2013 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2013.31207 ISSN 2231-3354 CC BY-NC-SA

Antinociceptive and Antioxidant Activity of an Aqueous Root Bark Extract of *Daniellia oliveri* (Rolfe) Hutch. & Dalziel (Fam: Leguminosae [Fabaceae]) in ICR Mice

Alex Boye¹, Patrick Amoateng², George Asumeng Koffuor^{3*,} Victor Yao Atsu Barku⁴, Eric Mishio Bawa¹, Odamen Enoch Anto¹

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana. ²Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana. ³Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. ⁴Department of Chemistry, School of Physical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: 16/10/2013 Revised on: 07/12/2013 Accepted on: 24/12/2013 Available online: 30/12/2013

Key words:

Nociceptive withdrawal threshold, Pain latency period, Opioidergic receptor, ATP-sensitive potassium channels.

ABSTRACT

Daniellia oliveri stem bark is used traditionally by the people of Northern Ghana to manage pain. This study therefore sought to validate the antinociceptive property of an aqueous stem bark extract of Daniellia oliveri (DOE) using murine hot plate and paw pressure pain models as well as its antioxidant property. Groups of ICR mice were pre-treated with DOE (250, 500, 1000 or 2000 mg kg⁻¹, p.o), morphine (3 mg kg⁻¹, i.p), diclofenac (3 mg kg⁻¹, i.p) or normal saline (2 ml/kg) respectively for 0.5 - 1 h, prior to pain induction. Pain latency period were measured at 0.5 h intervals for 1.5 h. To establish the possible mode of analgesic activity, nociceptive activity of DOE was antagonized by naloxone (2 mg kg⁻¹), glibenclamide (8mg kg⁻¹), and theophylline (5mg kg¹). The extract was screened for antioxidant property by its effect on DPPH radical scavenging activity. DOE in both pain models produced significant ($P \le 0.001$) does and time - dependent antinociceptive effect comparable to morphine, and diclofenac. The antinociceptive effect of DOE was significantly ($P \le 0.001$) attenuated by naloxone, glibenclamide, and theophylline. DOE caused a concentration dependent percentage increase in DPPH radical scavenging activity. The aqueous stem bark extract of Daniellia oliveri therefore has antinociceptive and antioxidant effect with antinoception possibly mediated through activation of ATP-sensitive potassium channels, as well as opioidergic and adenosinergic receptor pathways.

INTRODUCTION

Pain is a major symptom of many clinical conditions and also one of the numerous reasons why patients consult physicians (Debono *et al.*, 2013). According to International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) and European Federation of the IASP Chapter (EFIC) one out of every five people globally suffer from moderate to severe chronic pain, and one out of every three people is unable or less able to maintain an independent lifestyle due to pain and about two-thirds of individuals with chronic pain are less able or unable to exercise, enjoy normal sleep, perform household chores, attend social activities, drive a car, walk or have sexual relations (WHO, 2004). Pain relief has long been a dominant concern of humans

© 2013 Alex Boye et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

worldwide although pain serves some important purposes. Nonetheless, Melzack et al., (1983) had argued that pain serves three purposes: firstly, short-lasting pain causes us to withdraw from the source, often reflexively, thus preventing further damage; secondly long-lasting pain promotes behaviors such as sleep, inactivity, grooming, feeding, and drinking that promote recuperation and finally the expression of pain may serve as a social signal e.g. screeching after a painful stimulus may have significant adaptive value by signaling the potential of harm or eliciting certain care-giving behaviors from others such as grooming, defending, and feeding that could mean the survival of the victim. Aside these arguments are the reality of managing pain. It has been recommended that nonpharmacological interventions (e.g., relaxation) be used first to alleviate pain before drug use (Bar et al., 2013) because the known conventional analgesics produce adverse drug reactions (ADRs).

^{*} Corresponding Author

George A. Koffuor, Dept. of Pharmacology, KNUST, Kumasi, Ghana. Telephone: +233 277 400 312. Email: gkoffuor@yahoo.com

The most common and effective analgesics are the opioids and Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Opioids such as morphine, codeine and pethidine are most often used to manage acute pain (Walder and Tramer, 2004) or moderate to severe acute pain (Kohn et al., 2007). NSAIDs have traditionally been used more for mild pain and anti-inflammation than for chronic pain. However, newer NSAIDs have significant analgesic effects overlapping with that of opioids (Kohn et al., 2007). But like all other drugs and in their case in particular, the adverse drug reactions produced by the opioids and NSAIDs exceed their therapeutic benefits e.g. these drugs have been reported of a wide range of side effects including respiratory depression, bradycardia, hypotension, constipation, decreased mental capacity, addiction, tolerance, withdrawal, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, urinary retention, intestinal hypomobility, gastrointestinal disturbances, and hepatotoxicity (Walder and Tramer, 2004; Kohn et al., 2007). There is therefore an unmet need for medicinal plants and phytopharmaceuticals with scientifically proven analgesic efficacy. Phytopharmaceuticals with demonstrable efficacies could become a suitable therapeutic alternative to conventional medications for specific indications such as pain. One such medicinal plant with rich potential for exploration of its beneficial pharmacological activities is Daniellia oliveri. The plant has been used in various crude forms to manage pain by most tribes in northern Ghana, yet not much scientific investigation has been made regarding its traditional analgesic use. The study therefore sought to validate the antinociceptive property of an aqueous stem bark extract of *Daniellia oliveri* using murine hot plate and paw pressure pain models as well as its antioxidant property.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection, identification and authentication

Daniellia oliveri was collected from Dalong in the Northern Region of Ghana. The plant was identified and authenticated by a pharmacognosist at the herbarium unit of the School of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Ghana, where a voucher specimen (SBS/UCC/D573) was deposited.

Preparation of Daniellia oliveri aqueous extract (DOE)

The stem bark of *Daniellia oliveri* was washed thoroughly and air-dried. The dried stem bark was pulverized into fine powder using a hammer mill (Schutte Buffalo, NewYork, USA). A 400 g quantity of the powdered stem bark was mixed with 2L of distilled water and warmed at 40°C for 20 minutes. It was then filtered first using a white nylon cloth and subsequently using Whatman's No 1 filter paper, to obtain a dark-brown filtrate, which was concentrated over a hot water bath at 60°C and dried in a hot-air oven at 60°C. A dark-brown powdery solids, named DOE, (percentage yield: 25.5%) was stored in a desiccator.

Drugs and chemicals

Drugs and chemicals used in the study included: Morphine hydrochloride (Phyto-Riker, Accra, Ghana), naloxone (Troge Medical Gmbh, Hamburg, Germany) and glibenclamide (Sanofi-Aventis, Guildford, UK), theophylline (BDH, Poole, England) and normal saline (Intravenous Infusions Ltd., Koforidua, Ghana).

Phytochemical screening of DOE

DOE was screened to identify phytoconstituents present in the extract using standard phytochemical methods described by Trease and Evans (1989), Sofowora (1993), and Harborne (1998).

Experimental animals

Healthy five-week old ICR mice (25 - 35 g) of either sex were purchased from Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research (NMIMR), University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana and maintained at the Animal Experimentation Department of the School of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana. The animals were housed in groups of 7.0 in stainless steel cages $(34 \times 47 \times 18 \text{ cm})$ with soft wood shavings as bedding, fed with normal commercial pellet diet (GAFCO, Tema, Ghana), and were given water *ad libitum*. Animals were maintained under ambient laboratory conditions (i.e. Temperature 24-28 °C, Relative Humidity 60 - 70% and 12h light-dark cycle). All procedures and techniques used in the study were in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, Department of Health and Human Services publication No. 85-23, revised 1985).

Hot plate pain model

A hot plate (Stuart Scientific Magnetic Stirrer, SN: 24642, U.K.) maintained at a temperature of $55^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ was used. The pain latency period i.e. time between pain stimuli application and pain perception, was measured for each animal in each group by placing the animal on the hot plate and noting the time the animal licks its paw or jumps off the plate, before and 60, 90 and 120 minutes post treatment. Mice in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 were pretreated orally with DOE (250, 500, 1000, and 2000 g kg⁻¹ respectively), groups 5 and 6 were pre-treated with diclofenac (3 mg kg⁻¹; i.p) and morphine (3 mg kg⁻¹; i.p) respectively; and group 7 was treated with the vehicle (normal saline). A cut off time of 20 seconds in the case of unresponsiveness, was selected to prevent thermal injury to animals. The percent maximum possible effect (%MPE) was calculated primarily as the analgesic activity using the equation below:

% MPE = $\frac{\text{Post-drug latency} - \text{Pre-drug latency x 100}}{\text{Cutoff latency} - \text{Pre-drug latency}}$

Paw pressure pain model

In the paw pressure pain model, an analgesiometer (Ugo Basile Biological Research Apparatus, SN: 15776 v 220, Milan-Italy) as described by Randall and Selitto (1957) with modification by Woode *et al.*, (2013) was used. Before the test, animals in each group received 10 minutes of handling. To get used to manipulation, each animal was placed in a soft cotton cloth and carefully immobilized with the same hand used to hold the tested

paw. Baseline measurements were taken for all animals before treatments. A total of 28 ICR mice were randomly put into seven groups of four animals each. Mice in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 were treated orally with DOE (250, 500, 1000, and 2000 mg kg⁻¹ respectively). Mice in groups 5 and 6 were treated with diclofenac (3 mg kg^{-1}) and morphine (3 mg kg^{-1}) respectively. Mice in group 7 were treated with vehicle (normal saline). For each animal, the analgesiometer was used to apply linearly increasing mechanical force (pressure) by the tip of a blunt perspex cone to the dorsal region of the right hind paw until the animal exhibited nociceptive withdrawal. The nociceptive withdrawal threshold was measured by recording the distance covered on the analgesiometer scale at which each mice exhibited nociceptive withdrawal. The nociceptive withdrawal threshold was measured at 60 and 120 minutes post-drug administration. The maximum force applied was limited to 250 g (2500N) to prevent tissue damage. The results were recorded as latency (reaction time) and a maximum cut off time of 20 seconds was set to avoid prolonged nociception and tissue damage. The percent maximum possible effect (% MPE) was calculated primarily as the analgesic activity by using the previously described equation.

Establishment of mode of DOE analgesic activity

An antagonist-agonist receptor interactions involving naloxone, theophylline, and glibenclamide as antagonists and DOE or morphine as agonist drug in the hot plate pain model was employed. Nine groups of mice with four mice in each group were used. To investigate the involvement of opioid receptor activation, one group of mice were pre-treated with naloxone (2 mg kg⁻¹; i.p), followed by treatment with DOE (2000 mg kg⁻¹ p.o), 30 minutes after administration of antagonist drugs. The pain latency period (seconds) was measured at 60, 90 and 120 minutes post-treatment. For the involvement of adenosinergic pathways, another group of mice were pre-treated with theophylline (5 mg kg⁻¹; p.o). To investigate the involvement of ATP-sensitive K⁺-channels, mice were pre-treated with glibenclamide (8 mg kg⁻¹; p.o) followed 60 minutes later by DOE and latency determined at 60, 90 and 120 minutes post DOE treatment. These procedures were repeated for morphine (3 mg kg⁻¹; i.p) and the latency periods measured at 30, 60 and 90 minutes post-treatment.

Antioxidant property of DOE

Scavenging activity against 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl radical (DPPH) radical was evaluated as described by Stankovic *et al.*, 2010. A stock solution of DOE prepared in methanol to a concentration of 100 μ g ml⁻¹ was diluted. Dilutions were made to obtain concentrations of 80 μ g ml⁻¹, 40 μ g ml⁻¹, and 20 μ g ml⁻¹. Each milliliter of the diluted solutions was mixed with 1ml of DPPH methanolic solution (40 μ g ml⁻¹). After 30 minutes in darkness at room temperature (23°C), the absorbance of the test and blank samples were recorded at 517 nm using a microplate reader (Rayto RT-2100C, China). The control sample contained all the reagents except the extract. All experiments were performed

thrice and the results were averaged. Percent inhibition was calculated using the following expression:

% inhibition =
$$\frac{(A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}) \times 100}{A_{\text{blank}}}$$

Where; A_{blank} and A_{sample} stand for absorption of the blank sample and absorption of tested extract solution respectively. The IC₅₀ values which denote the concentration of test drugs required to scavenge 50 % of DPPH free radicals were estimated. Ascorbic acid was used as the standard.

Statistical analysis

Values were presented as Means \pm SEM. Significant differences in measured parameters between treatments were established either by; Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test, or One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison *post hoc* test available in GraphPad Prism for Windows Version 5.00 (GraphPad software, San Diego, California, USA). A P \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses. The graphs were plotted using Sigma Plot Version 11 for windows (Systat Software Inc. Germany).

RESULTS

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening of DOE showed the presence of some phytocompounds (Table 1).

Analgesic activity

DOE produced both dose and time dependent increase in the pain threshold in the hot plate pain model, with analgesic activity comparable to Diclofenac [DIC], and morphine [MOR] (Figures 1 and 2). At equipotent doses morphine comparatively increased the pain threshold than diclofenac. Although morphine and diclofenac produced a pain threshold greater than DOE at 250 mg kg⁻¹, their effects were lower than that produced by DOE at 500, 1000, and 2000 mg kg⁻¹ (Figure 3). Similar observations were made in the paw pressure pain model except that DOE at 2000 mg kg⁻¹ produced dose but not time dependent increase in the pain threshold (Figure 4).

Mode of DOE analgesic activity

Naloxone, Theophylline, and Glibenclamide significantly $(P \le 0.001)$ attenuated the antinociception produced by DOE and morphine (Figures 5, 6 and 7). The attenuation of antinociception by naloxone was replicated at all the post-treatment times (except at time zero) for DOE, but was highly significant ($P \le 0.001$) for Whiles morphine (Figure 6). theophylline attenuated antinociception produced by DOE and morphine at 0, 60, 90 minutes post-treatment time, it did not block morphine at 120 minutes post-treatment (Figure 6). Glibenclamide significantly (P ≤ 0.001) attenuated antinociception produced by DOE at all posttreatment times, it did not block morphine except at 120 minutes post-treatment (Figure 7).

Table. 1: Phytochemical composition of DOE.

in i jui i in i la	
Phytochemical	Results
Terpenoid	+
Saponin	+
Steroids	+
Flavinoid	+
Tannins	+
Phlobatannins	+
Emodels	+
Flavanols	+

+ : indicates the presence of the phytocompound







Fig. 2: (A) Effect of diclofenac (3 mg kg-1) and morphine (3 mg kg-1) on the time course of thermal-induced nociceptive pain in mice. Nociceptive scores are shown as % maximum possible effect (% MPE) at 60, 90 and 120 min. (B) The total maximum possible effect calculated from the AUCs from the time-course curve. Each point represents mean ± SEM (n = 4). ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated group (Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test). +++P<0.001 compared to vehicle-treated group (One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison post hoc test)</p>



Time (mins) Fig 3: The effect of DOE (250-2000 mg kg⁻¹) on the mechanically-induced pain using the paw pressure pain model. Each point represents mean \pm SEM (n = 4). ***P < 0.001; ** P < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated group (One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison *post hoc* test).



Time (mins)

Fig. 4: Effect of diclofenac (3 mg kg⁻¹) and morphine (3 mg kg⁻¹) on the mechanically-induced pain using the paw pressure pain model. Each point represents mean \pm SEM (n = 4). ***P < 0.001; ** P < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated group (One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison *post hoc* test).



Time (mins)

Fig. 5: Effect of naloxone, NAL (2 mg kg⁻¹) on the antinociceptive effects of morphine, MOR (3 mg kg⁻¹) and DOE (2000 mg kg⁻¹) at different post-treatment periods. Each point represents mean \pm SEM (n = 4). ****P* < 0.001 comparison of treatment groups to vehicle-control group or between treatment groups (Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test). NAL = Naloxone, MOR = Morphine.



Fig. 6: Effect of theophylline, THE (5 mg kg⁻¹) on the antinociceptive effects of morphine, MOR (3 mg kg⁻¹) and DOE (2000 mg kg⁻¹) at different post-treatment periods. Each point represents mean \pm SEM (n = 4). ***P < 0.001 comparison of treatment groups to vehicle-control group or between treatment groups (Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test). THE = Theophylline, MOR = Morphine.



Fig. 7: Effect of glibenclamide, GLB (8 mg kg⁻¹) on the antinociceptive effects of morphine, MOR (3 mg kg⁻¹) and DOE (2000 mg kg⁻¹) at different post-treatment periods. Each point represents mean \pm SEM (n = 4). ****P* < 0.001 comparison of treatment groups to vehicle-control group or between treatment groups (Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test). GLB = Glibenclamide.



Fig. 8: Antioxidant activities of DOE and ascorbic acid (Standard) expressed as % inhibition of DPPH free radical oxidant activity. Value plotted are mean \pm SEM (n = 3). IC50 for Ascorbic acid and DOE are 7.389 and 14.57 µg ml⁻¹ respectively.

Antioxidant activity of DOE

The DPPH radical antioxidant test was carried out on both DOE and Ascorbic acid (Standard) indicated that both drugs caused a concentration dependent percentage increase in DPPH radical scavenging activity. However, ascorbic acid had a more significant antioxidant activity as indicated by a comparatively lower IC₅₀ value of 7.389 μ g ml⁻¹as against that of DOE which was 14.57 μ g ml⁻¹ (Figure 8).

DISCUSSION

In the present study the hot plate and paw pressure pain models were used to investigate the antinociceptive effect of DOE as a means of pharmacologically characterizing its activity in order to explain its local analgesic use. Our results demonstrate for the first time the dose and time dependent antinociceptive effect of DOE in models similar to morphine and diclofenac. The ability of DOE to attenuate nociception due to heat and pressure suggest it has centrally acting analgesic effect; an observation which agrees with findings by ching et al., (2009) who had intimated that centrally acting analgesic drugs increase pain threshold of animals to heat and pressure. The similarity of the antinociceptive effect of DOE to that of morphine, an opioid receptor agonist which is a known centrally and periperally acting analgesic and diclofenac, a non-steroidal ant-inflammatory drug indicates that DOE could have both central and peripheral analgesic effects. This was supported by the possible mode of anagesic activity of DOE as the ensuing paragraphs explain.

In order to identify some of the possible biochemical pathways involved in the antinociceptive effects of DOE as a means of elucidating the possible mode (s) of action, an antagonism study carried out by using the hot plate test, showed attenuation of antinociceptive effects of DOE and morphine in the presence of three antagonist drugs (Naloxone, glibenclamide, and theophylline). Each of the three antagonist drugs did not produce antinociception when administered alone. However, prior systemic administration of naloxone (a non- selective opioid receptor antagonist) significantly attenuated antinociceptive effects of DOE and morphine in the hot plate pain model. Opioid receptors are Gprotein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and the main receptors involved in the modulation of pain in mammals (Reisine and Bell, 1993; Uhl et al., 1994). The principal opioid receptor subtypes mu (μ), delta (δ), kappa (κ) and nociceptin / orphanin FQ peptide are all expressed in the spinal cord and in the brain as the main modulators of nociceptive transmissions (Pattinson et al., 2008; Erfanparast *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the μ and κ opioid receptors are also expressed in the enteric nervous system. These four opioid receptors mediate many physiological effects of endogenous opioid systems including pain and analgesia, behavior, thermoregulation and immunological responses (Bodnar, 2007). The μ is the preferred receptor for potent analgesics with high potential for abuse, such as normally observed with morphine (Reisine and Bell, 1993). The present observation with DOE strongly indicate for the first time that the antinociceptive effect of DOE is mediated partly through opioidergic receptor activation and that DOE interrupts one or more of the biochemical events involved in opioid receptor activation to bring about antinociception, since naloxone reversed the antinociception produced by DOE.

Our results also demonstrate for the first time that pretreatment of ICR mice with glibenclamide (A KATP-sensitive channel blocker) inhibited the antinociceptive effects of DOE and morphine alike in the hot plate test. Evidently, the antinociceptive effects of DOE involve the activation of K⁺_{ATP}-sensitive channel opening and activation. On the basis of structure and specific agonists and antagonists, neural K+-channels are typically classified into four classes comprising voltage-gated (Kv), calcium- activated (K_{Ca}), inward rectifier (Kir) and two-pore (K_{2P}) K⁺-channels (Hajhashemi and Amin, 2011). It has been established that central K⁺-channels especially ATP-sensitive K+channels (KATP) of the Kir class are involved in the perception of pain (Ocana et al., 2004). Central administration of K⁺ channel openers, such as diazoxide, minoxidil, lemakalim and cromakalim, produced antinociception and potentiated analgesic effects produced by opioid and α 2-adrenoceptor agonists (Ghelardini et al., 1990; Welch et al., 1993). Furthermore, central administration of ATP dependent K⁺-channel blockers, have been shown to attenuate antinociception of many drugs including antidepressants, amitriptyline and clomipramine in a hot plate test (Galeotti et al., 2001). It seems that activation of G-protein coupled receptors (a2adrenoceptors, opioids, GABA_B, muscarinic M₂, adenosine A₁, serotonin 5-HT_{1A} and cannabinoid) by agonists and some nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) involve K⁺channels opening and activation (Ocana et al., 2004). The attenuation of antinociception produced by DOE strongly suggest that DOE can block the activation of actual nociceptors and the release of inflammatory mediators involved in the processing and perception of pain as already established (Ocana et al., 2004; Pattinson et al., 2008; Erfanparast et al., 2010). The mechanism of action of DOE falls in line with the fact that opioid receptors and in K⁺_{ATP}-channels converge regulating release of neurotransmitters, and initiation of neuronal excitability with both signaling pathways being effective in attenuating perception of pain in animals and in patients (Rodrigues and Duarte, 2000).

In a similar manner, adenosine, a non-selective adenosine receptor antagonist attenuated the antinociceptive effect of DOE and morphine. Specifically, A₁-adenosinergic receptor activation is proposed to be linked to a multi-receptor complex comprising A₁, μ -opioid and α_2 -adrenosinergic receptors which have been demonstrated on the basis of cross antagonism, cross tolerance, and cross withdrawal among these receptor systems (Aley and Levine, 1997; Sawnok and Liu, 2003). Suh *et al.*, (1997) had reported that activation of one of these receptor systems may affect the others.

The antinociceptive effect of DOE could possibly have been due to the collective physiological effects of all the secondary plant metabolites i.e. phytochemicals present in the extract which were terpenoids, saponins, steroids, flavonoids, tannins, phlobatannins, emodels and flavanols working synergistically or additively to produce antinociception. Detection of these phytochemicals in DOE agrees with earlier findings by Kabore et al., (2012). Triterpenoids and steroidal glycosides, collectively referred to as saponins are bioactive compounds present naturally in many plants. Saponins are a major family of secondary plant metabolites containing a sugar moiety glycosidically linked to a hydrophobic aglycone (sapogenin). Many reports had antioxidant demonstrated the and anti -inflammatory pharmacological activities of saponins in both in vitro and in vivo experiments (Mylonas and Kouretas, 1999; Sur et al., 2001; Muraleeedharannair et al., 2012).

Zhang et al., (2001) had reported the chemoprotective effects of saponins, it was therefore not surprising that DOE which had saponins as a component produced antinociceptive effect since pain has inflammatory component. Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds that are ubiquitous in nature and are categorized on the basis of their chemical structure, into flavonols, flavones, flavanones, isoflavones, catechins, anthocyanidins and chalcones. The flavonoids have aroused considerable interest recently because of their potential beneficial effects on human health. Studies suggest that flavonoids, such as quercetin, may confer pain and inflammation reducing activity by inhibiting cyclooxygenase, lipoxygenase, and phospholipase C (Percival, 1999). Inhibition of oxidases, such as lipoxygenase (LO), cyclooxygenase (COX), myeloperoxidase (MPO), NADPH oxidase and xanthine oxidase (XO), have also been considered as important mechanisms for the ability of flavonoids to suppress generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in vivo, as well as organic hydroperoxides (De Groot and Rauen, 1998). Moreover, they have also been shown to inhibit enzymes indirectly involved in oxidative processes, such as phospholipase A₂ (FLA₂) (Lindahl and Tagesson, 1997), while they stimulate others enzymes with recognized antioxidant activity, such as catalase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Sudheesh, 1999). For instance, they have been reported to have antinociceptive (Maleki-Dizaji et al., 2008; Campêlo et al., 2011), antioxidant (Bioportfolio, 2013; Rossato et al., 2011) and antiinflammatory effects (Hussain et al., 2011).

Tannins have been shown to have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties for therapeutic use (Muraleeedharannair *et al.*, 2012). Ratnasooriya et al., (2005) had suggested a link between antioxidant activity and antinociception. In this study our results have shown the presence of saponins, flavonoids, emodels, flavanols, phlobatannins which have invariably been shown to have antioxidant activity (Ferreira *et al.*, 2004; Barbosa *et al.*, 2004; Masoko *et al.*, 2010). It is possible these antioxidant agents conferred antinociceptive effects on DOE.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that *Daniellia oliveri* has dose dependent antinociceptive effect in murine pain models and that the antinociceptive effect is mediated through opioidergic, adenosinergic and ATP-sensitive K^+ -channel receptor pathways. Also, the antinociceptive effect of *Daniellia oliveri* could be secondary to its phytocompound with demonstrated antioxidant activity. Our results therefore pharmacologically substantiate the local use of the plant as a pain reliever.

REFERENCES

Aley KO, Levine JD. Multiple receptors involved in peripheral alpha 2, mu, and A1 antinociception, tolerance, and withdrawal. J Neurosci 1997;17(2):735-744.

Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, Ely EW, Gélinas C, Dasta JF, Davidson JE, Devlin JW, Kress JP, Joffe AM, Coursin DB, Herr DL, Tung A, Robinson BR, Fontaine DK, Ramsay MA, Riker RR, Sessler CN, Pun B, Skrobik Y, Jaeschke R; American College of Critical Care Medicine. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2013; 41(1):263-306. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182783b72.

Barbosa FG, da Conceicao M, de Oliveira F, Braz-Filho R, Silveira ER. Anthraquinones and naphthopyrones from Senna rugosa. Biochem Sys Ecol 2004; 32:363–365.

Bioportfolio. The life science, pharmaceutical and healthcare portal. World news. 2013 http://www.ncbi.nih.nih.gov/pubmed/20641059. Retrieved : 11th August 2013 DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.3251.

Bodnar RJ. Endogenous opiates and behavior: 2007. Peptides 2008; 29(12):2292-2375.

Campêlo LM, de Almeida AA, de Freitas RL, Cerqueira GS, de Sousa GF, Saldanha GB, Feitosa CM, de Freitas RM. Antioxidant and antinociceptive effects of Citrus limon essential oil in mice. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2011; 2011:678673. doi: 10.1155/2011/678673.

Ching F, Omogbai E, Ozolua R, Okpo S. Analgesic activity of aqueous extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Cham, Sandrine petit) stem bark. Acta Pol Pharm. 2009 Jan; 66(1):83-88.

De Groot H, Rauen U. Tissue injury by reactive oxygen species and the protective effects of flavonoids. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 1998; 12(3):249-255.

Debono DJ; Hoeksema LJ; Hobbs RD. Caring for patients with chronic pain: Pearls and pitfalls. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2013; 113(8): 620–627.

Erfanparast A, Tamaddonfard E, Farshid AA, Khalilzadeh E. Effect of microinjection of histamine into the dorsal hippocampus on the orofacial formalin-induced pain in rats. Eur J Pharmacol 2010; 627(1-3):119-123.

Ferreira MA, Nunes OD, Fontenele JB, Pessoa OD, Lemos TL, Viana GS. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities of a fraction rich in oncocalyxone A isolated from Auxemma oncocalyx. Phytomedicine 2004; 11(4):315-322.

Galeotti N, Ghelardini C, Bartolini A. Involvement of potassium channels in amitriptyline and clomipramine analgesia. Neuropharmacology 2001; 40(1):75-84.

Ghelardini C, Malmberg-Aiello P, Giotti A, Malcangio M, Bartolini A. Investigation into atropine-induced antinociception. Br J Pharmacol 1990; 101(1):49-54.

Hajhashemi V, Amin B. Effect of glibenclamide on antinociceptive effects of antidepressants of different classes. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2011; 66(2):321-325.

Harborne JP, editor. Phytochemical Methods: A guide to modern technique of plant analysis, 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall, London., 1998.

Hussain I, Ullah R, Ullah R, Khurram M, Ullah N, Baseer A, Khan FA, Khattak MR, Zahoor M, Khan J, Khan N. Phytochemical analysis of selected medicinal plants. African Journal of Biotechnology 2011:7487-7492.

Kabore A, Traore A, Nignan M, Tamboura HH, Belem AMG. Anthelmintic activity of *Daniellia oliveri* (Rolfe) Hucht. and Dalz (Caesalpiniaceae) in sheep in Burkina Faso. Journal of Research in Antimicrobials 2012:49-55.

Kohn DF, Martin TE, Foley PL, Morris TH, Swindle MM, Vogler GA, Wixson SK. Public statement: guidelines for the assessment and management of pain in rodents and rabbits. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 2007; 46(2):97-108.

Lindahl M, Tagesson C. Flavonoids as phospholipase A2 inhibitors: Importance of their structure for selective inhibition of Group II phospholipase A2. Inflammation 1997; 21:347-356.

Maleki-Dizaji N, Nazemiyeh H, Maddah N, Mehmani F, Garjani A. Screening of extracts and fractions from aerial parts of *Stachys schtschegleevii* Sosn. for anti-inflammatory activities. Pak J Pharm Sci 2008; 21(4):338-343.

Masoko P, Gololo SS, Masoko P, Eloff JN, Howard RI, Mampruru LJ. Evaluation of the antioxidant, antibacterial, and antiproliferative activities of the acetone extract of the roots of Senna italica (Fabaceae). Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med 2010; 7 (2):138-148.

Melzack R, Vetere P, Finch L. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain. A comparison of TENS and massage for pain and range of motion. Phys Ther 1983; 63(4):489-493.

Muraleeedharannair MJ, Johnson M, Mony M, Zachariah PM, Solomon J. Inter-specific variation studies on the phyto-constituents of Christella and Adiantum using phytochemical methods. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 2012:40-45.

Mylonas C, Kouretas D. Lipid peroxidation and tissue damage. In Vivo 1999;13(3):295-309.

Ocana M, Cendan CM, Cobos EJ, Entrena JM, Baeyens JM. Potassium channels and pain: present realities and future opportunities. Eur J Pharmacol 2004;500(1-3):203-219.

Pattinson KT, Rogers R, Mayhew SD, MacIntosh BJ, Lee MC, Wise RG. Remifentanil-induced cerebral blood flow effects in normal humans: dose and ApoE genotype. Anesth Analg 2008; 106(1):347; author reply -8.

Percival M. Understanding the natural management. Clinical nutrition insights 1999:1-5.

Randall LO, Selitto JJ. A method for measurement of analgesic activity on inflamed tissue. Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther 1957;111(4):409-419.

Ratnasooriya WD, Deraniyagala SA, Bathige SD, Goonasekara CL, Jayakody JR. Antinociceptive action of aqueous extract of the leaves of Ixora coccinea. Acta Biol Hung 2005; 56(1-2):21-34.

Reisine T, Bell GI. Molecular biology of opioid receptors. Trends Neurosci 1993; 16(12):506-10.

Rodrigues AR, Duarte ID. The peripheral antinociceptive effect induced by morphine is associated with ATP-sensitive K(+) channels. Br J Pharmacol 2000; 129(1):110-4.

Rossato MF, Trevisan G, Walker CI, Klafke JZ, de Oliveira AP, Villarinho JG, et al. Eriodictyol: a flavonoid antagonist of the TRPV1 receptor with antioxidant activity. Biochem Pharmacol 2011; 81(4):544-51.

Sawynok J, Liu XJ. Adenosine in the spinal cord and periphery: release and regulation of pain. Prog Neurobiol 2003; 69(5):313-340.

Sofowora A. Recent trends in research into African medicinal plants. J Ethnopharmacol 199; 38(2-3):209-214.

Stankovic MS TM, Slavica Solujic S, Mihailovic V. Antioxidant activity and concentration of phenols and flavonoids in the whole plant and plant parts of Teucrium chamaerdys L. var. glanduliferum Haussk. J Med Plants Res 2010; 4(20):2092–2098.

Sudheesh S, Sandhya C, Sarah Koshy A, Vijayalakshmi NR. Antioxidant activity of flavonoids from *Solanum melongena*. Phytother Res 1999; 13(5):393-396.

Suh HW, Song DK, Kim YH. Differential effects of adenosine receptor antagonists injected intrathecally on antinociception induced by morphine and beta-endorphin administered intracerebroventricularly in the mouse. Neuropeptides 1997; 31(4):339-344.

Sur P, Chaudhuri T, Vedasiromoni JR, Gomes A, Ganguly DK. Antiinflammatory and antioxidant property of saponins of tea [Camellia sinensis (L) O. Kuntze] root extract. Phytother Res 2001; 15(2):174-176.

Trease GE, Evan WC 1989. Pharmacognosy. 13th ed. London, Bailliere Tindall, Macmillian.

Uhl GR, Childers S, Pasternak G. An opiate-receptor gene family reunion. Trends Neurosci 1994; 17(3):89-93.

Walder B, Tramer MR. Analgesia and sedation in critically ill patients. Swiss Med Wkly 2004; 134(23-24):333-46.

Welch SP, Dunlow LD. Antinociceptive activity of intrathecally administered potassium channel openers and opioid agonists: a common mechanism of action? J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1993; 267(1):390-399.

WHO. Media Centre.from World Health Organisation: 2004:Retrieved January 26, 2013

Woode E, Ameyaw E, Ainooson G, Abotsi W, Gyasi E, Kyekyeku J. Analgesic Effects of an Ethanol Extract of the Fruits of *Xylopia aethiopica* and Xylopic Acid in Murine Models of Pain: Possible Mechanism(s). Pharmacologia 2013: 285-300.

Zhang CF, Sun QS, Wang ZT, Chou GX. Studies on constituents of the leaves of *Lindera aggregata* (Sims) Kosterm. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 2001; 26(11):765-767.

How to cite this article:

Alex Boye, Patrick Amoateng, George Asumeng Koffuor, Victor Yao Atsu Barku, Eric Mishio Bawa, Odamen Enoch Anto. Antinociceptive and Antioxidant Activity of an Aqueous Root Bark Extract of *Daniellia oliveri* (Rolfe) Hutch. & Dalziel (Fam: Leguminosae [Fabaceae]) in ICR Mice. J App Pharm Sci, 2013; 3 (12): 036-045.